Corporate Social Responsibility

Projects, Practices and Challenges

Dr. Mohsin Shaikh

Dr. Tasya Aspiranti

Dr. Ika Nurul Qamri

Corporate Social Responsibility:
Projects, Practices and Challenges

Corporate Social Responsibility:
Projects, Practices and Challenges

Edited By:

Dr. Mohsin Shaikh
Associate Professor
School of Business, Dr. Vishwanath Karad MIT World Peace University, Pune, India

Dr. Tasya Aspiranti
Vice Dean for Academic Affair of Faculty of Economics and Business Unisba

Dr. Ika Nurul Qamri
Associate Professor
Postgraduate Program and Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas
Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta

Rs. 1000/- ($80)

Preface

We are happy to introduce the present book on **"Corporate Social Responsibility: Projects, Practices and Challenges"**. This book is a collection of book chapters from faculty members and researchers from across India, Indonesia and Thailand. The book deals with various aspects of Corporate Social Responsibility and Sustainable Development. The book contains chapters based on literature review, empirical studies and opinion and insights by the authors on the topic.

The editors would like to thank all the authors for contributing their chapters for the book. We hope the book will be of great value to not only to the students and researchers in the field of CSR and sustainable development but also the professionals and practitioners in the field of sustainable development and related fields.

Editors
Dr. Mohsin Shaikh
Dr. Tasya Aspiranti
Dr. Ika Nurul Qamri

Acknowledgement

We would like to take this opportunity to thank all those who have contributed for the successful completion of this book.

We would like to thank the management and colleagues of our institutions Dr. Vishwanath Karad MIT World Peace University, Pune, India and Islamic University of Bandung, Indonesia, University Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta for their encouragement and support in completing this work.

We would like to thank all the authors who have contributed their papers in form of book chapters for this edited volume on the topic of Corporate Social Responsibility.

We would also like to thank the publishers Parab Publications and their entire team for their excellent design and editing of the manuscript and bringing out the book in a short time period.

<div align="right">

Editors
Dr. Mohsin Shaikh
Dr. Tasya Aspiranti
Dr. Ika Nurul Qamri

</div>

About the Editors

Dr. Mohsin Shaikh, He is a MBA and PhD from University of Pune and MA (Public Administration) from University of Madras. He also passed the UGC - NET JRF examination for the award of junior research fellowship. Dr Shaikh has over 24 years of experience in teaching PG students at various management institutes under University of Pune, Shivaji University and Bharati Vidyapeeth Deemed University. He has published research papers in reputed journals and presented papers in various national and international conferences. He is a recognized research guide of University of Pune and 10 students have completed their PhD and 2 students their M.Phil. under his guidance. He is trained in Case Method of Teaching by Harvard Business School, USA and is also a certified CSR professional from ICSI & NSDC. His area of expertise is HRM, Strategy & Entrepreneurship. Dr. Shaikh has authored books on Industrial Psychology, OB and Business Research Methods. Currently Dr. Shaikh is an Associate Professor at School of Business, Dr. Vishwanath Karad MIT World Peace University, Pune, India

Dr. Tasya Aspiranti Completed Bachelor's and Master's degree in Management at Padjadjaran University Indonesia, Doctoral program at Parahyangan Catholic University Indonesia. Actively researching in Small, Medium Enterprises, Cooperatives, Micro Finance Institutions and Islamic Banking. Active as an author, reviewer of national and international journal related to the field of Small and Medium Business Policy, Management and Financial Institutions. Active as a guest lecturer and invited speaker in Asia countries. She is a member of the professional organization Indonesian Economic Scholars Association and the Indonesian Association of Islamic Economists. Currently as a Vice Dean for Academic Affair of Faculty of Economics and Business Unisba and lecturer in Bachelor Degree and Magister Program of Management Universitas Islam Bandung, Indonesia on Research Method and Operations Management and actively provided national and international community service.

Dr. Ika Nurul Qamri is an Associate Professor in the Postgraduate Program and Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta. So far, she has been very concerned about current issues in Management and Organization, especially concerning sustainable digital businesses and organizations. Several works have been published in various reputable international journals and also as a reviewer.

Table of Contents

SYNERGY OF HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AND CSR

[1]Dr. Supriya Dhananjay Paigude and [2]Dr. Smita Chaitanya Pangarkar
[1]Assistant Professor and [2]Assistant Professor, Dr. Vishwanath Karad MIT World Peace University, School of Management (PG)

ABSTRACT

In a global economy, organizations have the responsibility to facilitate, demonstrate and promote Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR). Long-term sustainability demands that organizations rethink their business goals and objectives from focusing only on making profit, to ideal citizenship (corporate citizenship). Corporate Social Responsibility is a social dimension of business activities. People are well informed, increasingly aware, and demand more companies to contribute toward it. CSR is a multi-faceted initiative that is increasingly recognized as strategically important for the organization. The Human Resource department plays a critical role--that of leading and educating their firms regarding the importance of CSR while at the same time strategically implementing sound & fair HR policies that support the company's business and CSR goals. Much has been done in recent years to make Indian Entrepreneurs aware of social responsibility; an important segment of their business activity but CSR in India has yet to receive widespread recognition.

CSR in other word is termed as giving back to the society. CRS is to work for the social cause that will benefit the society (where we run our businesses).

In recent years Human Resource departments are taking a leading role in encouraging CSR activities at all levels. The combined impact of CSR and human resource activities, can contribute toward long term success in organizations. Human Resource departments involve employees in such activities which has a dual advantage, it helps the purpose of giving back to society and it also gives employees a feeling of contributing to the Nobel cause.

Keywords: Corporate Social Responsibility, Organization, Human Resource, Community development Citizenship

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Existing study has been reviewed systematically and a discussion has been drawn based on the secondary data. This research has been done to understand the role of HR in Corporate social responsibility in the current business scenario.

INTRODUCTION

The increasing importance of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), one that emphasizes social, and environmental dimensions in addition to financial ones (the Triple Bottom Line), has been widely recognized by both academia and business organizations (Joseph, 2009).

The term "corporate social responsibility" came into common use in the late 1960s and early 1970s after many multinational corporations formed the term stakeholder, meaning those on whom an organization's activities have an impact. Corporate social responsibility (CSR, also called corporate conscience, corporate citizenship, social performance, or sustainable responsible business/ Responsible Business)[is a form of corporate self-regulation integrated into a business model. ISO 26000 is the recognized international standard for CSR.

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has gained exceptional momentum in business and people. It has become so important that many organizations have rebranded their core values to include social responsibility. Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is the commitment of business to contribute to sustainable economic development, working with employees, their families, the local community and society at large to improve the quality of life on a large platform.

Business becomes responsible to share the burden of the society to mentor the community and also to enrich it. So, many enterprises have embraced a culture of CSR. CSR activity is the concept of sustainable development integrating economic, social and environmental impact in its operations. CSR is voluntarily adopted; rather in current situation it has become compulsory and a legal requirement of any business or organization. An organization can create a better image in the minds of people by presenting itself as an excellent employer by indulging into various CSR activities, which also demonstrates the organization as people caring and people loving organization. There are many businesses claim to have been doing this for years, although not under the category of Corporate Social Responsibility & now these organizations are informing the world about it, and as a result annual reports are full with information on social and environmental activities. CSR is treated as a clear-cut business proposition, with businesses building competitive advantage in the markets.. CSR is not a separate problem the business has to take care of an element of its frame of reference and its strategic, operational and tactical decisions.

When we speak CRS in any business Human resource becomes a part of it by default. Human Resource which in layman's term is known to be a bridge between Employer & employee, which is suppose to very important link between the two parties. This made the HR function gain importance and in today's business world HR has become a very much needed and a vital function in any organization irrespective of the size of the organization. HR function has gained importance and plays a significant role in people positioning.

So it is rightly said that HR connects the human beings in the company. The culture of the company depends on the way it is managed or governed, the way employees are connected to the management. So Human Resources (HR) and Corporate Governance are interlinked. But with the changing environment, HR roles are also changing with new perspective and responsibilities. Similarly, there is an addition to the feather of the HR Cap is with the Corporate Social Responsibility. There is a strong connect between HR & CSR. According to the SHRM® 2004-2005 Workplace Forecast, key HR trends are 1) demonstrating HR's return on investment; 2) HR's role in promoting corporate ethics; and 3) building people management and human capital components into key business transactions.

What is Corporate Social Responsibility –

The well accepted definition of CSR is not a common term; MNC's prefers sustainable development or sustainable business while several Indian companies talk about responsible business or Triple P (People, Planet, and Profit).

The World Business Council for Sustainable Development in its publication Making Good Business Sense by Lord Holme and Richard Watts, used the following definition – "Corporate Social Responsibility is the continuing commitment by business to behave ethically and contribute to economic development while improving the quality of life of the workforce and their families as well as of the local community and society at large".

CSR is a process with the aim to hold on the responsibility of the company's actions and encourage a positive impact through its various activities on the environment, consumers, employees, communities, and all other members of the public , also be considered as stakeholders.

The term "corporate social responsibility" came into common use in the late 1960s and early 1970s after many multinational corporations formed the term stakeholder, meaning those on whom an organization's activities have an impact. It was used to describe corporate owners beyond shareholders as a result of an influential book by R. Edward Freeman, Strategic management: a stakeholder approach in 1984.

Corporate Social Responsibility is also termed as , "A company's sense of responsibility towards the community and environment (both ecological and social) in which it operates. Companies express this citizenship -

- Through their waste and pollution reduction processes,

- By contributing educational and social programs, and

- By earning adequate returns on the employed resources.

HR Role in sync with Corporate Social Responsibility –
The report ,"Developing the Global Leader of Tomorrow", observed that "a range of human resource levels are important for developing [CSR] organizational capabilities: building this knowledge and skills through leadership development programs, career development planning, succession planning, performance management and incentive systems and competency

frameworks, and seeking this knowledge and skills when recruiting new talent into the organization". (Ashridge, 2008, p. 10).

According to the authors, HR "should help formulate and achieve environmental and social goals while also balancing these objectives with traditional financial performance metrics." It sounds complicated but in the end it's about HR serving as a partner in determining the needs and strategies for business sustainability.

Today many organizations face a volatile environment, where change is inevitable. There are incredible numbers of pressures on today's organizations, like globalization, rapid change in technology, increasing competition, changes in demographic composition of the organization. If the organization has to survive and prosper, it needs to adapt to change quickly and effectively. An organization can exhibit a better image in the minds of people by presenting itself as an excellent employer which cares for its people and involves them in the ambit of social responsibility. This involvement of employees indicates the strategic importance of HRM in the CSR initiatives of an organization. Human Resource policies, forming the framework for the culture in the business management, create awareness towards the need to achieve the business goals in the best possible and ethical manner (Agrawal, 2007). Human resource helps the socially values to be penetrated and sustained within the organization from top to bottom. With company reputation, viability and sometimes survival at stake, one of the critical roles of HR leadership today is to develop and implement CSR throughout the organization and promote sound corporate citizen-ship. HR leaders can influence three primary standards of CSR—ethics, employment practices and community involvement—that relate either directly or indirectly to employees, customers and the local community, considering these three CSR standards, HR leaders can then identify the CSR stage of their organization before making decisions to develop and implement CSR initiatives.

Studies have offered insights to HRM practitioners who face problems relating to upskilling, local talent supply and employee recruitment. Different frameworks, relevant to HRM practitioners who play a lead role in their organizations' CSR initiatives. Darmawan, L., Jamil, R. and Rees, C.J. (2023), it concentrates on the dual advantage of CSR activity to the HR practices.

according to social identity theory (Mael & Ashforth, 1992), when employees perceive that outsiders consider their firm to be more prestigious, organizational identification will increase, thereby moti-vating employees to put more effort in supporting the organisation and enhancing their job performance (Carmeli et al., 2007; Shen & Benson, 2016)

CRS is one activity of its kind that needs importance and needs to be addressed seriously and dedicatedly. HR professionals need to co-ordinate the CSR activities and demonstrate company's commitment to CSR. HR manager should embibie individual social responsibility in every employee in the organization. He should make employees aware that every single person in the society has responsibility towards the society they belong to, irrespective of the job, class, caste or gender. Studies have shown a comprehensive approach that integrates human resource management (HRM) and corporate social responsibility (CSR) as organisational soft power to achieve long-term success and positively impact the economy, society, and environment. Patil, B.S.; Dayananda Sagar Business School, India© Copyright 2023 Elsevier B.V.,

HR professional does not just implement the CSR Activity but also monitor its adoption proactively, while documenting (and celebrating) its success throughout the company. HR should play a key role in ensuring that employees implement the strategy consistently across the organization. Sustainable human resource management (HRM) can be defined as using the tools of HR to create a workforce that has the trust, values, skills and motivation to achieve a profitable triple bottom line. CSR is positively and significantly related with green HRM

practices. In addition, green HRM practices mediate the relationship between CSR and environmental and social dimensions of sustainability performance. Yusliza, M.Y.; Copyright 2023 Elsevier B.V.,

CSR – Activities –
Every organization contributes its share of CSR activity to the community or the society. Many organizations have their own corporate social responsibility for which certain amount of revenue is assigned toward it. Organization are becoming more cautious about their responsibilities toward the society and are very religiously contribute to the same.

Few common activities that companies / organization contribute towards are -

- **Implement and Encourage Green Practices -** Implement green practices to assist in reduction in environmental waste, better corporate ethics and long-lasting practices that promote both personal and corporate accountability. Conservation has become an accepted means of making our planet healthier. Reducing each employee's carbon footprint is a great way of getting energy conservation and recycling waste initiatives off the ground. Measure taken toward the same involve – **(Many IT companies follow Green Practices)**

- ✓ No printing of email unless and until required. Such cause is supported by mentioning the tag line Eg - Please consider the environment before printing this email.

- ✓ Encourage shutting off lights, computers and printers after work hours or when not on the work station.

- ✓ Working with IT to switch to laptops over desktop computers (Laptops consume up to 90 % less power)

- **Initiatives in line with the Triple Bottom line -** The concept of TBL (Triple Bottom Line) demands, a company's responsibility be to **stakeholders** rather than shareholders. "**People, Planet and Profit**" describes the triple bottom lines and the goal of sustainability. (**CSR Activity by HPCL)**

- ✓ People" (human capital) pertains to fair and beneficial business practices toward labor and the community and region in which a company conducts / carries out its business

- ✓ "Planet" (natural capital) refers to sustainable environmental practices

- ✓ "Profit" is the economic value created by the organization after deducting the cost of all inputs, including the cost of the capital tied up.

- **Community Development –** Adopting, villages (outskirts) of various cities and implementing the Village Welfare Schemes within the adopted Villages. This initiative is for those weakest sections of rural and tribal India with no access to basic amenities. **(CSR Activity undertake by ACC Ltd, Kannoria Chemical Industries).** Community Welfare includes -

- ✓ **Education:** Education is imparted to the students of the weaker section of the society, who do not have any access to any medium of information.

- ✓ **Healthcare:** Rendering the medical facilities or assistance to the families in weaker sections. Generally companies provide the medical assistance to the people from weaker section those who are living around the premises of the factories located in various part of the country.

Challenges Implementing Corporate Social Responsibility –
Many companies has the thought process that corporate social responsibility is a unimportant issue for their business and customer satisfaction is more important for them. This psychology creates or gives birth to the loop holes in the process of implementing the CSR activity

effectively. There are few nuances in the implementation of the CRS Activity at various levels. The flaws remain on both parties associated with the Social Responsibility companies imparting the CSR and the beneficiaries who are going to be benefitted by the same. Few of the challenges in implementation are -

- HR needs to be an active business partner working with other functions like finance, public relations, marketing etc.

- HR has to implement CSR as a strategic opportunity which should be market-led and It should be restrained by bureaucracy. Because trust build through successful CSR is hard to regain if lost.

- HR has to get the Top team on board and know how to sell benefits of CSR to different stakeholders.

- **Lack of Community Participation in CSR Activities:** There is a lack of interest of the local community in participating and contributing to CSR activities of companies. The reason behind is the lack of know how about the importance of the activity and the lack of seriousness about such initiatives.

✓ HR has to look for ways to leverage social responsibility initiatives internally. Communicate the contributions company is making in the community and get employees involved.

- **Need to Build Local Capacities:** There is a need for capacity building of the local non - governmental organizations in the areas of CSR implementation, as there is serious dearth of trained and efficient organizations that can effectively contribute to the ongoing CSR activities initiated by companies.

- **Lack of Transparency:** There is a lack of transparency on the part of the local bodies implementing the CSR Activity, as these agencies do not take the adequate effort in explaining the importance and the benefit that will be derived from the same. This creates a bad image / name about the companies implementing the CRS Programs.

✓ HR has to develop CSR code based laws and regulations of the country and also ensure that reporting systems are accountable and transparent.

- **Non – availability of guidelines:** There is not statutory guideline, policy or directives that need to be followed for the implementation of CSR Activity. But the CRS activity generally depends upon the business size & profile of the company, implementing the activity.

- **Lack of Consensus on Implementing CSR Issues:** Lack of consensus results into a duplication of CRS Activity by the corporate houses in there are of intervention. This factor limits company's abilities to undertake impact assessment of their initiatives from time to time.

✓ Human Resource department should effectively measure and evaluate CSR activities.

CONCLUSION
In today world or in future Corporate Social Responsibility is always going to top the chart in the agenda of the companies. Companies are rated and ranked on the various initiatives taken toward the CSR Activities. Companies are assessed over the pre & post implementation over the CSR activities. Investors, stakeholder also directly or indirectly become the part of the CSR initiative and possess the right to gain information over the various CSR Activity implemented. CSR has just not only gained the importance within the company but also is important for the new people joining the organization. Companies' stature is decided by CRS Activity undertaken.

Instead of a single objective enterprise, enterprises are required to pay attention to multiple objectives like social, environmental, information and ethical objectives all of which are integrated. Indian enterprises must change their viewpoint, from thinking in terms of just charity but to the concept of respectability. It is important to link HR with the external environment to chart a better future for the society. "C" no longer stands for Corporate and Cash, now it also includes Care and Community development. Socially responsible enterprises help in building a better tomorrow for the underprivileged through the CSR Activity implemented by them.

CSR Activity enhances the reputation, respect & brand image of the company. CSR may help the organization to increase its profit, market value and can also help in retaining and attracting a good pool of talent. HR plays a key role to bring about the change in organization culture which ultimately results in the change in attitude, action increases the change of every organization to retain its position in the competitive market

REFERENCES

- http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_m3495/is_12_49/ai_n8583189/pg_1?tag=artBody;col 1

- Agrawal Kalpana (2007). Corporate Excellence as an Outcome of Corporate Governance: Rethinking the Role and Responsibility of HRM, the ICFAI Journal of Corporate Governance, Vol.VI (1): 6-16.

- Business Ethics-P.K. Ghosh -2010 Vrinda Publications (P) Ltd.

- Corporate that care (CSR)International Journal of Management Science Vol-2,July 2006 pp88

- www.csrwire.com

- www.wbcsd.org

- www.globalreporting.org

- http//www.worldbank.org/poverty/scapital/sources/comm1.html

- http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_m3495/is_12_49/ai_n8583189/pg_1?tag=artBody;col 1

- Human Capital Magazine

- Darmawan, L., Jamil, R. and Rees, C.J. (2023), "Human resource management and corporate social responsibility: a case study of a vocational and education training (VET) programme in Indonesia", Industrial and Commercial Training, Vol. 55 No. 4, pp. 457-469. https://doi.org/10.1108/ICT-11-2022-0080

- Byron Y. Lee, Tae-Yeol Kim, Sunghoon Kim, Zhiqiang Liu, Ying Wang © 2022 John Wiley & Sons Ltd.https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12481open_in_new

- Patil, B.S.; Dayananda Sagar Business School, India© Copyright 2023 Elsevier B.V., All rights reserved. DOI10.4018/979-8-3693-0250-7.ch020

- Yusliza, M.Y.; Copyright 2023 Elsevier B.V., DOI10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.139258

CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY: ISSUES AND CHALLENGES

Dr. Mahima Singh and Gururaj Dangare
Assistant Professor, Pratibha Institute of Business Management, Pune

ABSTRACT

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) involves companies aligning their social and environmental goals with their business objectives, following a Triple Bottom Line Approach: People, Planet, and Profit.

CSR in India is not just about profit but also involves addressing social issues such as equal opportunities, poverty reduction, and human development. Despite these efforts, you note that inequality and disparity still exist.

A significant development mentioned is the implementation of new CSR guidelines by the Indian government, making it mandatory for companies to spend 2 percent of their net profit on CSR activities. This makes India the first country in the world to enforce such a mandatory CSR requirement. The inclusion of CSR mandates in the Companies Act, 2013 is seen as a positive step towards engaging the corporate sector in the country's equitable development.

The amendment stipulates that companies failing to meet the 2 percent spending requirement on CSR within the given time limit must either provide reasons for the shortfall or turn over the required amount to government-run funds.

This chapter aims to study the issues and challenges associated with CSR in India. It also seeks to identify various factors driving CSR practices in Indian companies. CSR issues can range from ethical concerns to practical challenges in implementing CSR initiatives effectively.

Keywords: Corporate, Society, Environment, Triple bottom line, Sustainability, Social responsibility

The passage provides a comprehensive overview of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in the corporate sector, emphasizing that CSR is more than mere charity or donations. It defines CSR as a way in which corporate entities contribute to social good and add value by taking responsibility for their impact on society and the environment.

INTRODUCTION

CSR is not a new concept but has gained increasing popularity in the corporate sector over the last few decades. It distinguishes CSR from traditional charity, emphasizing that it involves a more strategic and value-driven approach to business operations. CSR is defined as the responsibility of companies towards the community and the environment in which they operate. It goes beyond legal compliance and individual liability, recognizing that companies also bear responsibility for the behavior of others within their business ecosystem.

The purpose of CSR is described as contributing to social and environmental objectives while integrating them with economic goals. Socially responsible companies seek to bring value to the world and create value for clients, customers, and other stakeholders. CSR initiatives can provide a competitive edge to companies and contribute to brand building. This aligns with the notion that consumers and investors increasingly value socially responsible practices.

CSR is a multi-layered concept with four interrelated dimensions: economic, legal, ethical, and philanthropic. These dimensions encompass the expectations placed on organizations by society. This perspective aligns with Carroll's CSR pyramid, which includes economic responsibilities, legal responsibilities, ethical responsibilities, and philanthropic responsibilities. It emphasis on making sustainability a mind-set in business operations. It suggests that while

capital, technology, and labour are essential for running an enterprise, ethics play a vital role in keeping entities together.

The following four dimensions together form a comprehensive framework for understanding and implementing CSR. Economic responsibility is seen as the foundational dimension, while legal compliance, ethical conduct, and philanthropic efforts build on this foundation, collectively contributing to a company's overall social responsibility.

Economic Dimension:
This dimension pertains to a company's responsibility towards its own economic aspects. It includes ensuring fair returns to investors, providing right wages to employees, offering goods to customers at fair prices, and responding to business risks adequately.The economic dimension is described as the foundation or basis for the other dimensions of CSR.

Legal Dimension:
The legal dimension emphasizes a company's responsibility to comply with all laws and regulations. It stresses the importance of following the legal framework as a requirement for social responsibility. Adhering to laws is viewed as essential for a company's survival in the long run.

Ethical Dimension:
The ethical dimension focuses on the expectations of society from corporate entities. Beyond economic and legal responsibilities, companies are expected to do more for society and go beyond the minimum requirements set by law. It involves meeting societal expectations and engaging in ethical practices that may not be explicitly required by law.

Philanthropic Dimension:
The philanthropic dimension represents the voluntary efforts of companies to contribute positively to employees, communities, or society at large. This dimension is discretionary and involves activities such as donating to charitable causes, supporting educational institutions, and participating in environmental initiatives. It reflects the desires of society for companies to engage in socially beneficial activities beyond their economic and legal obligations.

Now we are going to discuss about an in-depth exploration of various dimensions and drivers of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), expanding beyond the initial economic, legal, ethical, and philanthropic dimensions. Additionally, it introduces new dimensions such as Stakeholder's Dimension, Voluntariness Dimension, and Environmental Dimension. The passage further highlights several factors driving CSR in India:

Stakeholder's Dimension:
Companies are responsible for stakeholders, including employees, shareholders, customers, clients, suppliers, and the government. Aligning corporate goals with the interests of stakeholders is emphasized.

Voluntariness Dimension:
This dimension reflects a company's voluntary urge to contribute to society, making it a better place to live. Voluntary efforts are seen as a way for companies to positively impact society as a whole.

Environmental Dimension:
Focuses on a company's responsibility toward the ecosystem. Encourages integration of profit goals with environmental goals, advocating for green measures to protect the environment and contribute to sustainability.

Factors Driving CSR in India:

Cost Management: Companies focusing on low expenses are considered sustainable and responsible. Managing toxic gases is an example of social responsibility.

Tax Relief: CSR activities provide tax advantages, benefiting both companies and developing countries like India.

Customer Demand: Consumers prefer companies that are ecologically and socially responsible, driving companies to engage in CSR practices.

Value Shift: Corporate entities are shifting towards ethics, integrating wealth creation objectives with environmental and social objectives.

Brand Building: CSR enhances a company's brand and reputation, improving its image in the global market.

Staff Recruitment/Retention: CSR contributes to employee engagement, trust, and morale, reducing turnover and absenteeism.

Government Pressure: Government initiatives and provisions on CSR exert pressure on companies to contribute a portion of profits.

Public Pressure: Continuous reminders and pressure from various organizations, including pressure groups, consumers, media, and state bodies, drive companies to be socially responsible.

Investor Pressure: Investors prefer socially responsible companies, pressuring companies to be sensitive to contemporary issues and contribute to CSR.

Ecological Sustainability: Efforts such as sustainable resource use, energy-efficient technologies, and recycling contribute to ecological sustainability.

Social Media: Social media user's demand that corporate entities be socially and ethically responsible, contributing to nation-building.

OBJECTIVES

The study is focused on Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in the context of India, and the objectives are as follows:

To build a comprehensive understanding of CSR by synthesizing information from scholarly articles, textbooks, reports, and other academic publications through literature review.

To explore and analyze the legal and regulatory framework related to CSR in India

To assess the level of engagement, types of CSR activities, and the overall commitment of companies to social responsibility.

To critically evaluation of the obstacles and difficulties faced by companies in implementing CSR

To undertake a proactive approach to the study by recommending specific measures or strategies that can enhance CSR practices in the Indian corporate sector.

This present chapter relies on existing sources of information rather than primary data collection. Various sources, including journals, newspaper articles, textbooks, e-books, company reports, search engines, and academic publications, have been used for data compilation.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Literature review section provides an overview of several studies that explore different dimensions and aspects of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR). Here's a summary of the key findings from the mentioned studies:

Rahman (2011): Explored different dimensions of CSR by studying various definitions from the 1950s to the 21st century. He identified the evolution of CSR dimensions, including obligations towards society, the relationship between corporate houses and society, and the incorporation of stakeholders, ethics, voluntariness, philanthropy, environmental stewardship, and the triple bottom line. In the 21st century, CSR dimensions have expanded to include improving the quality of life, human and labor rights, environmental concerns, corruption issues, transparency, and accountability.

Majumdar et al. (2008): The researcher described CSR as an inescapable priority for corporate houses in the modern and globalized world. He examined existing CSR models and identified difficulties associated with their application in developing countries along with advocated for a conceptual framework of CSR addressing economic, ethical, legal, philanthropic, and environmental domains to bridge the gap between developed and developing countries.

Prieto-Carrón et al. (2016): Aimed to incorporate new insights into CSR studies, focusing on impacts of CSR initiatives, the relationship between business and poverty, power and participation in CSR, and governance-related dimensions. He highlighted the need for a comprehensive study of the pros and cons of CSR initiatives in developing countries and also suggested collaborative studies on CSR to develop better impact assessment methodologies, addressing all important issues.

Jothi (2016): In this paper emphases has been made on analysing factors driving public and private firms toward CSR contributions using data from 318 respondents. The variables considered included nature of ownership, hierarchy level, gender, age, qualification, experience, and CSR driving forces. It also identified compliance with the Companies Act 2013, community pressures, increasing awareness, commercial pressures, and reputation as key factors driving firms to contribute to CSR.

Nicolae and Sabina (2010): This piece of study discussed various dimensions and challenges of CSR, focusing on issues requiring changes in attitudes and efforts for effective implementation. It also explored legal, ethical, economic, and philanthropic dimensions of CSR. It addition to above it emphasized the need for increased inclination and transparency in areas such as code of conduct, standards management, financial reports, audit and reporting, labelling, and socially responsible investment for successful CSR implementation. He provided information outlines findings from several studies addressing issues, challenges, and practices associated with Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR).

Saxena (2016): In this study the main highlight was on the exploration of the history of CSR in India, examining periods before and after 1900. It discussed ethical models, statist models, liberal models, and stakeholder models in relation to CSR history, with a focus on the current stakeholder model adopted by Indian companies. It stressed on stakeholder model which works on a triple bottom line: people, planet, and profit. This paper also identified challenges to CSR implementation, such as transparency issues, a narrow perspective, and lack of consensus, infrastructure challenges, and visibility factors.

Arevalo and Aravind (2011): The paper writer surveyed top-level managers of companies engaged in CSR activities, particularly those associated with the UN Global Compact. It found that Indian firms follow the Stakeholder approach for CSR, with ethics and values as important drivers. Lack of resources or sufficient funds identified as a major obstacle to effective CSR implementation. This paper suggested that Indian firms have the right framework for CSR but need an inclusive strategy involving a majority of the workforce.

Du et al. (2010): analyzed various aspects of CSR communication, including message content, communication channels, and stakeholder-specific factors. In this paper the importance of CSR communication for businesses is given its due place. On top of it, it emphasized overcoming stakeholder scepticism and generating positive attributions for CSR initiatives. Also the paper explored various communication methods, including sustainability reports, press releases, website reporting, advertising media, product packaging, etc.

Dubbink et al. (2014): Discussed the pros and cons of transparency in association with CSR. He evaluated transparency policy based on criteria of efficiency, freedom, and virtue. At the same time he noted that transparency enhances allocation efficiency, dynamic efficiency, and innovative efficiency but can be costly. Along with the above he equally emphasized that maintaining full transparency is efficient only when information quality is good and can be provided at a low cost.

Sharma and Mani (2013): They conducted a study on 30 banks, including public, private, and foreign banks, analyzing different CSR activities. Also, examined activities such as rural branch expansion, priority sector lending, environmental protection, community welfare, women welfare, farmer's welfare, financial literacy, education, etc. It was concluded that while Indian banks are continuing with CSR initiatives, there is a need for more emphasis on CSR. Public sector banks contribute more towards CSR compared to private sector banks. They are of the view that RBI needs to be more stringent in enforcing regulatory requirements on banks regarding CSR.

Dhawan (2019): The study focuses on the acceptance of Green Human Resource (G-HR) practices by Indian companies and their potential benefits for the environment. Green HR practices are described as being in their nascent stage, indicating that the adoption of environmentally friendly HR practices is still evolving in India. The future outlook for Green HRM in India is considered bright, with the potential for significant positive impacts if applied with proper planning and strategy. The focus is on aligning HR practices with sustainability objectives, highlighting a holistic approach that considers environmental impacts. The study suggests that the implementation of Green HR practices can contribute to the reduction of carbon and environmental footprints.

These studies collectively contribute to the understanding of the evolution, challenges, and various dimensions of CSR, highlighting the importance of addressing economic, ethical, legal, philanthropic, and environmental aspects in the contemporary business landscape along with understanding of the historical context, models, drivers, challenges, communication strategies, and transparency aspects of CSR in the Indian business.

CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY PROVISIONS IN INDIA

The passage provides an overview of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) provisions in India, specifically outlined in Section 135 of the Companies Act, 2013, and the corresponding Rules, 2014. Here are the key points:

Applicability Criteria:
Companies, including holding and subsidiary companies, with a net worth of Rs. 500 crores or more, turnover of Rs. 1000 crores or more, and net profit of Rs. 5 crores or more are required to comply with CSR provisions.

CSR Committee:
Companies eligible for CSR must constitute a CSR committee of the board.

Mandatory Spending:
Companies must spend at least 2 percent of their average net profits made during the three immediately preceding financial years on CSR activities as per their CSR policy.

Amendments (2019):
The amendment to Section 135 in 2019 makes it more stringent. If a company is unable to spend the target CSR amount, it is required to transfer the unspent amount to a fund prescribed under Schedule VII, such as the Prime Minister's National Relief Fund.

Penalties for Non-Compliance:
Non-compliance with CSR provisions can result in fines ranging from Rs. 50,000 to Rs. 25,00,000. Officers may be liable for imprisonment for up to 3 years.

Prescribed Causes for CSR Activities:
Companies may contribute to various causes as part of their CSR initiatives, including:

- Eradication of hunger, malnutrition, or poverty.

- Promotion of healthcare and sanitation.

- Support for education and employment to enhance vocational skills.

- Encouraging gender equality.

- Ensuring sustainability.

- Protecting heritage, art, and culture.

- Working for the benefits of the country's armed forces.

- Fostering and training for sports activities.

- Contributing to relief projects.

The CSR provisions aim to ensure that eligible companies actively contribute to social and environmental causes, and the penalties are in place to enforce compliance with these obligations. The specified causes highlight the diverse areas where companies can direct their CSR efforts for societal benefit.

ISSUES AND CHALLENGES IN IMPLEMENTATION
The passage highlights key issues and challenges faced by companies in implementing Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) initiatives. Here are the main challenges discussed:

Failure to Consider CSR's Holistic View:
Companies often have a narrow perception of CSR and may not fully understand its holistic view. There is a challenge in recognizing that CSR has impacts on various stakeholders, society, and the environment as a whole. Businesses need to move beyond a narrow focus on profits and embrace CSR in their operations, integrating business objectives with sustainability and social goals. Creating shared value, where business activities contribute positively to society, is emphasized.

Lack of Transparency:
Transparency is identified as a crucial condition for the success and proper implementation of CSR policies. CSR and transparency are closely linked, as transparency allows stakeholders to gain insight into issues relevant to them. Inadequate transparency practices within organizations can hinder the sharing of important information with stakeholders. Window-dressing or presenting a façade of CSR without substantial transparency can conceal information, impacting trust-building between corporate entities and communities.

Harmonizing Development Goals with Shareholder Interests:
The challenge lies in reconciling social responsibility with economic performance and aligning the company's development goals with the interests of its stakeholders. Creating shared and sustainable value becomes a significant challenge in the path of CSR.

Greenwashing:
Inequality in India is high, and the CSR law may not be effective in reducing this inequality. Lack of transparency in CSR initiatives can lead to "greenwashing" on a national scale, creating an illusion of progress without substantial impact. The challenge is to ensure that CSR efforts go beyond mere appearances and lead to tangible positive outcomes.

Lack of Sufficient Financial Resources, Local Capacities, and Infrastructure:
CSR initiatives may require substantial financial investments, necessitating proper planning and prioritization by companies. Lack of local capacities and infrastructure poses a challenge, and there is a need to build both for effective CSR implementation. Insufficient governmental and non-governmental support for CSR initiatives is noted.

Lack of Consensus:
A lack of consensus among different local agencies and corporate entities can result in duplicated efforts and unnecessary competition, undermining the main objective of building value for society.

Lack of Participation by Communities:
Communities targeted for CSR initiatives may show less interest in programs, and efforts to spread awareness about CSR activities may be inadequate. Inadequate communication between organizations and communities is identified as a major challenge for CSR.

Lack of Strategic Planning:
The lack of strategic planning, experimentation, innovation, and engagement hinders companies from making a meaningful impact with their CSR efforts. Identifying ideal investment projects and providing high-impact results requires a better understanding of the challenges faced by citizens.

Faulty Execution and Implementation:
Companies face challenges in the execution and implementation of CSR strategies. A commitment to long-term goals, engagement of the workforce, personal commitment from leaders, and effective planning are emphasized as crucial for successful CSR execution.

Addressing these challenges is essential for companies to maximize the impact of their CSR initiatives and contribute positively to society and the environment.

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

Awareness and Long-Term Perspective:
Creating awareness about CSR among the general public is crucial for its successful implementation. Companies should adopt a long-term and sustainable perspective on CSR activities to bridge gaps between stakeholders and ensure effectiveness.

Diverse CSR Issues:
Companies must address diverse issues through their CSR practices, considering a broader development agenda to avoid duplication of efforts.

Pooling Resources and Building Synergies:
Collaboration between companies and non-governmental organizations is recommended to pool resources and build synergies for more efficient and effective CSR implementation.

Focus on the Poor and Underprivileged:
Special attention should be given to the poor and underprivileged in both urban and rural areas in CSR initiatives.

Recognition for Corporate Efforts:
The government should provide awards and accolades to corporate houses that contribute significantly to the welfare of the needy and poor.

Sensitization through Education:
Introducing CSR as a compulsory subject in schools, colleges, and universities can sensitize students, motivating them to address future challenges with innovative solutions for societal and environmental betterment.

Sustainability Reporting:
Emphasizing the importance of sustainability reporting, companies are encouraged to adopt frameworks like the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) guidelines.

Sustainability reporting provides a comprehensive presentation of a company's sustainability performance, including positive and negative contributions, goals, strategies, commitments, and approaches.

Suggestions:

Building Partnerships:
Encourage companies to build partnerships with NGOs, government bodies, and other organizations to maximize the impact of CSR initiatives.

Capacity Building:
Invest in building local capacities and infrastructure to support the effective implementation of CSR projects.

Stakeholder Engagement:
Prioritize effective communication and engagement with stakeholders to ensure their active participation and address their needs.

Innovation and Experimentation:
Encourage companies to adopt innovative approaches and experiment with new ideas to enhance the effectiveness of CSR efforts.

Regular Evaluation:
Implement regular evaluations and impact assessments to measure the effectiveness of CSR initiatives and make necessary adjustments.

Government Support:
Advocate for government policies that provide incentives and support for companies engaging in meaningful CSR activities.

By addressing these conclusions and implementing the suggested measures, companies can overcome challenges and contribute more effectively to societal well-being through CSR initiatives.

REFERENCES

- Arevalo, J. and Aravind, D. (2011) 'Corporate Social Responsibility Practices in India: Approach, Drivers & Barriers', Corporate Governance International Journal of Business in Society, Vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 99-414.

- Companies Act (2013): http://www.mca.gov.in/Ministry/pdf/CompaniesAct2013.pdf

- Du, S. and Bhattacharya, CB. (2010) 'Maximizing Business Returns to Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR): The Role of CSR Communication', International Journal of Management Reviews, Vol. 12, No. 1, p. 56

- Majumdar, M. and Nazmul, A., (2008) 'Challenges of the Corporate Social Responsibility Practices in Developing Countries', Department of Management, Faculty of Business and Economics, Monash University, Australia.

- Nicolae, J.C. and Sabina, J.M. (2010) 'Dimensions and Challenges of Social Responsibility', Annales Universitatis Apulensis Series Oeconomica, Vol. 12, No. 1, pp. 238-247.

- Rajput, N., Batra, G. and Pathak, R. (2012) 'Linking CSR and Financial Performance: An Empirical Validation', Problems and Perspectives in Management, Vol. 10, No. 2, p. 56

- Prathima, D.S. (2015): The 10 Companies with Best CSR Reputations. Proceedings of the Second European Academic Research Conference on Global Business, Economics, Finance and Banking (EAR15 Swiss Conference), Paper ID: Z5100.

- Prieto-Carron, M., Lund-Thomsen, P., Chan, A., Muro, A. and Bhushan, C. (2006) 'Critical Perspectives on CSR and Development: What We Know, What We Don't Know, and What We Need to Know', International Affairs, Vol. 82, No. 5, pp. 977-987.

- Rahman, S. (2011) 'Evaluation of Definitions: Ten Dimensions of Corporate Social Responsibility', World Review of Business Research, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 166-176.

- Sharma, E. and Mani, M. (2013) 'Corporate Social Responsibility: An Analysis of Indian Commercial Banks', AIMA Journal of Management & Research, Vol. 7, No. 4, pp. 56-62.

- Saxena, N. (2016) 'Corporate Social Responsibility: Issues and Challenges', International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 41-46.

CORPORTAE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY: WAY TO ECOLOGICAL GROWTH

[1]Dr. Mahima Singh, [2]Prof. Rachana Singh and [3]Prof. Vinayak Bhavsar
[1]Assistant Professor, Pratibha Institute of Business Management, Pune
[2,3]Senoir Assistant Professor, Balaji Institute of Modern Management, Sri Balaji University, Pune

ABSTRACT

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has emerged as a significant aspect of business operations, especially in the context of achieving sustainable development goals. In India, CSR has gained prominence with the introduction of mandatory spending requirements for eligible companies. This paradigm shift is particularly evident in India, where the Companies Act of 2013 has mandated CSR activities for eligible corporations. This paper delves into the various dimensions and implications of CSR, emphasizing its role in reshaping the business-society relationship. The study explores why companies should contribute to social welfare and the economic development of the country, going beyond mere compliance with legal obligations. It examines the motivations behind this shift, the potential benefits for companies, and the broader impact on stakeholders and society. The study also examines the challenges associated with CSR implementation in the Indian context and identifies key drivers pushing companies to engage in socially responsible practices. By reviewing existing literature, the paper aims to provide insights into the issues and challenges faced by companies in India regarding CSR and proposes measures for enhancing CSR practices. The study emphasizes the need for a comprehensive and sustainable approach to CSR that aligns with the broader agenda of societal development. It concludes with recommendations for fostering improved CSR practices in India, emphasizing the role of awareness, collaboration, and innovation in addressing contemporary societal and environmental issues.

Keywords: Corporate Social Responsibility, Sustainable Development Goals, Companies Act 2013, Business-Society Nexus, Social Welfare, Economic Development

INTRODUCTION

The 21st century has witnessed the pervasive integration of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) into the global business landscape. Beyond profit accumulation and market sustenance, contemporary corporations are compelled to engage in sustainable growth through CSR activities. This paradigm shift emphasizes that businesses must no longer operate in isolation, merely buying and selling products and services, but must actively consider their impact on the world. Sustainable goals have become imperative for long-term survival and societal service.

In the current era, Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) transcends its conventional role and has evolved into a ubiquitous term globally. The focus is no longer solely on profit generation and global market sustainability; instead, businesses are urged to compete with an ethos of sustainable growth through robust CSR practices.

Traditionally, businesses were primarily concerned with profitability and market presence. However, the contemporary narrative requires a broader perspective. The paper explores how the business landscape has evolved to necessitate a paradigm shift towards sustainable growth through CSR. Survival in the long run demands a departure from conventional business models. Companies must now integrate sustainable goals into their core strategies.

At the heart of CSR lies the principle of reciprocity. Businesses extract resources, including raw materials and human capital, from society. This paper elucidates the reciprocal responsibility of corporations to give back to society, thereby contributing to overall economic development.

Corporate sustainability is dissected into three pillars: environmental, social, and economic. The environmental and social dimensions go beyond legal mandates, forming the essence of CSR. The economic pillar remains a prerequisite for any corporate entity. As nations pivot towards socio-economic development, the private sector assumes the role of the engine driving growth. The evolving dynamics between the state, its citizens, and the private sector pose critical questions about responsibility. Various motivations drive CSR initiatives, including benevolence, shared value creation, self-interest, market share acquisition, and image building.

The reciprocal relationship between the success of firms and societies is dissected. The long-term prosperity of societies is intricately linked to the success of economic activities within them. The paper examines this interconnection and its implications for sustainable development.

OBJECTIVES

To analyze contemporary definitions and dimensions of CSR.

To assess the impact of CSR on organizational reputation and brand image.

To assess the broader societal impact of CSR practices.

To identify the cultural and contextual challenges of implementing CSR in the Indian business environment.

METHODOLOGY

This research paper adopts an exploratory research methodology, utilizing secondary data from diverse sources to delve into the evolution and impact of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) policies in India. The study primarily relies on information gathered from official websites of the Department of Corporate Affairs Ministry, newspaper articles, academic journals, research papers, media reports, and magazine articles.

Data Collection:
Official Documents: The official websites of the Department of Corporate Affairs Ministry serve as valuable sources for policy documents, legislative changes, and official CSR guidelines.

Media Sources: Newspaper articles and magazine reports contribute to the understanding of the public discourse and media representation of CSR policies and their outcomes.

Academic Literature: Peer-reviewed journals and research papers provide in-depth insights into the theoretical foundations and empirical studies related to CSR practices in India.

Data Analysis:
Qualitative Analysis: The study employs qualitative analysis techniques to interpret and synthesize information gathered from various sources. The focus is on understanding the narrative and context surrounding CSR policies.

Thematic Analysis: Information is organized thematically to explore recurring patterns, trends, and shifts in CSR policies over time.

Objective Alignment:

This research aligns with the exploratory nature of the study's objectives, aiming to comprehensively examine the trajectory of CSR policies in India. The absence of statistical tools is justified, given that the study does not seek to establish quantitative relationships but rather intends to provide a qualitative exploration and discussion of the subject matter.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Peter Drucker (1946): He was of the opinion that survival of any enterprise is dependent on harmony between the company's objectives, state system objectives, and societal objectives. Corporations are responsible for worker's human dignity, training, and development.

Bowen & Howard (1953): In this paper they highlighted businesses are obligated to make decisions desirable to the social values of the community under CSR.

Davis & Kieth (1960): discusses the execution of business policies should consider socio-legal aspects, not restricted to corporate interests.

Archie Carroll (1979): The main points which were emphasized are social responsibility includes economic, legal, ethical, and discretionary expectations of society.

Bradshaw (1981): The researcher concluded that corporate management's overriding role is to meet people's needs with professional skills, respond to the market, and produce quality goods efficiently.

Kilcullen & Kolstra (1999): The authors depicted in their work that CSR indicates the degree of moral obligation beyond legal requirements.

Hick (2000): The high point of the work is that CSR revolves around the relationship between business and society, determining business behavior toward stakeholders.

Kok et al (2001): The underline of the work was that businesses are ethically obliged to benefit society, committing resources for societal well-being.

Fredrick Ma (2004): He sees CSR as complementary to corporate governance, with a significant survey indicating varying opinions on its importance.

David Vogel (2005): The paper pointed that CSR is an essential dimension of corporate strategy, finding a balance between social/ethical responsibility and economic profitability.

Vaaland, Heide (2008): The paper brings out the opinion that CSR should be managed by handling unexpected incidents, reducing the gap between stakeholders' expectations and company performance, and maintaining relationships with society.

Shah, Bhaskar (2010): It explored that organizations have a broad relationship with society, using its resources and providing services in return.

Hartman (2011): This paper calls attention towards CSR as a crucial in the food sector, especially for companies with a high brand image, while SMEs may struggle to discharge their obligations toward society.

Chandler and Werther (2013): They claimed in their paper that that CSR has the potential for generating sustainable value and that the first step to do so is by identifying the social problems for which the company can create a market-based solution in an efficient and socially responsible way.

Chandler (2016): His work reflects on the evolution of CSR and its growing acceptance as central to the company's strategic decision making as well as to their day-to-day operations.

Guzzo et al. (2020): He reviewed the prior literature on CSR initiatives in hospitality with a specific focus on customers and employees.

Farmaki et al. (2022): The author of the paper suggested that customers are becoming more educated regarding CSR practices and have started valuing hotels that are involved in CSR initiatives. Thirdly, in addition to generating more value in terms of reputational benefits, CSR initiatives have been associated with increased financial performance.

LEGAL FRAMEWORK OF CSR IN INDIA
The formalization of corporate responsibilities towards Indian society began with the issuance of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Voluntary Guidelines in 2009 by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs. Subsequent steps included guidelines on Social, Environmental, and

Economic Responsibilities of Business. The most significant development was the introduction of Section 135 in the Companies Act 2013, which made CSR spending and reporting mandatory for Indian companies. Here is an overview:

Applicability:

Section 135 applies to companies meeting specific financial criteria:

- A net worth of INR 500 crore or more,

- Turnover of INR 1,000 crore or more, or

- A net profit of INR 5 crore or more during any financial year.

Constitution of CSR Committee:
Eligible companies are required to constitute a Corporate Social Responsibility Committee (CSR Committee) of the Board of Directors. The CSR Committee should consist of at least three directors, including at least one independent director.

CSR Expenditure:
Companies falling under the criteria must spend at least 2% of their average net profits made during the three immediately preceding financial years on CSR activities.

CSR Policy:
The CSR Committee is responsible for formulating and recommending the CSR policy to the board.

CSR Activities:
Schedule VII of the Companies Act provides a list of activities that qualify as CSR expenditure. These activities generally relate to social and environmental causes which are as follows-.

- Eradicating Hunger, Poverty, and Malnutrition:

- Supporting programs that focus on food security, nutrition, and poverty alleviation.

- Promoting Healthcare and Sanitation:

- Contributing to healthcare projects, medical facilities, and sanitation initiatives.

- Education:

- Promoting education, including special education and vocational training.

- Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment:

- Supporting initiatives that empower women and promote gender equality.

- Ensuring Environmental Sustainability:

- Undertaking projects related to environmental conservation, sustainable development, and biodiversity.

- Protection of Cultural Heritage and Art:

- Contributing to the preservation of national heritage, art, and culture.

- Contributions to Armed Forces and War Widows:

- Supporting the armed forces, war widows, and their dependents.

- Rural Development Projects:

- Undertaking projects for the development of rural areas.

- Disaster Relief and Rehabilitation:

- Contributing to disaster relief and rehabilitation efforts.

- Social Business Projects:

- Supporting social business projects that have a positive impact on society.

CSR Reporting:
Companies are required to disclose their CSR activities in their Board's report and on their official website.

Unspent CSR Amount:
If a company fails to spend the required CSR amount, it must provide reasons for the same in its annual report. Unused amounts are to be transferred to a specified fund.

Penalties:
Non-compliance with CSR provisions can result in penalties, including fines and potential legal action against the company and its officers.

CSR INITIATIVES OF INDIAN COMPANIES

The CSR framework aims to encourage businesses to contribute positively to society and the environment, aligning economic objectives with social and environmental responsibilities.

The CSR activities of Indian companies span various sectors and address diverse social and environmental issues. Here is an overview of CSR practices among Indian companies, including specific examples:

Education Initiatives:
Example: Companies contribute to the education sector by building schools, providing scholarships, supporting digital literacy programs, and promoting skill development initiatives. Tata Consultancy Services (TCS), for instance, has invested in educational programs and skill development to enhance employability.

Healthcare Programs:
Example: Many companies focus on healthcare through initiatives such as establishing hospitals, organizing health camps, and supporting medical research. Infosys Foundation, the philanthropic arm of Infosys, has undertaken various healthcare projects, including funding the construction of hospitals and supporting health awareness programs.

Skill Development and Employment:
Example: CSR initiatives often involve skill development programs, vocational training, and job placement efforts. Mahindra & Mahindra runs several skill development centers to empower youth with employable skills, especially in rural areas.

Women's Empowerment:
Example: Companies contribute to women's empowerment through initiatives like promoting gender diversity in the workplace, supporting women entrepreneurs, and providing healthcare and education. Hindustan Unilever Limited (HUL) has various initiatives focusing on women's well-being, education, and economic empowerment.

Environmental Sustainability:
Example: CSR activities related to the environment include tree plantation drives, waste management projects, and support for renewable energy solutions. Adani Green Energy Limited is involved in renewable energy projects contributing to environmental sustainability.

Rural Development:
Example: CSR in rural development includes projects related to infrastructure, agriculture, and community development. ITC's e-Choupal initiative, aimed at empowering farmers through digital technology, is an example of rural development through corporate initiatives.

Community Development:
Example: Companies engage in community development projects such as building community centers, providing sanitation facilities, and supporting overall well-being. Reliance Industries Foundation focuses on community development in areas like healthcare, education, and infrastructure.

Disaster Relief:
Example: Many companies contribute to disaster relief efforts during natural calamities. The Tata group, through its Tata Trusts, has been actively involved in disaster response and relief, providing support during floods, earthquakes, and other emergencies.

Promoting Art and Culture:
Example: Companies may support art and cultural initiatives to preserve heritage and promote traditional arts. The Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited (BPCL) Foundation, for instance, sponsors cultural events and supports artists to preserve and promote India's rich cultural heritage.

Digital Literacy and Technology Access:
Example: In the digital era, companies contribute to digital literacy programs and initiatives that provide access to technology. Microsoft, through its philanthropic arm, has undertaken projects to enhance digital literacy and bridge the digital divide.

These examples illustrate the diverse CSR activities undertaken by Indian companies, demonstrating their commitment to social responsibility and sustainable development. Companies often align their CSR initiatives with the unique needs and challenges of the communities in which they operate.

OPERATORS OF CSR
The key drivers of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) can vary, but several common factors influence companies to engage in CSR initiatives. Here are some key drivers:

Ethical Considerations:
Many companies engage in CSR because they believe it is the ethical or morally right thing to do. This involves a sense of responsibility to contribute positively to society beyond profit-making activities.

Reputation and Brand Image:
CSR can significantly impact a company's reputation and brand image. Positive social and environmental initiatives can enhance the company's standing in the eyes of customers, employees, and investors.

Customer Expectations:
Customers, particularly in the modern era, are increasingly conscious of the social and environmental impacts of the companies they support. Engaging in CSR can be a response to the growing demand for sustainable and socially responsible business practices.

Employee Morale and Engagement:
CSR activities can boost employee morale and engagement. Many employees prefer to work for companies that are socially responsible, and engagement in meaningful CSR initiatives can enhance the company's attractiveness as an employer.

Risk Management:
Proactive CSR engagement can help manage and mitigate risks. Companies that address social and environmental issues voluntarily may be better equipped to handle potential regulatory changes, legal issues, or reputational risks.

Competitive Advantage:
CSR can provide a competitive advantage in the marketplace. Companies that differentiate themselves through socially responsible practices may attract a broader customer base and gain an edge over competitors.

Government Regulations:
Regulatory requirements and government policies can drive companies to engage in CSR. In some cases, governments mandate CSR activities, making it a legal requirement for companies to allocate resources to social and environmental initiatives.

Investor Influence:
Investors, especially socially responsible investment funds and institutional investors, are increasingly considering a company's CSR performance. Engaging in CSR can attract investment and positively influence stock prices.

Globalization and Stakeholder Relations:
In an interconnected global economy, companies are more accountable to various stakeholders, including communities, NGOs, and governments. Engaging in CSR can help build positive relationships with these stakeholders.

Long-Term Sustainability:
Companies recognize that long-term success is interconnected with the health and well-being of the communities and environments in which they operate. CSR is viewed as a strategy for sustainable business practices.

Innovation and Efficiency:
CSR initiatives can drive innovation within companies, leading to more efficient and sustainable business practices. This innovation can result in cost savings and operational improvements.

Consumer Loyalty:
Consumers often reward socially responsible companies with loyalty. Engaging in CSR can create a strong bond with customers, leading to repeat business and positive word-of-mouth.

These drivers can be interconnected, and the importance of each driver may vary depending on the industry, geographic location, and the specific values of the company and its stakeholders.

CHALLENGES IN CSR
Certainly, these challenges highlight some common obstacles faced by companies in the implementation of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) initiatives. Let's delve into each challenge:

Lack of Community Participation in CSR Activities:
Issue: In some cases, communities may not actively participate in CSR activities due to various reasons, such as lack of awareness, mistrust, or differing priorities.

Impact: Limited community involvement can affect the effectiveness and sustainability of CSR programs, as local support and engagement are crucial for positive outcomes.

Mitigation: Companies can address this challenge by fostering open communication, conducting community needs assessments, and involving local stakeholders in the design and implementation of CSR projects.

Issues of Transparency:
Issue: Lack of transparency in CSR reporting and communication can lead to skepticism and mistrust among stakeholders.

Impact: If stakeholders, including the public, are not informed about the company's CSR activities, goals, and outcomes, it can undermine the credibility of the initiatives.

Mitigation: Companies should prioritize transparent communication, regularly update stakeholders on CSR progress, and provide detailed reports outlining the impact of their initiatives.

Non-availability of Well-organized Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs):
Issue: Limited access to well-organized and reliable NGOs can pose challenges in finding suitable partners for CSR collaborations.

Impact: Without effective NGO partnerships, companies may struggle to implement impactful CSR projects, especially those requiring specialized expertise.

Mitigation: Companies can invest in building relationships with NGOs, conduct due diligence to identify credible partners, and actively engage with organizations aligned with their CSR goals.

CONCLUSION

The conclusion drawn from the study emphasizes the government's intention behind introducing CSR, aiming for corporations to shoulder more responsibility toward their stakeholders and society as a whole. Acknowledging that corporations are social entities, the study underscores the importance of efficient corporate responsibility to satisfy all stakeholders.

The integration of volunteer initiatives by large corporations is recognized as a step toward improving environmental and social performance. The study contends that the ultimate goal of business should be to serve society while safeguarding environmental and community life support systems. Therefore, it suggests a clarification and reorientation of the purpose of business.

While Indian organizations have shown sensitivity to CSR initiatives and their integration into business processes, the study acknowledges existing challenges in implementation. Lack of awareness about CSR in local communities and issues related to transparency are highlighted. The study suggests that effective partnerships between corporations, NGOs, communities, and the government could accelerate social development in India, contributing to the achievement of sustainable development goals for both firms and the nation.

REFERENCES

- Annual report of the companies Minister of corporate Affairs, Government of India

- Arora, B., & Puranik, R. (2004). A review of corporate social responsibility in india . Ecomomics Times: 13-10-2015

- Aguinis, Herman & Ante Glavas (2012). "What we know and don't know about Corporate Social Responsibility: A review and research agenda". Journal of Management. Vol.38. No.4. Pp.932-938.

- Baker, Mallen (2012). "Four emerging trends in Corporate Responsibility". Retreieved from Mallenbaker.net. "From Fringe to Mainstream: Companies integrate CSR initiatives into everyday business". An article Retrieved from Knowledge@Wharton on May 23, 2012.

- Bansal, Harbajan., parida, vinu & Pankaj kumar (2012). "Emerging trends of Corporate Social Responsibility in India". KAIM Journal of Management. Vol.4. No. 1-2.

- Chaturvedi, Anumeha. (2013). "Companies give employees a nudge for corporate social responsibility". The Economic Times (11 Jan.2013)

- Hartmann, Monika (2011). "Corporate Social Responsibility in the food sector". European Review of Agriculture Research. Vol.38. No.3.Pp. 297-324.

- Idowu, Samuel., & Loanna, Papasoplomou. (2007). "Are corporate social responsibility matters based on good intentions or false pretences? A critical study of CSR report by UK companies." Corporate governance Journal. Vol.7. No. 2. Pp. 136-147.

- Mishra, S & Diamodar, S. (2011). Does corporate social Responsibility Influences Firm Performance of Indian Companies. Journal of Business Ethics, 95(4), 571-601.

MONITORING OF CSR

Dr. Pallavi Chugh
Assistant Professor, Pratibha Institute of Business Management

INTRODUCTION

Monitoring the CSR helps the companies CSR from improved delivery systems, systematic planning and resource allocation, learning from past mistakes, and results measurement as a means of reporting to important stakeholders. No matter how well-thought-out and well-planned a CSR initiative or project is, improper implementation will lead to inefficient and unnecessary resource loss. Numerous CSR initiatives and activities have been implemented across a range of industries, including drinking water, agriculture, rural development, health and family welfare, education, women's and children's development, and other initiatives connected to reducing poverty. According to an analysis of these initiatives, monitoring and suitable mid-course corrective actions are essential to accomplishing project objectives. Considering the aforementioned, monitoring and evaluation, or M&E, is becoming more widely acknowledged as a vital tool. There is a general consensus that CSR project performance needs to be improved. M&E is a crucial and essential component of the CSR project cycle and offers a foundation for responsibility in the use of resources.

After studying this unit, you will be able to:
- Explain what, why, when and how of monitoring CSR programmes.
- Identify key elements in monitoring CSR programmes.
- Discuss various types of monitoring of CSR programmes.

Monitoring

The Latin term that means "warn" is where the word "monitor" originates. The CSR department monitors every stage of an activity's implementation to make sure that planned activities, work schedules, intended outputs, and input deliveries are all happening as planned. Monitoring might occur continuously or on a regular basis. The presence of a "plan" is a requirement for monitoring.

An alternative definition of monitoring would be the act of measuring, documenting, gathering, analyzing, and disseminating data to support decision-making in project management. The monitoring system is an information system for management decision making, to put it succinctly.

As stated by Shapiro the gathering and examination of data as a project moves along is known as monitoring. Its goal is to increase a project's efficacy and efficiency. It is predicated on goals established and tasks scheduled during the work's planning stages. It can alert management to issues and helps to keep the task on schedule. When executed correctly, it serves as a valuable foundation for evaluation and is an indispensable instrument for effective management.

Monitoring, according to PSO, is the methodical gathering, examination, and application of data from projects and programmes for the following fundamental goals: making decisions; learning from experiences; holding both internal and external parties accountable for resources used and outcomes attained; and learning from past mistakes.

To monitor the CSR programme and direct its actions, the CSR department needs the following data:

1. Diagnostic data (the reasons for the state of a condition)

2. Implementation data (input data, financial, and physical data)

3. Information about utilization

4. Impact data

5. Situational information;

6. Reviewable information.

The monitoring unit and other official and informal sources provide information to top management. This affects how those initiatives are implemented, improves the planning of CSR programmes, and guarantees their sustainability. In the end, this enhances CSR departments' capacity to use available financial and human resources efficiently.

Scope of Monitoring in CSR
Monitoring is a role of the CSR department that starts at the beginning of a project and ends when it is finished, but it is an ongoing activity while the project is being implemented. An "ACTION PLAN" is a crucial component of monitoring, without which it is impossible to conduct monitoring. The Box below provides a summary of the monitoring scope.

Scope of Monitoring		
What?	Check, review, overview, keep track, observe, control, guide, correct, inspect, supervise, verify, feed-back, follow-up of the:	Progress in implementation of CSRcore programme activities with reference to action plans.
Why?	To ensure successful implementation of the core activities by identifying shortfalls, deviations, problems and the reasons.	Take appropriate corrective/ remedialaction to keep the activities on track.
When?	During the implementation of the activitycontinuously/ periodically.	From inception tillcompletion
Who?	By the CSR team at different levels	Including beneficiaries (participatory monitoring)

Since monitoring falls under the purview of management, it will be carried out by all relevant personnel in the CSR department who are involved in the operations. Participatory monitoring is made possible when all CSR stakeholders, including beneficiaries, are included in the monitoring process. This is a desired development.

In order to monitor a CSR project's technical and financial "efficiency," it is important to keep an eye on its operation, performance, and impacts. This is done in terms of whether the various activities are completed on time, whether the project's outcomes are likely to lead to the realization of its goals, and whether its goals, targets, and execution require revisions.

We pinpoint deficiencies, deviations, issues, and their underlying causes throughout the monitoring and evaluation cycle process in order to implement the necessary corrective or remedial measures

Fig.: Monitoring and Evaluation Cycle
(***Source:*** Shapiro, J. Monitoring & Evaluation)

CONCEPTS AND ELEMENTS IN MONITORING

The fundamental ideas of monitoring and assessment are four. They each match the following (Ruthenburg, 1985, p. 120):

a) Operational investment (capability); for example, funding a recipient family's share of CSR activities

b) Operational effectiveness (e.g., how many meetings, visits, trials, and demonstrations each CSR worker participates in)

c) Technical efficiency, which includes value contributed, output, and adopter count.

d) CSR-induced modifications (Impact), such as adjustments to income, productivity, production, and distribution of income.

The monitoring domain encompasses the capability, effectiveness, and efficiency mentioned above. Impact is categorized as evaluation (Casey and Lury, 1982).

i) A CSR program's capability is what gives it control over its financial, human, and material resources so that it may better serve its clients. CSR outreach, intensity, technical proficiency, and financial and material resources all reflect it. The capacity of the CSR department directly affects how well it performs.

ii) The extent to which CSR objectives are met is known as effectiveness. Among the numerous objectives of CSR initiatives are: • Social objectives (such as beneficiary welfare)

Operational goals (e.g., physical and financial targets)

Operational goals are particularly important among them since achieving them enables the achievement of other objectives.

iii) The rates at which the beneficiary target group adopts suggested developmental practices are typically used to gauge effectiveness. Different levels of complexity can be imagined for adoption rates.

A basic indicator, such as crop production per hectare, infant mortality rate (IMR), or maternal mortality rate (MMR), can be used to quantify impact. These metrics serve as the gold standard

for evaluating the effectiveness of sector-specific CSR initiatives in areas such as family welfare, health, and agriculture.

Elements in Monitoring

Now let's go over each of the many aspects of monitoring in more depth. A program's objective is to transform a set of resources into the intended outcomes.

Resources are inputs; outcomes are outputs; and this phrase (which is used here in a general sense but has a more precise meaning that will be covered later) is the result. The order in which inputs become results is described below:

Input: Materials, cash, labour, services, technology, and other resources used in a CSR initiative with the goal of achieving OUTPUTS.

i) **Outcomes:** Some things occur straight away, some things take time to happen, and some things occur in the middle (intermediate). This arrangement allows for the general classification of the outcomes into three groups: income, production, and productivity.

ii) **Output (Instant Results):** Particular goods or services that a CSR initiative is supposed to generate from its inputs in order to meet the predetermined goals (e.g., improved irrigation, establishment of a health facility, etc.).

An Example of Watershed Irrigation Project Monitoring		
Let us assume a watershed irrigation dam has been built as part of CSRactivity and has become operational. A sequence of results flow are discussed below.		
Sequence of Results		Parameter
Immediate Result(s)	INPUT	Watershed Irrigation Dam
	OUTPUT	i. Irrigated Area ii. Change of crop pattern iii. Use of Agri. Inputs (Seeds, fertilizers, chemicals, labour etc.)
Intermediate Result(s) (MediumTerm)	EFFECT (Purpose/ outcomes)	i. Productivity ii. Production iii. Income
Ultimate Result(s)(Long Term)	IMPACT (Goals)	Overall Socio-Economic Development (Social indicators)

This sequence (input, output, effect (outcomes), and impact) is inherent in all projects; hence, one of the main "conditions" for a CSR project's success is that these processes take place. Once more, all CSR programmes are predicated on a set of "assumptions," such as the following: if we offer inputs, recipients will use them appropriately and generate the required outputs, raising the standard of living through greater income. 'Risks' include additional variables that could impact project outcomes, such as shifting political environments, pricing fluctuations, etc. Therefore, every CSR initiative needs to develop a framework that ensures the necessary criteria are met, assumptions are realized, and the effects of risk are minimized by offering safety nets for the

TYPES OF MONITORING

Monitoring can be divided into two types:

1) Beneficiary Contact Monitoring

Monitoring of Beneficiary Contacts

It is essential to the general oversight of CSR initiatives. The first major part of a management information system, financial and physical monitoring, often gauges how well inputs and

services are delivered. However, CSR services are being adopted, and it's crucial to consider how they fit into stakeholders' development.

Monitoring of beneficiary contacts is implemented:

- To keep records of every action and to review them on a regular basis to track service uptake and clientele development.

- To set up a regular survey schedule in order to gauge the activity's advancement and the recipients' reactions. In order to obtain statistically meaningful results from these surveys, formal sampling techniques must be applied.

To use informal interviews to record outstanding success stories or problems.

2) Process Monitoring
As was previously mentioned, the first step in implementing a project is deploying inputs to achieve certain outcomes. Certain procedures, actions, and the fulfilment of specific tasks are necessary for the conversion of inputs into outputs. This occurs in the order that Box 2.3 illustrates.

Process Monitoring
Process: It is the way in which activities are conducted which are continuous and cuts across programmes (e.g., Networking as a process is relevant to other programmes like knowledge management, capacity Development, strengthening extension research, curricula reforms and policy Dialogue).

Milestones: These are a series of achievements that leads to a completion of stage in an activity (an event).

Activities: These are what we do to deliver the output. Activities always have a beginning and an end and are associated with a budget.

Output: This is what a project delivers before the close of the project.

Monitoring of CSR Programmes
Process monitoring is a method that makes sure processes are guided to produce the intended outcomes while maintaining quality all along the way. As long as attention is paid to the delivery method of the outputs as well as the inputs and outputs themselves, and as long as quality indicators are developed and monitored, process monitoring should be beneficial and effective. Process monitoring and traditional progress monitoring are frequently mistaken. While process monitoring addresses crucial processes that are directly related to the project's objectives, conventional progress monitoring concentrates on the logistical, financial, and physical aspects of projects (e.g., progress monitoring counts the number of capacity building sessions held, whereas process monitoring assesses the quality of the sessions, participant involvement, and nature of changes required to further improve, maximize impact, and improve the likelihood of sustainable ou Both progress and process monitoring components are present in an ideal M&E system.

TECHNIQUES OF MONITORING
Broadly, following techniques are used for the purpose of monitoring:

a) **Regular Progress Reports**: Financial and physical progress in relation to goals, activities, etc., should be included in progress reports. It is frequently easy to quickly determine whether and to what extent the CSR activities have been completed within the budgetary constraints by looking through the financial and physical progress reports.

b. **Personnel Performance Monitoring** (Review): By keeping an eye on the CSR department and project personnel, one can make sure that people are being used efficiently to complete assigned duties. All employees should ideally get together on a regular basis to review goals and targets, talk about issues and potential adjustments, and evaluate how they are doing.

c) **Tour Reports / Site Visits:** The most valuable information regarding the qualitative features of a corporate social responsibility programme can be found in the tour reports and site visits that field staff members submit.

d. **Participant observation**: Employees in the CSR department are able to see programmes and activities being carried out in order to gain sensitive and direct knowledge.

e. **Visitor Feedback**: The staff makes sure that each person who visits the CSR locations and takes part in its programmes gives a brief report on their observations. (For instance, input on CSR initiatives, results, or outputs that will aid in the advancement of CSR initiatives).

f. **Interviews**: It is important to ask stakeholders about their opinions of CSR initiatives and the modifications that have followed.

g. **Participatory monitoring**: In this approach, CSR project monitoring is done in partnership with the stakeholders. Together, the stakeholders and employees of the CSR department evaluate and discuss performance to determine how well they have performed, what issues they have, and how to resolve them.

h. **Key Informants**: The CSR department needs to reach out to people who could be valuable information sources in addition to the usual stakeholders (e.g. senior officials / policy makers in relevant department).

i). **Grievances / Complaints Petitions**: Stakeholder complaints and grievances provide some insight into the real performance. As part of monitoring, such a source of information should be included in every CSR programme.

MONITORING INDICATORS

Type of Indicators	Description	Indicators Example
Quantitative Indicators	Provide numeric information about a change in a situation due to CSR programme.	Number of Beneficiaries came out of poverty (before and after the programme)
Direct Indicators	Provide information, which expressly relates to what is being measured.	Income generated, crop yield
Indirect Indicators	Essential information, chosen from amongst many types of information to serve as substitutes or proxy indicators for answering questions or responding to statements that are difficult tomeasure.	To measure the level of poverty in a community, instead of choosing direct indicators for income, indirect indicators for poverty may be chosen, e.g. persons are poor if they have to hire themselves out as daily unskilled labour.
Process Indicators	Information on various processes involved in CSR programme implementation	Processes involved in planning, collaboration withpartners, funding, and organizing effective CSR programmes.
Progress Indicators	Seek to measure or monitor changes against stated targets in CSR programmes.	Percentage increase in activities in terms of actions, interactions, collaborations etc (e.g. The number of trees planted, percentage of water supply connections given).

Qualitative Indicators	Largely descriptive statements about processes and outcomes of CSR programmes.	• How community needs are assessed? • Level of participation in CSR programmes. • How decisions are made?

Massive amounts of data from CSR programmes are gathered as part of the monitoring process. In order for the management to make decisions, all of this information must be carefully considered, processed, and presented in a clear and straightforward manner. An indication is a single, condensed piece of information of this type. Metrics of change are called indicators. They assist us in validating the accomplishments of CSR efforts by providing insightful and reliable claims about the actions taken and their results.. These declarations can range widely, from succinct quantitative measurements (even just one number, like a percentage) to detailed verbal descriptions (beneficiaries' statements). According to Dale (2004), indicators are a simplified approximation of accomplishments or phenomena that are studied. With reference to planned activities and goals/objectives, indicators enable us to precisely assess the desired levels of input utilization (quantity, quality, and time), as well as the ensuing outputs, effects, and impacts. Creating indicators is a prerequisite for successfully overseeing CSR initiatives.

Summary

An essential component of every project management system is monitoring. It's an ongoing **endeavor** for the CSR division. The why, what, when, who, and numerous forms of monitoring have all been covered in detail in this unit. A thorough examination of the monitoring indicators is also included.

BIBLIOGRAPHY AND SELECTED READINGS

- ADB (2002), Project Performance Management System Operations Manual, Section 22. PACS Series, New Delhi.

- AESA (2017). Manual on Good Practices in Extension Research & Evaluation, Edited by Sethuraman Sivakumar, P., Sontakki, B.S., Rasheed Sulaiman, V., Saravanan, R & Nimisha Mittal. Centre for Research on Innovation and Science Policy (CRISP), Hyderabad, India http://www.crispindia.org

- Casley, D.J., & Kumar, K. (1987), Project monitoring and evaluation in agriculture, Johns Hopkins University Press (published for the World Bank), Baltimore and London.

- Casley, D.J., & Lury, D.A (1987), Monitoring and evaluation of agriculture and rural development projects, Johns Hopkins University Press (published for the World Bank), Baltimore and London.

- Cemea, M.M., & Tepping, B.J. (1977), a system for monitoring and evaluating agricultural extension projects. World Bank Staff Working Paper No.272. DC: World Bank, Washington.

- Chalmers, James (2002), How to managing projects, Jaico Publishing House, Mumbai.

- Davis-Case, D. and P Grove (1990), The Community's Tool Box: The Idea, Methods, and Tools for Participatory Assessment, Monitoring and Evaluation in Community Forestry, FAO, Rome.

- Davis-Case, D'Arcy. (1990), Community Forestry. Participatory Assessment, Monitoring and Evaluation, FAO, Rome.

- Dennis J. Casley & Krishna Kumar (1989), The Collection, Analysis and use of Monitoring and Evaluation Data. The World Bank.

- Dennis J. Casley & Krishna Kumar (1990), Project Monitoring and Evaluation in Agriculture, The World Bank.

- Development Alternatives (2008), MEAL Manual for Civil Society Organisation. Monitorign Evaluation

- Feuerstein, Marie-Therese (1986), Partners in Evaluation. Evaluating Development and Community Programmes with Participant, Mac Millan Education Ltd, Hong Kong.

- GFRAS. (2017). The New Extensionist Learning Kit. 13 Learning modules for extension professionals. Lausanne, Switzerland, Global Forum for Rural Advisory Services GFRAS (Module 3 Agricultural Extension Programme Management).

- Gosling, Louisa and Mike Edwards (1995), Toolkits: A Practical Guide to Assessment, Monitoring, Review and Evaluation. Save the Children, London.

- Guijt, I. (1998), Participatory Monitoring and Impact Assessment of Sustainable Agriculture Initiatives: An Introduction to the Key Elements. Discussion Paper No.1, Sustainable Agriculture and Rural Livelihoods Programmes, IIED, UK: IIED.

- http://Inweb18.worldbank.org/oed/oeddoclib.nsf/24cc3bb1f94ae11c85256808006a0046/

- http://www.adb./org/Documents/Manuals/Operations/om22.asp.

- Jody Zall Kusek and Ray C. Rist (2004), Ten steps to a results-based monitoring and evaluation system: a handbook for development practitioners, D.C: World Bank, Washington.

- Lee, J.W (1990), More than accountability: Evaluating agricultural extension programmes. TRDC Publiation No.172. Amidale, Australia: University of New England, Rural Development Centre.

- Misra, DC (1999), Monitoring Extension Programme and Resource, Chapter 17 in Improving Agricultural Extension; A Reference Manual: Ed: FAO, Rome.

- Murphy, J., & Marchant, T.J. (1988), Monitoring and evaluation in extension agencies, World Bank Technical Paper No.79, DC: World Bank, Washington.

- Participatory Monitoring and Evaluation (1990), A Handbook for Training Field Workers, 1998 Bangkok: Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific (RAPA), FAO.

- Ruthenberg, I. (1985), Innovation policy for small farmers in the tropics: The economics of technical innovations for agricultural development, OxfordUniversity Press.

- Shapiro, J. "Monitoring and Evaluation", http**://**www.civicus.org/new/media/monitoring

- Slade, R.H., & Feder, G (1985), Training and visit extension: A manual of instruction (mimeo), Washington, DC: World Bank.

- Suvedi M., and Kaplowitz M.D. (2016). Process skills and competency tools what every extension worker should know – Core Competency Handbook.Urbana, IL, USAID-MEAS.

- World Bank (2004), Monitoring & Evaluation: Some Tools, Methods and Approache, Washington, DC: World Bank

NAVIGATING THE LANDSCAPE OF CSR IN MOROCCO: INSIGHTS INTO SME PRACTICES

Rachid Ouskou[1] and Ibtissame Lakhlili[2]

[1]Phd Researcher and [2]Professor, Researcher in Management Sciences, Polydisciplinary Faculty of Khouribga, Morocco

ABSTRACT

Corporate Social Responsibility has evolved significantly over time and across different regions. In Morocco, its rise has been influenced by a convergence of social, economic, and political factors, driven by changes in individual and corporate behaviors and a growing involvement in globalization. This evolution can be attributed to the increased opening of the Moroccan economy to the global market, heightened expectations towards businesses from individuals, and a stronger emphasis on environmental protection, employee rights, and corporate involvement in public interest causes. In response to these demands, companies have been compelled to adopt sustainable and more responsible approaches towards all their stakeholders.

This article delves into the landscape of CSR in Morocco, examining current practices and the associated institutional characteristics. It places particular emphasis on small and medium-sized enterprises, which form the majority of the Moroccan economic landscape.

Mots clés: Corporate social responsibility; CGEM CSR label; SMEs in Morocco; CSR in Morocco.

INTRODUCTION

Corporate Social Responsibility has become an ethical and economic imperative, transcending national borders to become a norm integrated into global societal issues. At the heart of sustainable development, CSR embodies the idea that companies can thrive economically while generating a positive social and environmental impact. Internationally, the ISO 26000 standard serves as a compass guiding companies in governance, respect for human rights, fair labor practices, environmental protection, fair business practices, and community issue resolution. In Morocco, CSR has emerged as a fundamental dimension of economic and political discourse, fostered by royal commitment, supported by environmental laws, and shaped by initiatives such as the one realized by the CGEM.

The enlightened vision of King Mohammed VI has closely linked CSR in Morocco, establishing a conducive framework for the flourishing of socially responsible companies. Legislative milestones, such as the 2003 environmental protection law and the new Labor Code of 2004, have created a favorable regulatory foundation for the integration of responsible practices. The nation, undergoing significant economic changes since its independence in 1956, has made major changes, including through the Structural Adjustment Program between 1983 and 1994, advised by the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank [3].

Moroccan institutions, aware of these challenges, have resolutely committed to promoting CSR. The CGEM, in particular, has emerged as a driving force with an RSE label in 2006, awarded to companies that excel in financial, economic, and social performance, symbolizing the growing commitment of Moroccan companies to CSR. The AGF, by promoting responsible human resources, adds to this momentum by emphasizing the diversity of actors involved in the CSR dynamic in Morocco.

The article explores the managerial vision of companies in emerging and developing countries, examining the institutional and legal frameworks and the particular challenges faced by

companies, especially SMEs, shedding light on promising opportunities and future prospects for CSR in this Moroccan context.

I. CSR Practices in Morocco

The ascent of CSR in Morocco transcends mere trendiness; it represents a strategic opportunity to reshape the country's business environment. Through the integration of ethical and responsible values, Morocco can not only enhance its global standing but also forge an economy that is more sustainable, balanced, and socially responsible. This transformation goes beyond business practices; it is a pivotal step towards a holistic and responsible approach to economic development.

1. The Gradual Integration of CSR in Morocco

Corporate social responsibility (CSR) is now a universal obligation inseparable from societal and human issues. It constitutes a fundamental and primary component of sustainable development (Bon, V. et al. 2013). Consequently, socially responsible companies strive to be economically prosperous and also have a positive social impact. CSR has become important in the business world and is governed by international laws and regulations.

CSR has become an important part of the business world. It is governed by international laws and regulations. The international standard ISO 26000 defines guidelines related to CSR and organizations, expressing them around seven main axes: organizational governance, human rights, labor practices, environmental issues, fair operating practices, consumer issues, and community involvement (Igalens, J. 2009).

The objective of ISO 26000 is to guide organizations in exercising their social responsibility, communicating with stakeholders, validating social responsibility communication, and promoting the best social responsibility practices worldwide. The concept of social responsibility applies not only to businesses but to all types of organizations since the introduction of ISO 26000 in 2010, which emphasizes social responsibility.

Morocco is committed to social responsibility through the speeches of His Majesty King Mohammed VI, who promotes all socially responsible initiatives and strategic directions based on the adoption of responsible strategies at various CSR levels (Hammach, M. A. 2017). In this sense, since 2003, the law n° 11-03, related to the implementation of environmental protection principles, has been adopted into national legislation (Majdoubi, H. 2016).

In 2004, the introduction of a new Labor Code undermined action at the national level, leading companies to become more aware of workers' rights and the improvement of working conditions to ensure the best possible conditions for employees (Official Bulletin No. 5210, 2004). On the one hand, it reinforced the Kingdom's respect for human rights and international labor conventions. Additionally, national standards promote social aspects through the social management standard NM00.5.600, referring to principles defined in the SA 8000 certification, encouraging acceptable social practices in the workplace. This standard considers regulations, management systems, quality, safety, environment, labor laws, and social standards (El Abboubi, M. El Kandoussi, F. 2009).

Today, there is increased interest and awareness in corporate responsibility commitments. This trend has been embraced by several organizations. The General Confederation of Moroccan Enterprises (CGEM) has been promoting Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) since 2006 and awarding the CSR label to recognize companies committed to continuous progress. The choice for CGEM is the source of the overall performance of the company in financial, economic, and social terms. Companies with the label are acknowledged for utilizing, protecting, and promoting the universal value of CSR and sustainability in their economic activities and value creation (Bouanani El Idrissi, J. Ben Moussa, M. 2019).

The label is awarded to companies that adhere to CGEM's CSR principles for three renewable years, regardless of industry, size, or product. Since its establishment in 2006, the number of companies labeled CSR by CGEM has exceeded a hundred, indicating the need for further investments and efforts in this trend to increase the number.

Other organizations have also shown interest in CSR and the implementation of responsible practices, such as the Association of Managers and Trainers (l'Association des Gestionnaires et Formateurs) (AGF), promoting the role of human resources in Moroccan industrial entities through the implementation of intelligent and responsible state policies.

2. The Emergence of CSR in Morocco

Moroccan companies, much like their counterparts in other developing nations, are increasingly recognizing the significance of embracing social responsibility. While this obligation extends to businesses worldwide, Moroccan stakeholders have yet to make decisive moves to promptly translate this awareness into action. According to a 2009 study by M'hamdi and Trid, 60% of executives are familiar with CSR, excluding the artisanal sector. Notably, 70.4% are unaware of organizations aiding in its implementation, and nearly 77% lack familiarity with CSR references.

In 2013, a study by Sustainable Square provided encouraging insights, revealing that 85% of Moroccan institutions were actively engaged in CSR strategies. However, Houssam Lahrech, Director of ESG Invest within the same organization, suggests that these responsible practices tend to assume a philanthropic dimension rather than integrating strategically into Moroccan companies (Bouanani El Idrissi, J. Ben Moussa, M. 2019). Since this study, researchers have not revisited this topic. Despite decades of economic globalization in Morocco, the country considers itself somewhat lagging, especially when compared to Western counterparts. Moreover, the understanding of CSR and its principles remains limited and unclear, posing a negative impact on its implementation within Moroccan businesses (El Abboubi, M. Kandoussi, F. 2009).

Since establishing its inaugural office in Morocco in 2004, the international social and environmental rating agency, Vigeo Eiris, has actively contributed to initiatives introducing the concept of social responsibility to Moroccan companies. The agency also serves as the audit framework for the CSR label of the CGEM. These measures aim to promote the adoption of responsible practices, encourage adherence to complementary standards, and foster social, societal, and environmental responsibility. Despite this, a recent development saw V.E withdrawing from its audit activities for the CGEM CSR label in 2021. The agency has decided to refocus its efforts on issuing and evaluating ESG data and sustainable finance, as communicated by its Africa and Middle East Director, Nourlil Ghizlane (Le Matin Review, March 26, 2021).

Corporate social responsibility requires a systematic approach aimed at enhancing harmony among various segments of Moroccan society and reducing inequalities and interinstitutional imbalances. It also involves implementing reforms and economic policies to improve the lives of all Moroccans. Thus, the private sector actively and prioritarily participates in the development of social, societal, and environmental commitments (Benraiss-Noailles, Bentaleb, 2014).

In April 2020, all Moroccan companies utilizing public savings are obligated to publish a CSR report to comply with the directives of the Moroccan Capital Market Authority (AMMC) in accordance with Circular No. 19/03 on operations and financial information. While some companies find this practice suitable and appreciate it, others perceive it differently (Brun, T. 2019).

Debates generally emerge on financial, social, humanitarian, environmental, and corporate governance issues. Employers are central to these debates, and the Moroccan government has become increasingly active in mitigating damages and providing the best possible solutions for individuals and entities in light of these constraints. The spread of the Covid-19 pandemic revealed the country's significant commitment, with the King mobilizing all the nation's capacities to address the social and economic impact of the pandemic (Desrues, T. 2020).

The monarchy supports international action for sustainable development by contributing to enhanced reflection and the implementation of international conventions on sustainable development, such as those of the International Labour Organization (ILO) and the Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (Hammach, M. 2017). These actions are part of Morocco's operational and strategic efforts to encourage both public and private institutions to adhere to responsible practices as an obligation rather than an option.

The Economic, Social, and Environmental Council (CESE) conducts research and studies on sustainable development issues to analyze the situation in Morocco, often at the government's, parliament's, or volunteers' request. In 2011, the organization developed a set of proposed standards and objectives for access to basic services, inclusion, social protection, solidarity, environmental protection, and corporate governance. Based on the efforts of national volunteers, the CESE prepared proposals to enhance the participation of Moroccan organizations, especially businesses positioned as the primary target in a dynamic of strengthening social, societal, and environmental performance (CESE, 2016).

The implementation of CSR at the national level primarily involves key stakeholders by respecting human rights, engaging in long-term relationships, satisfying customers, ensuring employee safety and good working conditions, and preserving ecological diversity.

3. The CGEM charter: a suitable tool for comprehensive evaluation

The measurement of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) practices has been the subject of numerous studies, leading to the proposal of various measurement tools. Indeed, the complexity and multidimensional nature of CSR, involving a broad range of levels and analytical elements, make its measurement intricate (Aupperle et al. 1985). Many studies have employed unidimensional measures that fail to encompass all dimensions of CSR. In Morocco, the charter of the General Confederation of Moroccan Enterprises (CGEM) is currently the most commonly used tool for assessing companies across nine domains of CSR, providing a multidimensional approach to CSR. These nine domains include 36 criteria related to stakeholders and society.

II. The actions for promoting CSR in Morocco

Research on macro-environmental dimensions is not limited solely to large enterprises, even though they contribute to the creation of the majority of added value, accounting for 80% of the Moroccan economy. Consequently, attention is also directed towards Small and Medium-sized Enterprises, which hold significant importance in the economic fabric in terms of dominance (Ait Mhamed, 2017). The adoption of specific rules conditions the competitiveness of SMEs due to their size. Indeed, studying CSR in the context of SMEs is highly relevant, particularly in the Moroccan context, where they play a substantial role in production, exportation, investment, job creation, and the overall dynamism of the economy.

The implementation of CSR in Morocco aligns with the opening of the economy and the effects of globalization and the sharing of flows. This necessitates national actors to adopt best practices for enhanced performance and competitiveness. Consequently, integrating managerial, technological, and organizational innovations allows Moroccan businesses to acquire competitive advantages.

1. L'évolution de la RSE au Maroc

The expansion of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in Morocco is a result of significant changes that have occurred in the country since gaining independence in 1956. At that time, the national economy was grappling with increasing weaknesses. To address these challenges, Morocco initiated the Structural Adjustment Program (SAP) between 1983 and 1994, based on recommendations from the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank. This program was followed by the implementation of major economic and institutional reforms, primarily centered on the gradual reduction of the state's role, which previously adhered to an interventionist model, and the increased prominence of the private sector. This shift brought about a fundamental change in the country's economic landscape.

The adoption of the Structural Adjustment Program (SAP) in Morocco had a significant impact on the evolution of the country's economy. The SAP aimed to address issues within the Moroccan economy by promoting trade liberalization, privatizing state-owned enterprises, and opening the country to foreign investments. These reforms resulted in significant development in the Moroccan economy, particularly in the manufacturing and services sectors.

However, these reforms also had social and environmental consequences. Economic growth, while substantial, often coincided with increased inequalities and environmental degradation. This prompted a growing awareness of the need to consider these aspects in economic decision-making and to promote sustainable growth. It is within this context that the expansion of CSR in Morocco unfolded. CSR involves the voluntary consideration of social, environmental, and economic issues in a company's activities, with the goal of contributing to sustainable development.

Since then, the business climate in Morocco has undergone a complete transformation. Through privatization, increased foreign investments, stock markets, regulation, political reform, and human development, there has been a real change in the institutional framework. In addition to these institutional developments, social needs have emerged, directly affecting society, including the fight against corruption, transparency, respect for human rights, and improvements in working conditions and rights (Benaicha, 2017). Morocco has signed numerous international agreements covering various areas of human and labor rights.

The evolutions in the Moroccan institutional framework have been favorable to development, notwithstanding some imbalances and contradictions that institutions and societies bear the primary responsibility for managing (El Malki, 2010). At the same time, the country needed to maintain its attractiveness and continue welcoming foreign investors and subsidiaries of major international conglomerates. This is how CSR began in the local business environment. Morocco promptly initiated its deployment by promoting good governance, best practices, and economic and social performance to enhance the conditions for attracting foreign investors. The government committed to combating corruption, implementing various actions such as the United Nations Convention against Corruption in 2003, government measures against corruption in 2006, and the Central Instance for the Prevention of Corruption in 2008. However, there are calls for strengthening the role of the latter.

In this perspective, it is crucial to manage relationships between competitors fairly and transparently to ensure the effective and equitable functioning of markets. Economic freedom is a right guaranteed by the Moroccan constitution since 1996, and the Moroccan Competition Council was established to enforce the competition law and ensure transparency and fairness in economic relations. Two laws, 104-12 and 20-13, have been enacted to strengthen the legal framework for competition in Morocco. These laws aim to ensure the proper functioning of Moroccan markets by protecting consumers against anticompetitive practices and promoting fair competition.

Therefore, it is also important to protect consumer rights and ensure transparency and fairness in economic relations to ensure the fair and effective functioning of markets. The Moroccan Competition Council plays a crucial role in this area by enforcing competition law and protecting consumers against anticompetitive practices. Law 31-08 on the protection of consumer rights has also been implemented to strengthen the legal framework for consumer protection in Morocco.

2. The current context of CSR in Morocco

The arrival of international subsidiaries and partnerships with indigenous companies in Morocco has contributed to the introduction of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in the country. This has raised awareness among Moroccan businesses about social responsibility practices and provided them with the opportunity to collaborate with companies implementing these practices. This has had a positive impact on the environment and society at large by encouraging businesses to consider these impacts in their decision-making and to act responsibly. In this context, it was necessary to differentiate between Moroccan companies, small and medium-sized enterprises, and large structures.

Market changes prompted Morocco to implement a series of support programs for entrepreneurs facing these major transformations, particularly through Moroccan SMEs (El Bouserghini, 2018). These initiatives aim to modernize Moroccan SMEs, making them more competitive internationally. Programs have been established to enhance the competitiveness of SMEs, with a significant focus on social and environmental issues, aligning them with CSR and sustainable development principles (Benaicha, O. 2017).

For businesses, it is crucial to comply with international management standards to maintain high levels of quality and safety for their employees and to respect the environment in which they operate. Obtaining certifications in quality, health and safety at work, and environmental stewardship can help companies achieve these goals and stand out in the market. IMANOR is an organization that provides certification services in quality, health and safety at work, and environmental stewardship to companies in Morocco.

Sustainable development (SD) and corporate social responsibility (CSR) have become increasingly important for businesses seeking to meet the requirements of international markets. The royal address to the Investment Intégrales in 2005 was a key moment in the history of SD and CSR in Morocco, as it explicitly encouraged businesses to adopt these practices. Public authorities' commitment to SD and CSR is considered highly symbolic, underscoring the significance of these issues for the country (Hniche et Aquesbi, 2015). In this context, the General Confederation of Moroccan Enterprises (CGEM) created its own CSR label in 2006 to promote these practices among Moroccan businesses and encourage them to adopt high-level CSR practices.

The CGEM's label aims to encourage businesses to integrate CSR into their corporate strategy, measure and improve their impact on society and the environment, and be transparent about their CSR practices. To ensure the label reflects the concerns and expectations of various stakeholders, the CGEM consulted a wide range of actors, including businesses, social partners, NGOs, international organizations, and the International Labour Organization, during the label's development. By consulting these different stakeholders, the CGEM can also obtain advice and recommendations on how to enhance the label and make it more effective in promoting CSR in Moroccan businesses.

To qualify for the label, the CGEM outlined several axes in its CSR convention:

● Respect human rights

● Continuously improve employment, working conditions, and labor relations

---

- Contribute to environmental conservation

- Prevent damages

- Comply with rules of fair competition

- Enhance transparency in corporate governance

- Respect the interests of customers and consumers

- Strengthen the social responsibility of suppliers and subcontractors

- Promote community participation

Currently, nearly 100 Moroccan companies hold this label, aligning themselves with a responsible Moroccan community as a recognition of policies aimed at promoting aspects beyond economic and financial profitability. The label seeks to assess companies' achievements in CSR and encourage them to adopt high-level CSR practices. It is tailored to the realities of the Moroccan context and addresses noteworthy societal issues (Bruna et Hamdani, 2015). By evaluating companies' accomplishments and encouraging them to improve their CSR practices, the CGEM's label contributes to strengthening corporate social responsibility and promoting sustainable development in Morocco.

While issues of equality and diversity were often overlooked by large multinational companies established in Morocco before the integration of the concept of CSR in Moroccan discourses, there is now an increasing trend of initiatives to promote these values within these companies (Igalens, J. and Sahraoui, D. 2010). CSR encourages companies to be responsible towards their employees, customers, suppliers, and the community. By integrating these values into their corporate strategy, large multinational companies can contribute to enhancing corporate social responsibility in Morocco.

3. Toward a Moroccan model of CSR

The institutionalization of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in Morocco has involved several measures. Morocco joined the Global Compact in April 2006 and initiated the program "Sustainable Development through the Global Compact," funded by the Italian Ministry of Foreign Affairs and executed by the International Labour Organization. The goal is to promote CSR through the Global Compact, the tripartite declaration of principles on multinational enterprises and social policy of the ILO, and the OECD Guidelines. This program operates through train-the-trainer activities, the distribution of awareness brochures and leaflets, and the organization of meetings between businesses and economic actors in various Moroccan cities such as Tangier, Agadir, Marrakech, and Fez. The General Confederation of Moroccan Enterprises (CGEM) was the first Moroccan institution to join. Other organizations include:

NAME	TYPE	SECTOR	COUNTRY	JOINED ON
Bontaz Centre Maroc	Company	Automobiles & Parts	Morocco	2022-07-06
INTELCIA	Company	Support Services	Morocco	2022-06-27
VALYANS CONSULTING	Small or Medium-sized Enterprise	Diversified	Morocco	2022-03-21
INDO Maroc	Small or Medium-sized Enterprise	Health Care Equipment & Services	Morocco	2021-04-26
Crédit du Maroc	Company	Banks	Morocco	2020-07-07
Regional Corporate Social Responsibility Sarlau	Small or Medium-sized Enterprise	Diversified	Morocco	2020-06-19
SAP North West Africa Ltd	Small or Medium-sized Enterprise	Software & Computer Services	Morocco	2019-10-11
HYGEIA	Small or Medium-sized Enterprise	Support Services	Morocco	2019-09-09
MENARA HOLDING	Company	Construction & Materials	Morocco	2019-05-23
Office Scolaire et Universitaire International (OSUI)	Academic	Not Applicable	Morocco	2019-03-06
Elyans Consulting	Small or Medium-sized Enterprise	Diversified	Morocco	2018-11-05
AtlantaSanad	Company	Nonlife Insurance	Morocco	2018-02-22
emlyon business school - Casablanca campus	Academic	Not Applicable	Morocco	2017-05-03
BMCE Bank of Africa	Company	Banks	Morocco	2016-07-05
Lydec	Company	Gas, Water & Multiutilities	Morocco	2015-10-14
Diana Holding	Company	Food Producers	Morocco	2015-03-05
Maroclear	Small or Medium-sized Enterprise	Financial Services	Morocco	2015-02-02
REAL TRAVEL SERVICE	Small or Medium-sized Enterprise	Travel & Leisure	Morocco	2014-09-11
Les Eaux Minerales d'Oulmes	Company	Beverages	Morocco	2013-04-26
Confederation Generale des Entreprises du Maroc - CGEM	Business Association Local	Not Applicable	Morocco	2006-12-12

Tableau 8: The list of active organizations in the Global Compact, January 2023.

Source: https://www.unglobalcompact.org/what-is-gc/participants

NAME	TYPE	SECTOR	COUNTRY	JOINED ON
Fruexma Sarl	Small or Medium-sized Enterprise	Diversified	Morocco	2021-07-27
Azura Group	Company	Diversified	Morocco	2020-04-13
Groupe Crédit Agricole du Maroc	Company	Banks	Morocco	2018-12-21
The Sustainable Development's Youth	NGO Local	Not Applicable	Morocco	2018-11-15

Tableau 9: The list of non-communicative organizations in the Global Compact, January 2023.

Source: https://www.unglobalcompact.org/what-is-gc/participants

The CGEM views the CSR approach as a continuous improvement process that can be implemented by all companies, irrespective of their size or sector. To assist Moroccan small and medium-sized enterprises in complying with CSR requirements, the CGEM offers them a support and financial assistance program as part of its 'Moussanada Transverse' program for Morocco SMEs. This program includes financial support amounting to 60% of the cost of the necessary evaluation audit to obtain the CSR label.

El Bouserghini (2018) conducted a qualitative study on the context of CSR in Morocco and concluded that the main difficulty raised by SME leaders is the definition of CSR. Each attributes specificities to it based on their own observation, making a clear distinction between

CSR and philanthropic actions. To address this reality, it is essential to organize and invite them to free training and awareness conferences. Additionally, the CGEM notes that it is becoming increasingly common for companies to adopt CSR because they recognize its positive impact on productivity, reputation, attractiveness as employers, and the ability to retain customers and suppliers. Thus, the number of labeled companies has increased from 8 in 2007 to 106 in 2022, with a significant portion being SMEs affiliated with large groups.

The Moroccan model of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is based on a comprehensive approach that integrates economic, social, and environmental aspects into business activities. It emphasizes the active participation of companies in the community and the promotion of sustainable practices. Morocco has also established laws and regulations to frame and promote CSR, along with initiatives to raise awareness among businesses about the importance of this practice. In this context, various initiatives and laws have been implemented to promote CSR in the country, including:

● Law No. 31-08 on Corporate Social Responsibility adopted in 2011;

● The National Charter of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) launched in 2007;

● The 'Citizen Companies' initiative launched in 2006 by the Ministry of Employment and Vocational Training;

● The creation in 2008 of the Moroccan Association of Corporate Social Responsibility (AMRSE), which aims to promote CSR in Morocco, etc.

There are also studies and research on the state of CSR in Morocco conducted by universities and research organizations. Thus, sociocultural aspects provide contextual support, characterizing CSR in Morocco, where SMEs and family businesses make up the economic fabric, in the absence of a unified definition adopted by leaders regarding the components and architecture of CSR, although the practices adopted stem from Western reflections.

III. The managerial specificities of Moroccan SMEs and CSR

1.   Cultural Aspects and Managerial Specificities in Morocco
The personal values of leaders play a crucial role in motivating SMEs towards CSR. These values are transmitted through a shared vision and lead to collective behaviors within the organization (Amrhar, 2001). SMEs are rooted in the context of their region and the values of the communities to which they belong.

According to Ghani (2012), traditional SMEs are characterized by an organizational culture based on the tradition and cultural values of Morocco. A single person with absolute power who decides on all actions of the company often leads these SMEs. Employees have little leeway to take initiatives and are often motivated by loyalty to their boss rather than professional goals. These SMEs are characterized by employees' allegiance to their boss as a guarantee of respect for their dignity.

Authoritarian SMEs, on the other hand, are characterized by an organizational culture that emphasizes authority and hierarchical power. Decisions are made by individuals at the top of the hierarchy and communicated downward to employees. Employees have little influence on company decisions and are primarily motivated by salary and benefits. Dignity is not always ensured, and employees tend to resign and be absent, facing control systems.

Finally, modern SMEs are characterized by an organizational culture that emphasizes innovation, creativity, and flexibility. A management team or a young leader with higher education often leads these SMEs, and employees have more leeway to take initiatives and be creative in their work. Employees are motivated by professional goals and are encouraged to

contribute to the continuous improvement of the company. These SMEs promote dialogue, openness, and modernity.

According to Tisserand (2001), relationships between culture and organizational management can be classified into three types:

1. **Relationships describing professional groups based on culture:** Culture is commonly considered as a set of practices and beliefs shared by its members, influencing various aspects of the company's functioning, such as communication, relationships between employees and managers, strategic decisions, etc.

2. **Culture's influence on management and management style:** Culture can significantly influence management and management style in a company. Various comparative approaches between countries have been developed to study these relationships and establish national specificities.

3. **Managing relationships between different cultures:** Companies or organizations often work with individuals from different cultures, either through mergers, immigrant workers, or international work teams. In these situations, it is important to consider cultural differences to avoid misunderstandings and facilitate communication and teamwork. The cultural intelligence approach is commonly used to manage relationships between different cultures, emphasizing the ability to understand and interact effectively with people from different cultures.

The relationships between culture and management are complex and can vary between countries and cultural contexts. It is essential to consider these differences when studying management and behaviors in the workplace internationally.

Moroccan culture is highly diverse and heterogeneous, reflecting a variety of ethnic, linguistic, and cultural influences. This diversity reflects the complex history of Morocco, influenced by many peoples and cultures throughout its history. Regarding national identity, Morocco is often described as being constructed from "identity pieces" that include elements from Arab, African, Berber, and Andalusian cultures.

Therefore, Ali and Wahabi (1995) conducted a survey on the value systems of Moroccan leaders and the influence of culture on their managerial behaviors in 234 Moroccan companies. They concluded that the diversity of Moroccan society can influence managers' postures, considering various dimensions such as income, country of training, region of origin, hierarchical level, age, seniority, social class, and the father's occupation.

It is important to note that these results are a general trend, and Moroccan managers may have different postures based on their own experiences and worldview. However, these differences in value systems may influence how managers perceive and manage their work and teams.

The profiles of managers described by Ali and Wahabi (1995) reflect the different approaches managers can have in terms of leadership and business management. The first profile (self-centered) describes a manager who focuses on their own interest and short-term profit, adopting an authoritarian and indifferent approach to others' feelings. The second profile (manipulative) describes a manager who has succeeded through relationships and political maneuvers, seeking primarily gain and power. The third profile (citizen) describes a manager who is open to the environment, seeks to create a pleasant work climate, and shares company profits with employees. The fourth profile (tribal) describes a manager who centralizes decision-making and surrounds themselves with family to manage their business. According to Ali and Wahabi, the most common manager profile in Morocco is the first, focusing on their own interest

2. Managerial Specificities of CSR Practices in Moroccan SMEs

Several research studies have been conducted on the characteristics of CSR in the context of Moroccan SMEs (M'hamdi, Trid, 2009; El Abboubi, El Kandoussi, 2010; El Malki, 2010). These studies have focused on elements related to the understanding of CSR, the identification of stakeholders, and environmental footprint as follows:

Understanding CSR:

It is common to confuse legal frameworks with corporate social responsibility practices. Defining the boundaries of CSR and operationalizing them can be a challenge for businesses, but it can also represent an opportunity to differentiate and demonstrate a commitment to responsible practices (Ait Mhamed, 2017).

For instance, a study conducted by Sustainable Square comparing Morocco, Algeria, and Tunisia found that 89% of companies in Morocco claimed to engage in CSR practices. However, their interpretation was primarily philanthropic, emphasizing charity and volunteer actions rather than a strategically integrated approach that considers the overall social, environmental, and economic impacts of the company. This may explain the high rate of CSR engagement declaration in this comparative study. Lahrech, the RSE site and research manager at Vigeo (2013), concluded that CSR has often been perceived as a form of philanthropy, a means for companies to make donations or engage in charity projects.

Consideration of Stakeholders:

According to (El Kandoussi and El Abboubi, 2010), agri-food companies focus on managing their relationships with customers and suppliers, using loyalty programs and working on communication with customers, workplace safety and hygiene, and price negotiation. This approach concentrates on the punctual and operational management of the expectations and demands of these stakeholders. It aims to effectively manage relationships with them due to the economic importance of these relationships for the company, with societal concerns often taking a backseat. Practices often revolve around charity and support for associations. Similarly, (Adaskou, M. 2015) noted that few Moroccan companies maintain relations with the community and primarily focus on supporting associations, funding parks and mosques, and providing financial support for cultural events. This approach does not stem from a perspective of voluntary SME strategy but rather from the personal convictions of leaders who wish to improve the world around them.

Environmental Footprint:

Morocco has adopted a series of strategies and laws to preserve its natural resources and promote sustainable development. King Mohammed VI's insistence on integrating sustainable development into public policies and the passage of Framework Law No. 99-12 to strengthen the environmental regulatory framework demonstrate the country's serious approach to these environmental issues. This is crucial as environmental issues impact people's quality of life and the well-being of society as a whole.

Artisanal businesses are increasingly aware of the importance of protecting the environment and minimizing their impact. They may be encouraged to do so by regional artisanal development programs that help them implement more sustainable practices and reduce their environmental footprint (M'hamdi, Trid, 2009). On an industrial level, Cherkaoui (2019) found in a study that industrial companies engage in responsible environmental practices by collaborating with local waste treatment and recycling cooperatives, especially for plastic materials and waste. This can contribute to reducing the company's environmental impact and improving its reputation. However, SMEs are often not certified for their responsible commitment (Cherkaoui, 2019).

However, the Moroccan context is marked by a lack of information sharing and reporting by active and dynamic organizations in CSR in Morocco, including the RSO of Morocco, despite

efforts in this regard (Ait Mhamed, 2019). Therefore, CSR in Morocco is still a relatively new and evolving concept. However, an increasing number of Moroccan companies are aware of the importance of CSR and strive to implement responsible practices in their activities. There are also several organizations and initiatives working to promote CSR in Morocco and raise awareness among businesses about this issue. However, there is still work to be done for CSR to become a common practice in Moroccan companies and for its impact to be fully felt in Moroccan society.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, CSR in Morocco is evolving continually, shaped by both national and international forces. Businesses, both large and small, face the dual challenge of thriving economically and meeting growing societal expectations. While significant progress has been made, challenges persist, particularly in the understanding of CSR, which is sometimes confused with philanthropic actions. For CSR to become a truly integrated practice and not be perceived as a mere addition to business activities, in-depth awareness and more sophisticated evaluation mechanisms are necessary. SMEs, as economic engines of Morocco, play a crucial role in this transition towards responsible practices. Supported by diverse programs, they can significantly contribute to shaping a sustainable and socially responsible economic landscape in Morocco.

CSR in Morocco, still in its formative stages, envisions a future where economic prosperity is intrinsically linked to social, societal, and environmental responsibility, propelling Morocco towards sustainable and balanced development. This also involves the emergence of a new organizational culture that places the interests of all stakeholders at the center of business concerns. This direction requires taking into account all components and actors to develop a systemic and comprehensive perspective, with the private sector as its foundation. However, the evolution of SME dynamics is of considerable importance.

Leaders must dedicate more effort to embracing and integrating key management trends into their thinking to adopt a strategic vision that goes beyond achieving short-term profits. It is crucial that this vision materializes in the long term to gain institutional legitimacy.

FOOT NOTE

https://www.iso.org/fr/standard/42546.html

https://www.village-justice.com/articles/responsabilite-sociale-societale-des-entreprises-rse-maroc-revolution-evolution,35957.html

https://www.afg.asso.fr/responsabilite-societale-des-entreprises-rse/

L'instauration du label RSE de la CGEM est accompagnée par la notation de l'agence Vigeo Eiris (Alami Chentoufi, M. Zari, T. 2020), à travers ses équipes aux entreprises évaluées pour attribuer une note sur 100 à chaque dimension sociale, et générer finalement un score pondéré des scores précédents (Mouatassim Lahmini, H. 2020).

https://lematin.ma/express/2021/vigeo-eiris-se-retire-lactivite-audit-label-rse-cgem-ve-26000/355548.html

Entretien de Thomas Brunavec Fanny Henryot, expert en RSE, sur le contenu et les enjeux du rapport RSE des entreprises faisant appel à l'épargne publique marocaine, 2019.

Dispositifs numériques du conseil de la concurrence: https://conseil-concurrence.ma/cc/le-conseil/mission-et-attributions/ consultés le 01/01/2023.

Dispositifs numériques de la Direction Générale de la Sécurité des Systèmes d'Information DGSSI: https://www.dgssi.gov.ma/fr/content/loi-ndeg31-08-edictant-des-mesures-de-

protection-du-consommateur-y-compris-la-protection-du-consommateur-en-ligne.html consultés le 01/01/2023.

IMANOR is a Moroccan organization established by Law 12-06. It provides certification services in quality, occupational health and safety, and environmental compliance to businesses in Morocco. The organization aims to assist companies in adhering to international management standards and enhancing their performance in terms of quality, occupational health and safety, and environmental responsibility. IMANOR also offers training and consulting services to businesses to support them in achieving these objectives.

« Présentation du Label RSE », Sekkat Said, Président de la commission RSE de la CGEM, 2015

The Global Compact is an initiative launched by the United Nations (UN) in 1999 to promote responsible practices in sustainable development and human rights within businesses. Its goal is to raise awareness among companies and encourage them to adopt ethical and responsible practices in their business activities, emphasizing the Compact's 10 core principles in the areas of human rights, labor relations, environment, and anti-corruption.

REFERENCES

- Adaskou, M. (2015). The perception of CSR by SME managers: An analysis of a sample of Moroccan companies. Nom de la revue, Volume (2), Numéro (2), Page d'accueil de l'article.

- Ait Mhamed, H. (2017). La RSE dans les PME marocaines: une approche de la dimension implicite à travers les pratiques sociales – Cas de PME de Tanger, thèse de doctorat, FSJES Tanger, Université Abdelmalek Essaadi.

- Ali, A.J., Wahabi, R. (1995). Managerial systems values in Morocco, International Studies of Management and Organization, vol. 25, no 3, automne.

- Amrhar, B. (2001). La vision en gestion : examen de la documentation, Cahier de recherche n°7, HEC Montréal.

- Benraiss-Noailles, Bentaleb, (2014). État des lieux de la RSE au Maroc. Ressources humaines et responsabilités sociétales (2014), p. 253-261.

- Benaicha, O. (2017). La diffusion de la RSE dans les entreprises au Maroc.

- Bon, V., Lacroux, A., Teller, P., Van Der Yeight, C. (2013). Les pratiques de développement durable et de RSE en région PACA : la spécificité des petites entreprises en question. Recherches en Sciences de Gestion, 2013(3), N° 96, p. 179-197.

- Bouanani El Idrissi, J., Ben Moussa, M. (2019). Les pratiques de la responsabilité sociétale de l'entreprise au Maroc: étude exploratoire sur les entreprises labellisées RSE par la CGEM.

- Bruna, M. G., Hamdani, K. (2015). Les nouveaux défis du management au Maroc, in Scouarnec, A. (Ed.), Les défis du management au Maghreb, Collection Management Perspectives Editions.

- Cherkaoui, A. (2019). Pratiques RSE des PME au Maroc: une analyse perceptuelle auprès des dirigeants casablancais. EMS Editions, Question(s) de management, 2016/3 n° 14, p. 13-26.

- Desrues, T. (2020). Lutte contre la pandémie du Covid-19, répression des voix dissidentes et retournement diplomatique: la gouvernance de la Monarchie exécutive et le discrédit du chef du gouvernement.

- Dahir n° 1-03-194 of 14 Rajeb 1424 (11 September 2003) promulgating law n° 65-99 relating to the Labour Code. Bulletin officiel n°5210, 2004 for the Labour Code.

- El Abboubi, M., El Kandoussi, F. (2009). Le virage de la responsabilité sociale au Maroc: le cas du secteur agroalimentaire. Reflets et perspectives de la vie économique, 2009(4) (Tome XLVIII), p. 69-77.

- El Bouserghini, J. (2018). L'intégration de la responsabilité sociale de l'entreprise dans la vision stratégique des dirigeants de PME: Cas des PME marocaines labellisées RSE, Thèse De Doctorat De L'Université De Lyon.

- El Malki, T. (2010). Environnement des entreprises, responsabilité sociale et performance: analyse empirique dans le cas du Maroc.

- Ghani, R. (2012). Contribution à la compréhension de l'adoption des ERP dans les PME marocaines: une approche structurationniste et culturelle, thèse de doctorat en sciences de gestion, Université Montpellier.

- Hammach, M. (2017). L'impact de la responsabilité sociale de l'entreprise sur l'implication organisationnelle des cadres salariés: cas du secteur de l'industrie agroalimentaire au Maroc.

- Hofstede, G. (1980). Culture's Consequences: International Differences in WorkRelated Values, Beverly Hill, Cal., Sage Publications.

- Igalens, J. (2009). Norme de responsabilité et responsabilité des normes: le cas d'ISO 26 000. Management & Avenir, 2009(3), n° 23, p. 91-104.

- Igalens, J., Sahraoui, D. (2010). Pratiques de diversité: portées et limites au regard du contexte local. Management Prospective Ed. Management & Avenir, 2010(8), No 38, p. 276-292.

- Iribarne (d'), P. (1989). La logique de l'honneur: gestion des entreprises et traditions nationales, Paris, Seuil.

- K. E. Aupperle, A. B. Carroll and J. D. Hatfield, (1985). An Empirical Examination of the Relationship between Corporate Social Responsibility and Profitability. Academy of Management Journal, June 1, 1985, 28(2), p. 446-463.

- Majdoubi, H. (2016). Le développement durable en droit marocain entre utopie et réalité. Revue juridique de l'environnement, 2016(3), Volume 41, p. 536-550.

- M'hamdi, Trid (2009). La responsabilité sociale de l'entreprise au Maroc: une étude empirique auprès des petites et moyennes entreprises de la région de Fes Boulemane. P. 8. Coll. Vulnérabilité des TPE et des PME dans un environnement mondialisé, 11es journées scientifiques du Réseau Entrepreneuriat INRPME - AUF - AIREPME, 27-mai 2009.

- Rapport de la CESE: Le développement durable au Maroc « Une analyse croisée selon la triple performance dans un contexte de dérèglement climatique et de crise systémique induite par la pandémie de la Covid-19 », Mouttaqi Abdellah 2022.

- Sainsaulieu, R. (dir.) (1992). L'entreprise: un affaire de société, Paris, Presses de la Fondation nationale des sciences politiques, 353 p.

- Schein, E.H (1984). Coming to a new awareness of organizational culture. Sloan Management Review, hiver.

- Tisserand, P. (2001). Culture et travail : du local au mondial, dans les Actes du VIIIe Congrès international de l'Association pour la recherche inter-culturelle (ARIC), Université de Genève, septembre.

- Trompenaars, F., Hampden-Turner (1993). L'entreprise multiculturelle, Paris, Maxima.

- https://lematin.ma/express/2021/vigeo-eiris-se-retire-lactivite-audit-label-rse-cgem-ve-26000/355548.html

CSR BEST PRACTICES

Prof. Tulika Chatterjee and Dr. Pallavi Chugh

Assistant Professor, Pratibha Institute of Business Management

ABSTRACT

This chapter provides an overview of the best practices in Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) that organizations adopt to align business operations with ethical, social, and environmental considerations. CSR has evolved from a philanthropic add-on to a strategic imperative for sustainable and responsible business practices. Drawing on a diverse range of industries and global perspectives, this chapter synthesizes key CSR practices that contribute to long-term business success and positive societal impact. The first set of best practices revolves around environmental sustainability. Leading companies prioritize eco-friendly initiatives, including energy efficiency, waste reduction, and sustainable sourcing. By integrating these practices into their core operations, organizations not only reduce their environmental footprint but also demonstrate a commitment to planetary well-being. The second category focuses on social responsibility, encompassing fair labor practices, diversity and inclusion, and community engagement. Ethical treatment of employees, coupled with initiatives that address societal challenges, strengthens the social fabric and fosters positive relationships with both internal and external stakeholders. Transparency and accountability emerge as critical components of CSR best practices. Open communication about business practices, financial performance, and CSR initiatives builds trust and credibility. Additionally, companies that actively engage with stakeholders, including customers, employees, investors, and local communities, gain valuable insights into societal expectations and concerns. Supply chain responsibility is another integral facet, with leading companies ensuring that suppliers adhere to ethical standards. This practice not only mitigates risks associated with unethical sourcing but also promotes responsible business conduct throughout the value chain. Furthermore, CSR best practices extend to product responsibility, where companies prioritize the safety, quality, and ethical sourcing of their products. Innovation for social good is also gaining prominence, with organizations investing in research and development to create products or technologies that address pressing societal challenges. The abstract concludes by emphasizing that CSR is not only a moral imperative but also a strategic imperative for businesses in the 21st century. Companies that embrace and integrate these best practices not only contribute to the greater good but also position themselves as responsible and sustainable entities in an increasingly conscious and interconnected global landscape.

INTRODUCTION

CSR, or Corporate Social Responsibility, is a concept that refers to a company's efforts to operate in a socially and environmentally responsible manner. It involves businesses taking responsibility for the impact of their actions on society and the environment. CSR goes beyond a company's core operations and aims to ensure that they contribute positively to the world around them. Companies engage in CSR by implementing various initiatives and practices. This can include reducing their carbon footprint, promoting ethical labor practices, supporting community development projects, donating to charitable causes, or engaging in fair trade practices. CSR activities are designed to have a positive impact on employees, stakeholders, the broader community, and the environment. CSR is important because it helps to build trust and legitimacy for businesses. By addressing social and environmental issues, companies demonstrate their commitment to ethical behavior, sustainability, and being a responsible corporate citizen. CSR initiatives can also enhance a company's reputation, attract socially-conscious consumers and investors, improve employee morale, and foster long-term business sustainability.

CSR, or Corporate Social Responsibility, can encompass a wide range of practices that businesses adopt to contribute positively to society.

Here are some key CSR best practices:
1. **Ethical Business Practices:** Upholding integrity, transparency, and ethical behavior in all business dealings.

2. **Environmental Sustainability:** Implementing initiatives to reduce environmental impact, such as energy conservation, waste reduction, and adopting eco-friendly practices.

3. **Social Impact Initiatives:** Engaging in activities that address social issues, such as poverty alleviation, education, healthcare, or supporting marginalized communities.

4. **Employee Welfare:** Prioritizing employee well-being by providing a safe working environment, fair wages, employee development programs, work-life balance, and diversity and inclusion practices.

5. **Philanthropy and Community Support:** Supporting local communities through charitable donations, volunteering, sponsorships, or partnerships with NGOs or social enterprises.

6. **Supply Chain Responsibility:** Ensuring responsible sourcing, fair trade, labor rights, and supplier diversity practices.

7. **Stakeholder Engagement:** Actively involving stakeholders, including employees, customers, investors, and communities, in decision-making processes and listening to their concerns.

8. **Reporting and Transparency:** Transparently sharing CSR activities, goals, and impacts through annual reports, sustainability reports, or public disclosures.

9. **Collaboration And Partnerships:** Collaborating with other organizations, industry peers, and governments to address larger societal challenges collectively.

10. **Continuous Improvement:** Regularly reviewing and reassessing CSR strategies, setting goals, measuring progress, and adapting practices to ensure ongoing improvement.

It's essential to note that CSR practices may vary based on a company's size, industry, and geographical location. These practices should align with the needs of the community, the environment, and the values of the organization.

1. Ethical Business Practices in Corporate Social Responsibility
Ethical business practices in Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) refer to the standards and behaviors a company adopts to ensure it operates in a socially responsible and sustainable manner.

Here are some key principles of ethical business practices in CSR:

1. **Transparency and Accountability:** Companies should disclose their CSR initiatives, goals, and progress to stakeholders, ensuring transparency and accountability in their actions. This helps build trust and allows stakeholders to hold them accountable.

2. **Compliance with Laws and Regulations:** Ethical businesses abide by all applicable laws, regulations, and industry standards, ensuring they operate within legal and ethical boundaries.

3. **Respect for Human Rights:** Companies should uphold and respect human rights of all individuals impacted by their operations, including employees, customers, communities,

and supply chain workers. This includes treating employees fairly, ensuring safe working conditions, and respecting diversity and inclusion.

4. **Environmental Responsibility:** Ethical businesses prioritize environmental sustainability by minimizing their ecological footprint, conserving resources, reducing emissions, and adopting sustainable practices throughout their operations.

5. **Fair Labor Practices:** Companies should promote fair labor practices, such as providing safe and healthy working conditions, fair wages, reasonable working hours, and prohibiting child labor or forced labor in any form.

6. **Community Engagement:** Ethical businesses actively engage with local communities, understand their needs, and contribute positively to their social and economic development. This can include philanthropic activities, supporting local initiatives, and fostering positive relationships.

7. **Ethical Supply Chain:** Companies must ensure responsible sourcing and supply chain practices, working with suppliers who share their commitment to ethical standards, fair trade, and sustainability.

8. **Avoidance of Corruption and Bribery:** Ethical businesses have strict anti-corruption policies, ensuring that their employees and stakeholders avoid any form of corruption, bribery, or unethical practices.

9. **Ethical Marketing and Advertising:** Companies should practice truthful and ethical marketing and advertising, ensuring that their claims are transparent, accurate, and not misleading. They should avoid promoting harmful products or engaging in deceptive practices.

10. **Commitment to Continuous Improvement:** Ethical businesses continuously evaluate and improve their CSR practices, adapting to changing societal and environmental expectations. They listen to stakeholders' feedback, conduct regular assessments, and report progress towards their CSR goals.

Adhering to these ethical business practices in CSR not only benefits the company itself but also contributes to a more sustainable and responsible society.

2. Environmental Sustainability in Corporate Social Responsibility

Environmental sustainability in Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) refers to the commitment of organizations to minimize their negative impact on the environment and contribute to its preservation for future generations. It involves incorporating environmentally friendly practices into business operations and decision-making processes.

Some key aspects of environmental sustainability in CSR include:

1. **Energy conservation:** Implementing measures to reduce energy consumption and promote the use of renewable energy sources like solar or wind power.

2. **Waste reduction and recycling:** Adopting waste management practices that prioritize recycling, reusing, and reducing waste generation.

3. **Carbon footprint reduction:** Taking steps to decrease greenhouse gas emissions, such as optimizing transportation efficiency, promoting telecommuting, or offsetting carbon emissions.

4. **Water conservation:** Implementing water-saving technologies, using water efficiently, and reducing water pollution through responsible wastewater management.

5. **Biodiversity preservation:** Protecting and restoring natural habitats, promoting biodiversity conservation initiatives, and avoiding activities that harm ecosystems.

6. **Sustainable sourcing and supply chain:** Ensuring that products are sourced responsibly, minimizing the ecological impact of raw material extraction, and encouraging suppliers to follow sustainable practices.

7. **Education and awareness:** Promoting environmental awareness among employees, customers, and the community. This can include sustainability training programs, educational campaigns, or partnerships with environmental organizations.

By integrating these practices into their CSR initiatives, organizations can contribute to environmental sustainability while enhancing their reputation, reducing costs, and meeting the increasing demand for environmentally responsible products and services.

3. Social Impact Initiatives in Corporate Social Responsibility

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) initiatives aim to make a positive impact on society and address various social issues. Here are some examples of social impact initiatives in CSR that companies often undertake:

1. **Environmental Sustainability:** Many companies focus on reducing their environmental footprint by implementing initiatives like renewable energy adoption, waste management, energy efficiency measures, and carbon emission reduction efforts.

2. **Community Development:** Companies engage in community development initiatives that focus on improving the quality of life in local communities. This can involve supporting education programs, healthcare facilities, infrastructural development, and providing employment opportunities.

3. **Philanthropy and Charitable Giving:** Organizations often donate financial resources, goods, or services to charitable causes and nonprofit organizations that support vulnerable communities, disaster relief efforts, education, poverty alleviation, or health issues.

4. **Employee Volunteer Programs:** Encouraging employees to participate in volunteering activities can have a significant social impact. Companies may provide paid time off for volunteering or organize team-based initiatives to address local community needs.

5. **Diversity and Inclusion:** Promoting diversity and inclusion within the workplace and broader society is another important CSR initiative. Companies can work towards creating inclusive policies, diverse hiring practices, and programs that support underrepresented groups.

6. **Ethical Supply Chain:** Ensuring ethical practices throughout the supply chain is crucial for social impact. This involves sourcing responsibly, promoting fair labor practices, avoiding child labor, and supporting suppliers who adhere to ethical standards.

7. **Education and Skill Development:** Many companies invest in education programs and initiatives that aim to enhance skills, promote vocational training, and support lifelong learning opportunities for individuals, particularly in disadvantaged communities.

8. **Health and Well-Being:** Organizations may undertake various initiatives related to health promotion, such as supporting healthcare infrastructure, conducting wellness programs for employees, raising awareness about diseases, or funding medical research.

These are just a few examples, and CSR initiatives depend on a company's mission, industry, and local context. Ultimately, the goal is to align business activities with social responsibility to create a positive impact on society and the environment.

4. Employee welfare in Corporate Social Responsibility

Employee welfare in CSR (Corporate Social Responsibility) refers to the initiatives and efforts taken by organizations to ensure the well-being and satisfaction of their employees. This includes providing a safe and healthy work environment, promoting work-life balance, offering fair compensation and benefits, supporting employee development and growth, and fostering a positive workplace culture.

Some common practices related to employee welfare in CSR include:

1. **Health and Safet**y: Implementing proper safety measures, training programs, and ensuring a healthy work environment to minimize workplace hazards.

2. **Compensation and Benefits:** Offering fair wages, healthcare benefits, retirement plans, and other financial incentives to ensure employees are adequately rewarded for their work.

3. **Work-Life Balance:** Encouraging flexible working hours, paid leave policies, and initiatives that support employees in managing their personal and professional lives effectively.

4. **Employee Development:** Providing training, mentoring, and career advancement opportunities to help employees enhance their skills, knowledge, and future career prospects.

5. **Diversity and Inclusion:** Promoting an inclusive workplace where individuals from diverse backgrounds are valued, respected, and provided equal opportunities for growth and success.

6. **Employee Wellness:** Offering wellness programs, mental health support, and counseling services to address the well-being and overall health of employees. **7.** Workforce Engagement: Encouraging employee participation, involvement in decision-making processes, and providing platforms for open communication and feedback.

By prioritizing employee welfare in CSR initiatives, organizations not only benefit from increased employee satisfaction, loyalty, and productivity but also contribute to overall social development and responsible business practices.

5. Philanthropy and Community Support in Corporate Social Responsibility

Philanthropy and community support are key components of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR). Companies that engage in philanthropy and community support initiatives recognize their responsibility to give back to society and make a positive impact on the communities they operate in. These activities go beyond standard business practices and demonstrate a commitment to social and environmental issues.

Philanthropy involves donating money, resources, or time to charitable causes. Companies can support various initiatives such as education, healthcare, poverty alleviation, environmental sustainability, and arts and culture. This support can take the form of financial contributions, employee volunteer programs, or in-kind donations.

Community support, on the other hand, focuses on actively engaging with and supporting local communities. This can be done through partnerships with local organizations, supporting community development projects, or sponsoring events that benefit the community. It may also involve supporting small businesses and local suppliers to stimulate economic growth and job creation.

The benefits of engaging in philanthropy and community support as part of CSR are numerous. It enhances a company's reputation, strengthens its relationships with stakeholders, and fosters employee engagement and pride. By addressing social and environmental issues, companies can contribute to sustainable development and create a positive impact on society.

Overall, philanthropy and community support are essential elements of CSR as they reflect a company's commitment to responsible business practices and making a difference beyond its core operations.

6. Supply chain Responsibility in Corporate Social Responsibility

Supply chain responsibility refers to the ethical and sustainable management of a company's entire supply chain, including the sourcing, production, and distribution of products or services. In the context of corporate social responsibility (CSR), supply chain responsibility focuses on ensuring that business operations are conducted in a manner that respects human rights, promotes fair labor practices, protects the environment, and supports local communities.

Companies are increasingly recognizing the importance of taking responsibility for their supply chains due to several reasons. Firstly, globalization has led to complex and extended supply chains, making it critical for companies to have visibility and control over the various stages of production. Secondly, consumers are becoming more conscious and demanding transparency and ethical practices from the brands they support. Lastly, regulations and standards related to supply chain responsibility have been established in many countries. To demonstrate effective supply chain responsibility in CSR, companies can undertake several initiatives:

1. **Supplier Code of Conduct:** Implementing a code of conduct that outlines expectations and standards for suppliers, including requirements for labor conditions, human rights, environmental protection, and business ethics.

2. **Supply chain audits:** Conducting regular audits and assessments to evaluate suppliers for compliance, ensuring they meet the company's standards and address any identified issues.

3. **Collaboration and capacity building:** Partnering with suppliers to improve their social and environmental practices through training programs, capacity-building initiatives, and sharing best practices.

4. **Traceability and transparency:** Establishing systems to track and monitor the entire supply chain, allowing for transparency in sourcing and production processes, and addressing any risks or violations promptly.

5. **Engaging stakeholders:** Actively engaging with various stakeholders, such as NGOs, local communities, and industry associations, to foster dialogue, address concerns, and work collaboratively to improve supply chain responsibility.

6. **Sustainable sourcing:** Prioritizing suppliers that adhere to sustainable practices, including responsible sourcing of raw materials, minimizing waste, reducing carbon emissions, and supporting local economies.

7. **Continuous improvement:** Regularly reviewing and updating supply chain policies and practices, incorporating feedback and lessons learned, and striving for continuous improvement in overall supply chain responsibility.

By integrating responsible practices throughout their supply chains, companies can contribute positively to social, environmental, and economic sustainability while building trust among stakeholders and mitigating reputational risks.

7. Stakeholder Engagement in Corporate Social Responsibility

Stakeholder engagement in corporate social responsibility (CSR) refers to the process of involving and communicating with individuals and groups that are impacted by or have an interest in a company's CSR initiatives. This engagement is vital to ensure transparency, accountability, and the overall success of CSR programs.

There are several key aspects of stakeholder engagement in CSR:

1. **Identification:** Companies need to identify their stakeholders, which may include employees, customers, investors, local communities, NGOs, government bodies, and more.

2. **Understanding interests and concerns:** It is crucial to understand the interests, needs, concerns, and expectations of stakeholders regarding CSR. This helps in tailoring CSR initiatives to address these specific areas.

3. **Communication:** Regular and transparent communication is essential in stakeholder engagement. This can be done through various channels like meetings, surveys, social media, annual reports, and dedicated CSR platforms.

4. **Collaboration and partnership:** Engaging with stakeholders often involves collaborating and forming partnerships with them. This can lead to shared goals, mutual learning, and increased effectiveness of CSR initiatives.

5. **Feedback and responsiveness:** Companies should actively seek and value feedback from stakeholders. This feedback helps in assessing the impact of CSR programs and making necessary improvements based on stakeholder expectations.

6. **Reporting and disclosure:** Regular reporting and disclosure of CSR activities and their outcomes to stakeholders is necessary to build trust, maintain transparency, and demonstrate accountability.

By effectively engaging stakeholders in CSR, companies can better understand societal needs, align their initiatives accordingly, and mitigate any negative impacts of their operations. This can lead to better corporate reputation, strengthened relationships, and ultimately contribute to sustainable development.

8. Reporting and Transparency in Corporate Social Responsibility

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) encompasses a company's commitment to operate in a socially and environmentally responsible manner. Reporting and transparency play crucial roles in CSR initiatives as they help stakeholders assess a company's performance, accountability, and impact on society and the environment.

Here are a few aspects related to reporting and transparency in CSR:

1. **CSR Reports:** Companies often create CSR reports to communicate their CSR activities, initiatives, and performance to stakeholders. These reports provide information about the company's goals, strategies, achievements, and challenges in the realm of CSR.

2. **Metrics and Indicators:** To ensure transparency, companies should define and measure key performance indicators (KPIs) related to their CSR initiatives. These metrics help quantitatively assess CSR performance and progress over time, providing a basis for transparent reporting.

3. **Standards and Guidelines:** Many organizations, such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) and the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB), have developed standards and guidelines for CSR reporting. Adhering to these frameworks ensures consistency, comparability, and transparency in reporting practices.

4. **Stakeholder Engagement:** A transparent approach to CSR involves engaging stakeholders in the reporting process. Companies can seek feedback, conduct surveys, or establish stakeholder advisory groups to ensure that their CSR reports address relevant concerns and reflect the expectations of various stakeholders.

5. **Verification and Assurance:** Some companies undergo independent verification or third-party assurance of their CSR reports. This adds credibility, enhances transparency, and builds trust among stakeholders, as it demonstrates the company's commitment to accuracy and integrity.

6. **Open Communication:** Transparent reporting in CSR is not limited to formal reports only. Companies can engage in open and proactive communication about their CSR initiatives through various channels, such as company websites, social media, and public forums. This fosters dialogue, provides real-time updates, and invites feedback and suggestions from stakeholders.

Overall, reporting and transparency in CSR demonstrate a company's commitment to responsible practices and allow stakeholders to make informed decisions about the company's social and environmental impact.

9. Collaboration and Partnerships in Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)

Collaboration and partnerships in Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) initiatives are crucial for maximizing impact and achieving sustainable change. Here are some key points regarding collaboration and partnerships in CSR:

1. **Stakeholder Engagement:** Collaboration in CSR involves engaging and involving stakeholders such as employees, communities, NGOs, government agencies, and other businesses. By fostering open dialogue and incorporating diverse perspectives, more effective solutions can be developed.

2. **Shared Values and Objectives:** Partnerships are formed based on shared values and common objectives. It is essential to align with organizations that have a similar vision and commitment to social and environmental sustainability.

3. **Complementary Expertise and Resources:** Collaborating with different organizations brings together diverse skills, expertise, and resources. Partnerships can leverage each other's strengths to address complex social and environmental challenges.

4. **Collective Impact:** Collaboration can generate collective impact by pooling resources, knowledge, and influence. By working together, organizations can achieve larger-scale and long-term outcomes, creating more meaningful change.

5. **Joint Programming and Initiatives:** Partnerships enable the development of joint programs and initiatives that address specific social or environmental issues. This collaborative approach fosters innovation and increases the likelihood of success.

6. **Risk and Responsibility Sharing:** Collaborative efforts allow for the sharing of risks, responsibilities, and the accountability associated with social and environmental outcomes. This shared ownership enhances transparency and credibility.

7. **Continuous Learning and Improvement:** Collaborative partnerships provide opportunities for continuous learning and improvement. Organizations can exchange best practices, lessons learned, and innovative approaches, enhancing their own CSR strategies.

8. **Scalability and Reach:** Collaborative efforts often have a broader reach and scalability compared to individual CSR initiatives. By combining resources and expertise, organizations can extend their impact to larger populations and areas.

In summary, collaboration and partnerships are vital components of effective CSR, enabling organizations to achieve collective impact, shared accountability, and sustainable change.

10. Continuous Improvement in Corporate Social Responsibility

Continuous improvement in corporate social responsibility (CSR) refers to an ongoing process where a company evaluates, enhances, and expands its CSR initiatives to achieve greater

positive societal and environmental impact. Here are some key aspects of continuous improvement in CSR:

1. **Setting Goals:** Companies need to establish clear and measurable CSR goals aligned with their values and stakeholders' expectations. These goals can include reducing carbon emissions, promoting diversity and inclusion, or supporting local communities.

2. **Regular Assessment:** Conducting regular assessments and evaluations helps companies understand their current CSR performance, identify areas for improvement, and track progress towards their goals. This can involve monitoring key performance indicators (KPIs) and soliciting feedback from stakeholders.

3. **Collaboration and Engagement:** To improve their CSR efforts, companies should actively engage with stakeholders such as employees, customers, local communities, and NGOs. Collaboration helps identify new opportunities, understand concerns, and gain diverse perspectives that can enrich CSR strategies.

4. **Innovation and Technology:** Embracing innovation and leveraging technology can drive continuous improvement in CSR. Companies can explore sustainable practices, adopt eco-friendly technologies, and invest in research and development to create innovative solutions that address societal and environmental challenges.

5. **Transparency and Reporting:** Transparent communication about CSR practices, progress, and challenges is crucial. Publishing regular CSR reports allows stakeholders to assess a company's commitment, achievements, and areas for improvement. Such transparency encourages accountability and enhances trust with stakeholders.

6. **Learning and Adaptation:** Companies should prioritize learning from their experiences, successes, and failures in CSR. This learning mindset enables them to adapt their strategies, refine approaches, and incorporate new best practices that can lead to better CSR outcomes.

Through continuous improvement in CSR, companies can build a sustainable and responsible business model that considers the long-term well-being of society and the environment.

REFERENCES

1. Joseph, C., Gunawan, J., Sawani, Y., Rahmat, M., Noyem, J. A., & Darus, F. (2016). A comparative study of anti-corruption practice disclosure among Malaysian and Indonesian Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) best practice companies. Journal of Cleaner Production, 112(4), 2896-2906

2. Carroll, A. B. (1999). Corporate social responsibility: Evolution of a definitional construct. Business & Society, 38(3), 268–295.

3. WBCSD. (World Business Council for Sustainable Development). (2015). Business solutions for a sustainable world: Corporate sustainability at the World Business Council for Sustainable Development. https://www.wbcsd.org/

4. Margolis, J. D., & Walsh, J. P. (2003). Misery loves companies: Rethinking social initiatives by business. Administrative Science Quarterly, 48(2), 268–305. https://doi.org/10.2307/3556658

5. Epstein, M. J., & Roy, M. J. (2001). Sustainability in action: Identifying and measuring the key performance drivers. Long Range Planning, 34(5), 585–604.

6. Carroll, A. B., & Shabana, K. M. (2010). The business case for corporate social responsibility: A review of concepts, research and practice. International Journal of Management Reviews, 12(1), 85–105.

UNRAVELLING THE CSR OUTLOOK OF STARBUCKS: A CASE-BASED APPROACH

Shikha Shubham[1] and Pragati Pallavi[2]
[1]Research Scholar, SPPU
[2]Assistant Professor, DYPIU

ABSTRACT

CSR is a cluster concept which is multi-dimensional, complex, ambiguous holding many anomalies. This research paper seeks to understand the reason of CSR inconsistencies and the relevance of various CSR initiatives. It also delves to bring out the organic transition of CSR from business perspective; rooting from pro bono offerings to business survival to sustainability. Contemporary CSR practices touches all focal points of business namely people, planet and profit. These are the three pillars of CSR which makes CSR performance holistic but as it sounds straight and simple it is quite vague and unclear. Through this research paper the researchers aim to study the case study of Starbuck's CSR initiatives and what practices helps them achieve CSR goals and how they manage to perform on their triple bottom line. This case study brings out that Starbucks has 3 important domains in their CSR outlook, they are building on sustainability through innovative practices, enhancing the quality of social capital and mitigating environmental risks by fortifying business processes.By making strong social impact, they nurture company's culture and participate proactively in global sustainable development goals.

Keywords: CSR initiatives, TBL, Starbucks.

1. INTRODUCTION

There is enormous opacity build around the meaning of corporate social responsibly and the rationale behind a firm's engagement in CSR initiatives. Few business strategists have empirically tested CSR calling it money sink whereas few contemplate CSR as a driver of competitive advantage and sustainable growth. CSR theories, in the past asserts to profit maximization as they lead to motivation for CSR goals (Oyewumi, et al., 2018). Globally CSR has evolved from its pro bono offerings later synthesized into set of business responsibilities elevated on triple bottom line (Farooq et al, 2021). It is insightful to note that the philosophy of CSR, CSR framework and CSR modus operandi have experienced numerous shifts from 1700 BC till 21st century (Tripathi and Bains, 2013). It is precisely challenging to encapsulate CSR in an absolute framework as it is complex, multi-dimensional (Hu et al., 2018) ever evolving and profoundly discreet in nature.

Business ethics, corporate citizenship, philanthropy, sustainability, environmental responsibilities are some of the overlapping cluster concept making CSR holistic. Many academic literature establishes no ubiquitous agreement on the definition of CSR as well (Sheehy, 2014). Keith Davis in 1960 defined social responsibility in businesses to be related to those decisions and actions that are made not solely to satisfy firm's direct economic or technical interest.

The reinvention of CSR from philanthropy in business to a cause of corporate philosophy is also attributable to the advent of emergence of Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC), the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), and the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) in 1970 wherein environment, consumers and employees were recognised as legitimate stakeholders (Caroll, 1991). Many businesses then globally started understanding the relevance of social

commitments. The European Commission hence defines CSR as "the responsibility of enterprises for their impacts on society" (PwC, 2013).

Corporate social responsibility is also defined as a set of measures taken by companies in their business operations which are driven for balancing economic, social and environmental goals. CSR enabled management philosophy intends to address various social and ecological concerns through their business undertakings with a view to enhance effective stakeholder relationship (www.unido.org). Industrialization in 19th century altered the business landscape as it led to creation of numerous job opportunities. Large scale strides in businesses and technological advancement flourished businesses and industrialist made good fortune (Tripathi and Bains, 2013) but accountability towards societal wellbeing was understood by only a few business leaders who addressed employee wellbeing. It was also emphasized upon by Carroll that the acronym 'S' in CSR was vague and unclear (Carrol, 1991).

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Triple bottom line is a business realization that underscores the imperatives of people, planet and profit but critics also contemplate the validity of TBL in business which appears unclear and beyond accepted reality (Srivastava et al, 2021).

Contemporary practitioners, researchers, academician still navigate through similar vagueness when comprehending the social perspective of CSR. The core content of CSR assumes to have built with set of guidelines and principles which adheres to social, ecological and ethical norms. These norms also act as a regulatory framework for business operations. It is indeed challenging to meet the conflicting stakeholders' expectations, manage a consistent and striving CSR performance in emerging market conditions while remaining compliant to regulatory norms and balancing financial objectives (Zheng et al.,2015). Thus CSR fortification for firms underscores immense need for reputation management and stakeholder management. Beddewella, 2019 explains altruism as conventional way of managing positive company reputation but global shifts in ESG norms also demanding mandatory realization towards sustainable development goals.

In India, The Companies Act 2013 established essential contribution by business owner for making CSR spending mandatory for profitable business ventures (Aswani et al, 2020). This kind of corporate disclosure made CSR acquire isomorphism at one level (Beddewella,2020) whereas contemporary business practices demands firms to leverage sustainability innovations for improving their environmental and social performance for achieving improvement in their organizational performance (Weidner,2020).

The Social Investment forum published PwC global CEO survey with an eye opener revelation of 64 percent CEOs believing in CSR as to have strategic core to their business and not just a standalone program (Ashwani et al., 2013).

Simms, 2002 highlighted KPMG's International corporate sustainability report of 2002 which shows a significant rise of 10% from 35% in 1999 to 45% in firms' environmental and social reporting. Many contemporary researchers and academician have touted sustainable innovations instrumental in building frontiers for achieving internal and external rewarding CSR experiences. For instance, Kimberly Clark innovated their paper towel by making it extremely economical for use in public toilet as they started manufacturing ecofriendly towels by reducing water consumption by 1.1 million cubic meters annually. There is a dire need to understand all the related cluster concept of CSR including sustainability, there is little momentum built academically for understanding TBL perspective (Weidner et al 2020) of CSR and their related business consequences which also harbors sustainability through TBL.

TBL though postulates contradictory business goals underpinned against continuous shifts in business paradigm but theoretically the TBL approach originally works on a framework that undertakes to evaluate and delineate corporate performance against economic, social and environmental performance.

It is a meticulous way of aligning private players in business with a predetermined standards and guidelines for the cause of sustainable development. This is a requirement in view of depleting resources and impeding resource crunch. This makes business practices and business goals comprehensive.

The TBL withholds organizational undeterred commitment to society and environmental and it also helps maintain a strong capability for financial growth. This also helps in conforming to SDG standards, which is precisely unachievable if leaders and company culture are not showcasing their strong citizenship behaviour. CSR also embark corporate belief in set of proactive responsibilities.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research methodology used to accomplish the paper's goal is a single-case study and analysis of Starbucks' business. The primary benefit of the case study approach is the utilization of actual data from legitimate groups to further the field's understanding (Myers, 2010). A single case study makes it possible to look at and comprehend the unit of analysis in detail. To accomplish the purpose of the article, the subsequent research inquiries have been developed.

Q1. What are the primary areas in which Starbucks engages in CSR initiatives?

Q2. What are the primary goals of Starbucks in corporate social responsibility?

The Starbucks Corporation is an American network of coffee shops that currently has operations all over the world. In 1971, the first coffee shop in Seattle's Pike Place Market opened. Currently, Starbucks stands as the largest coffee business globally. In 74 countries, Starbucks had 27,339 retail outlets as of the first quarter of 2018.Starbucks operates in three primary markets, including the Americas, Asia-Pacific, China, and Europe, Middle East, and Africa. Starbucks is an intimate place where individuals may come together and spend time by themselves. Starbucks set out to create this kind of opportunity for people from the start. Thus, the "Starbucks experience" offers a chance to gather for a fair price (Gozdan, E., & Sudolska, A. 2019). Starbucks' corporate objective is to uplift and nourish the human spirit, one neighbourhood, one cup, and one individual at a time. The company operates under the core values that it believes in, which include: fostering an environment of warmth and belonging where everyone is accepted; taking bold risks; maintaining continuous development; and coming up with innovative ways to advance both the company and its employees while also striving for and accepting responsibility for the company's outcomes. Since its inception, Starbucks has tried to do business in a way that is considerate of both the environment and people. These days, the business engages in widely accepted initiatives meant to assist other individuals and organizations in addition to reducing the negative effects of its operations. But the rationale for beginning operations is becoming ever-more conscious. Most customers first made purchases from businesses they respected and had faith in. As a result, Starbucks started several initiatives aimed at demonstrating respect for the environment and the workers who produce their goods. From 2000 to 2005, the corporation donated more than $47.5 million to the development of communities worldwide. In 2019, the study was carried out. The papers and studies available on Starbucks' corporate website (www.starbucks.com) provided the data for the study.

4. STUDY RESULT

General Finding

The research enables the assertion that Starbucks establishes objectives in three primary domains: spearheading sustainability, fortifying communities, and generating prospects. The firm is concentrated on these sectors because it recognizes that it can and will have the most impact on them.

Additionally, Starbucks regards the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals as a means of supporting its own social impact projects and collaborating with investors, partners, consumers, and non-governmental organizations. The goal of these programs is to provide everyone a better, more sustainable future. They participate in worldwide issues that demand attention, such as poverty, inequality, climate change, environmental degradation, prosperity, peace, and justice. The goal of the organization is to bring about good changes via the activation of its suppliers, customers, and staff. Starbucks aspires to be a leader and innovation in a society that supports social justice and environmental conservation so that our planet may continue to support not just the current generation but also those to come. Starbucks endeavours to conduct business in a manner that contributes to safeguarding the company's culture and believes in ethical business activity. Starbucks provides a pamphlet outlining its commitment to upholding corporate ethical standards. It also outlines expectations for the firm and its capacity to adjust to these standards. Additionally, Starbucks is dedicated to social responsibility and good management, which promotes staff happiness and piques the interest of increasingly interested customers. Starbucks has a strong emphasis on social responsibility.

4.1 Starbucks' Activities Focused on Communities

One of the key components of the triple bottom line is social development. It might also be impacted by both the environment and the economy. Social capital, or people's faith in society, is a measure of certain people's "capacity to collaborate inside the company. These skills are critical for fostering sustainability in all societal contexts (Enquist, B., & Haglund, L. 2011).

Starbucks aims to be a unique company with a core focus on offering a third location where everyone is valued and accepted.

Every day, Starbucks reiterate on their duties to look out for one another, to build their communities, and to make sure that different viewpoints are reflected at the top of the organization. They are dedicated to advancing their work with responsibility, openness, and intention. List of obligations they aimed for during FY20 were:

- Starbucks launched their second Civil Rights Assessment, which intended to be carried out by Covington & Burling LLP and supervised by former US Attorney Eric Holder.

- Starting a mentorship program to link top executives with BIPOC partners. The program matches senior vice presidents and above with varied directors and vice presidents in the retail and non-retail sectors throughout its first phase. The program consisted of a combination of 1:1 meeting between mentors and mentees, 1:1 mentor-mentee circles, and community gatherings.

- Starting a series of brave internal talks to foster understanding and establish a safe environment for daring debates on touchy subjects.

- Making strategic investments in alliances with businesses that support the growth of BIPOC talent, giving their BIPOC partners more chances for professional advancement.

- Establishing inclusive connections.

Starbucks was named a "Best Place to Work" and received a score of 100 out of 100 on the Disability Equality Index (DEI) in 2015, 2016, 2017, and 2019. They participate in Disability: IN's Inclusion Works initiative, which is a nationwide platform for peer institutions to exchange cutting-edge approaches to disability inclusion and access. Since its foundation in 2017, Starbucks Retail Inclusion Academy has helped people with impairments get ready for careers in retail. This activity aligns with their mission to promote disability inclusion and accessibility.

By 2022, Starbucks also wanted to hire 10,000 refugees worldwide and 5,000 veterans of the armed forces each year. They confirmed recruiting 5,221 US veterans and spouses of active duty in FY20. Their overall number of confirmed hires is 2,620. Additionally, they were able to confirm hiring 520 refugees in the US, Canada, and EMEA. They changed how they tracked opportunity youth hiring targets in FY20 to better align with their FY20 diversity objectives. Starbucks did attain and upheld 100% pay equality for men and women, as well as for individuals of all races doing comparable jobs in the United States. They declared their commitment to achieving 100% gender parity in compensation for all partners in Starbucks company-operated markets worldwide in 2018, the year when they first reached that milestone. They also achieved gender parity in pay in China and Canada within a year, and continued to do so in FY20. In addition, they achieved female parity in pay in additional markets that the firm owned, including Austria, Great Britain, Italy, and Switzerland.

Starbucks licensed partners in Singapore, the Philippines, and India declared in FY20 that they had attained 100% pay parity for both genders. Women make about 29% of Starbucks' employment in India, a significant gender demographic. In FY20's a 15-course anti-bias program, increased from 5,688 to 54,740 welcoming new registrants. When they made the program accessible to the general population, they observed high participation from both partners and non-partners, with 48.5% of Starbucks registrants being partners and 51.5% being non-partners. They also provide the Third Place Development Series, which was intended to provide store partners and managers fresh instruments for introspection and to encourage dialogue on significant and difficult subjects including bias, equity, inclusion, and mental health.

The effort of another organization pertains to social factors and community assistance, with an emphasis on fostering a culture of diversity, inclusiveness, and belonging. Starbucks places a strong emphasis on fostering an environment of diversity, integration, and belonging. As a result, the company's workforce is varied in terms of viewpoints, sexual orientation, gender, and origin. The organization feels that variety fosters creativity and economic progress in addition to providing new experiences or cultures. Given the importance of diversity and integration within the organization, eight partner networks representing integrative settings at Starbucks have been established. Starbucks Black Partner Network, Starbucks Pride Alliance Network, Starbucks Women's Development Partner Network, etc. are a few of them.

4.2 Starbucks' Activities Focused on Environment

Starbucks declared in January 2020 that they wanted to be a resource-positive corporation by giving back to the world more than they take from it over a multi-decade period. This entails sequestering more carbon than they release, hence reusing more freshwater than they use and wasting none.

Starting from a 2018 baseline, Starbucks committed to bring down by half their carbon, water, and waste footprints by the year 2030. Since then, the Science Based Targets Initiative (SBTi) has verified their carbon objective. As part of the validation process, they have changed their baseline year for each of the three reduction targets to FY19. Their 2030 carbon target's scope 1 and 2 parts are in line with a 1.5°C route, which is the most aggressive level they have validated, according to the SBTi. Their 2030 carbon target's scope 1 and 2 portions are in line

with a 1.5°C trajectory, which is the most aggressive level they can support. In order to achieve their 2030 objectives, they have identified five critical initiatives that are supported by science, the Starbucks mission and values, in-depth market research, and trials:

- Increase the selection of plant-based menu items

- Invest in regenerative agriculture, reforestation, forest restoration, and water replenishment in their supply chain

- Switch from single-use to reusable packaging

- Invest in improved waste management techniques

- Invest in innovative ideas to create more sustainable businesses, operations, manufacturing, and delivery.

Starbucks' commitment to sustainability is overseen by our Global Environmental Council, a group of top executives from Starbucks whose pay is based on how well they perform in relation to our objectives. In addition, they consult with their Corporate Governance and Nomination Committee of the Board of Directors formally and consult with informal advisers who are leaders and authorities in the sustainability field.

Starbucks expect to report a decrease of 11% in carbon emissions against their 2030 carbon objective, 4% in water against their 2030 water goal, and 12% in waste against their 2030 waste goal from FY19 to FY20. This degree of yearly decline at this point in Starbucks's pursuit of 2030 goals was not expected, and it was mostly the result of lower commercial activity in FY20 as a result of COVID-19. This would unlikely be repeated in the future as per their understanding. They also expect advancements in the accessibility and calibre of data in the way they measure environmental effect.

Starbucks' FY20 trial programs in Guatemala, Mexico, Peru, Rwanda, and Kenya were aimed at lowering the environmental impact of green coffee as they seek to engage in regenerative agriculture, reforestation, forest conservation, and water replenishment in their supply chain. This includes precision agronomy techniques, such evaluating soil and leaves, to help lower their carbon footprint, as well as new wet mill improvements meant to save up to 80% of water and alternative coffee processing methods. To assist maintain long-term access to freshwater, they are using the World Wildlife Fund's (WWF) Water Risk tool to map their greatest risk basins and gain a better understanding of the difficulties such basins present for their origin nations and store populations. Additionally, they became a founding member of their new transform to Net Zero program in FY20. The Initiative, which was founded by nine people, aims to expedite the shift to a net zero global economy by 2050. To this end, it develops and provides roadmaps, guidelines, and research to help companies reach net zero emissions.

One of the ways Starbucks are working toward their carbon reduction objective is by bringing Starbucks' plant-based menu to more countries. Their goal is to give clients a range of options. In FY20, Starbucks locations all around the world introduced new plant-based menu items. Most significantly, Canada, China and the U.S. introduced oat milk; the U.S. introduced the impossible breakfast sandwich; and Canada and China introduced breakfast sandwiches using beyond meat products.

Starbucks does an annual inventory of its greenhouse gas emissions by evaluating emissions from its global retail shops, production facilities, and bought products and services. This extends their scope which comprises keeping a check on direct greenhouse gas emissions from retail establishments, production facilities, or Starbucks cars. The company's electrical emissions are in their secondary scope, where they found themselves minimal since renewable energy is used. The greatest emissions were noted in their third scope , where they cover products and services

that are acquired. The inventory indicates that the total quantity of emissions from these three ranges was 16,581,000 tons of carbon dioxide equivalent.

5. CONCLUSION

In a highly volatile complex business ecology characterised by market imperfections, businesses cannot undermine the impact of managerial slackness in designing their strategic philanthropy for CSR (Newman 2020). There are undoubtedly varied micro and macro level perspectives of corporate social responsibility, a few companies who solicit and leverage third party consultation and expert advice for community collaboration can co deliver CSR activities making CSR performance five times more robust (Bovis; HBR2023).

CSR is vital for firms' profitability and long term sustainability (Hu et al., 2018). Managing CSR initiatives and practices can help in alignment to vital goals of businesses as all three goals financial, social and ecological are interwoven and stands on the same spectrum.

The study brings out the non-statutory characteristics of Starbucks Corporation, the organizational citizenship behaviour of corporate goals, stakeholder management through externalities as the building blocks for good CSR performance (Crane et al., 2008). CSR management is thus suggestive of accentuating firms' performance through CSR performance as companies anticipates high economic impact when they leverage social return on investment.

REFERENCES

- Aswani, J., Chidambaran, N. K., & Hasan, I. (2021). Who benefits from mandatory CSR? Evidence from the Indian Companies Act 2013. Emerging Markets Review, 46, 100753.

- Beddewela, E. (2019). Managing corporate community responsibility in multinational corporations: Resolving institutional duality. Long Range Planning, 52(6), 101911.

- Carol Newman, John Rand, Finn Tarp & Neda Trifkovic (2020) Corporate Social Responsibility in a Competitive Business Environment, The Journal of Development Studies, 56:8, 1455-1472, DOI: 10.1080/00220388.2019.1694144

- Carroll, A. B. (1991). The pyramid of corporate social responsibility: Toward the moral management of organizational stakeholders. Business horizons, 34(4), 39-48.

- Crane, A., McWilliams, A., Matten, D., Moon, J., & Siegel, D. S. (Eds.). (2008). The Oxford handbook of corporate social responsibility. OUP Oxford.

- Enquist, B., & Haglund, L. (2011). Starbucks with Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR). How Starbucks succeeds in a business world with CSR. Faculty Board of Economic Sciences, Communication, and IT. Karlstads Universitet. Recuperado de http://www. diva-portal. org/smash/get/diva2, 353800.

- Farooq, Q., Fu, P., Liu, X., & Hao, Y. (2021). Basics of macro to microlevel corporate social responsibility and advancement in triple bottom line theory. Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management, 28(3), 969-979.

- Gozdan, E., & Sudolska, A. (2019). Exploring Company's Activities in the Field of CSR: The Case of Starbucks. Journal of Corporate Responsibility and Leadership, 6(2), 29-47.

- Hu, Y., Chen, S., Shao, Y., & Gao, S. (2018). CSR and firm value: Evidence from China. Sustainability, 10(12), 4597.

- Myers, M. D. (2010). Case study research. In M. Frenz, K. Nielsen, & G. Walters (Eds.) Research Methods in Management (pp. 227–248). London: SAGE.

- Oyewumi, O. R., Ogunmeru, O. A., & Oboh, C. S. (2018). Investment in corporate social responsibility, disclosure practices, and financial performance of banks in Nigeria. Future Business Journal, 4(2), 195-205.

- Simms, J. (2002). Business: Corporate social responsibility-you know it makes sense. Accountancy, 130(1311), 48-50.

- Sheehy, B. (2015). Defining CSR: Problems and solutions. Journal of business ethics, 131, 625-648.

- Srivastava, A. K., Dixit, S., & Srivastava, A. A. (2022). Criticism of triple bottom line: TBL (with special reference to sustainability). Corporate reputation review, 1-12.

- Tripathi, A., & Bains, A. (2013). Evolution of corporate social responsibility: A journey from 1700 BC till 21st century. International Journal of Advanced Research (2013), 1(8), 788-796.

- Weidner, K., Nakata, C., & Zhu, Z. (2021). Sustainable innovation and the triple bottom-line: a market-based capabilities and stakeholder perspective. Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice, 29(2), 141-161.

- Zheng, Q., Luo, Y., & Maksimov, V. (2015). Achieving legitimacy through corporate social responsibility: The case of emerging economy firms. Journal of world business, 50(3), 389-403.

- PwC. (2013). Handbook on Corporate Social Responsibility in India.

- Bovis B. (2023 November, 27). Succeeding at the "Social" Part of ESG. HBR.

- https://hbr.org/2023/11/succeeding-at-the-social-part-of-esg UNIDO. (2023, December8).

- https://www.unido.org/our-focus/advancing-economic-competitiveness/competitive-trade-capacities-and-corporate-responsibility/corporate-social-responsibility-market-integration/what-csr

IMPACT ASSESSMENT OF CSR PROJECTS

Priyanka Sajja
Founder Artha Samarth Consultancy

INTRODUCTION OF IMPACT ASSESSMENT

After the introduction of corporate social responsibility as a statutory obligation under section 135 of the Companies Act 2013, companies have slowly adopted CSR as an integral part of their business philosophy. Here the primary objective of the government was to promote responsible and sustainable business philosophy, it wanted to encourage companies to come up with innovative ideas and solutions to address social and environmental concerns in the local areas of our country.

As per the recommendations from the High Level Committee in 2018, the Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA) made important changes to the rules governing Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in companies. This was outlined in the MCA vide notification dated January 22, 2021 which aimed to make companies more transparent and accountable for their CSR actions. The key is to shift from just disclosing CSR activities to now making it mandatory for companies to actually spend on CSR in a meaningful manner.

The Companies (Corporate Social Responsibility Policy) Amendment Rules, 2021, brought in a new rule requiring large companies to do a mandatory impact assessment of their CSR spending based on a specific criteria. This change is to ensure that companies don't just talk about CSR but actually make a real impact in the areas they are working on. These new rules have been in effect since April 1, 2021, and they represent a significant move towards making companies take their CSR responsibilities more seriously. It's all about encouraging companies to contribute positively to society and hold them accountable for their actions.

OBJECTIVE

The purpose of impact assessment is to assess the social impact of a particular CSR project. The intent is to encourage companies to take considered decisions before deploying CSR amounts and assess the impact of their CSR spending. This not only serves as feedback for companies to plan and allocate resources better but shall also deepen the impact of CSR.

A CSR impact assessment report is expected to give a holistic insight into the sustainability and the relevance of the project chosen and its impact created keeping in mind the environment, people, animals, education and the ecology that is affected by the implementation of the project.

APPLICABILITY

The provisions for impact assessment have come into effect from 22nd January, 2021. Accordingly, the company is required to undertake impact assessment of the CSR projects completed on or after January 22, 2021. However, it is recommended and considered to be a good practice to undertake impact assessment of completed projects of previous financial years.

Rule 8(3) of the Companies (CSR Policy) Rules, 2014 mandates following class of companies to conduct impact assessment:

(i) Companies with minimum average CSR obligation of Rs. 10 crore or more in the immediately preceding 3 financial years; and

(ii) Companies that have CSR projects with outlays of minimum Rs. 1 crore and which have been completed not less than 1 year before undertaking impact assessment.

Impact assessment shall be carried out project-wise only in cases where both the above conditions are fulfilled. In other cases, it can be taken up by the company on a voluntary basis.

Here both the conditions have to be fulfilled for the applicability of impact assessment provisions. Such impact assessment shall be conducted within 1 year from the completion of the CSR project.

WHO CAN CONDUCT IMPACT ASSESSMENT

As per Rule 8(3) of the Companies (CSR Policy) Rules, 2014, it requires that the impact assessment shall be conducted by an independent agency. Further it has also stated that the Board has the prerogative to decide on the eligibility criteria for selection of the independent agency for impact assessment.

STATUTORY LIMIT ON IA EXPENSES

As per Rule 8(3) (c),"a Company undertaking impact assessment may book the expenditure towards Corporate Social Responsibility for that financial year, which shall not exceed two percent of the total CSR expenditure for that financial year or fifty lakh rupees, whichever is higher. " Hence the statutory limit on the expenditure towards the impact assessment shall not exceed 2% of the CSR expenditure for the financial year or 50 lakh rupees, whichever is higher. However the actual fees payable to the agency for undertaking impact assessment may be more that the statutory limits specified above. In such a scenario, any amount exceeding the said limit shall not be considered as CSR expenditure.

Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) on CSR vide General Circular No. 14 /2021 dated: 25th August 2021

1. Is expenditure on impact assessment over and above the administrative overheads of 5%, or included in the same?

Yes, the expenditure incurred on impact assessment is over and above the specified administrative overheads of 5%. Expenditure up to a maximum of 2% of the total CSR expenditure for that financial year or 50 lakh rupees (whichever is higher) can be incurred separately for impact assessment.

2. Whether impact assessment reports of all the CSR projects shall be annexed to the annual report on CSR?

As per Rule 8(3) (b) of the Companies (CSR Policy) Rules, 2014 provides that impact assessment reports shall be placed before the Board and shall be annexed to the report on CSR. It is clarified that web-link to access the complete impact assessment reports and providing executive summary of the impact assessment reports in the annual report on CSR, shall be considered as sufficient compliance of the said rule.

3. When two or more companies collaborate for implementation of a CSR project, should the impact assessment carried out by one company be shared with other companies? Yes, in case two or more companies choose to collaborate for the implementation of a CSR project, then the impact assessment carried out by one company for the common project may be shared with the other companies for the purpose of disclosure to the Board and in the annual report on CSR. The sharing of the cost of impact assessment may be decided by the collaborating companies subject to the limit as prescribed in rule 8(3)(c) of the Companies (CSR Policy) Rules, 2014 for each company.

DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS

a. As per "Rule 5(2) (e) details of need and impact assessment, if any, for the projects undertaken by the company."

A CSR company shall constitute a CSR committee to formulate and recommend to the Board, an annual action plan in pursuance of its CSR Policy and also including the details of impact assessment for the projects undertaken by the company.

b. As per "Rule 8 (1) The Board's Report of a company covered under these rules pertaining to any financial year shall include an annual report on CSR containing particulars specified in Annexure I or Annexure II, as applicable.

c. As per Rule 8 (2) In case of a foreign company, the balance sheet filed under clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 381 of the Act, shall contain an annual report on CSR containing particulars specified in Annexure I or Annexure II, as applicable."

Annexure II lays down the format for the Annual report on CSR activities to be included in the Board's report for the Financial year commencing on or after 1st day of April, 2020. As per the format, a company shall provide the executive summary along with the web-links of Impact assessment of CSR projects carried out in pursuance of sub-rule (3) of Rule 8, whenever applicable. As per Annexure II, a company shall also disclose the amount spent on Impact assessments, in order to calculate the total amount spent for the financial year.

d. As per "Rule 8(3) (b) The impact assessment reports shall be placed before the Board and shall be annexed to the annual report on CSR.

As per "Rule 8(3) (c) A Company undertaking impact assessment may book the expenditure towards Corporate Social Responsibility for that financial year, which shall not exceed two percent of the total CSR expenditure for that financial year or fifty lakh rupees, whichever is higher. "

As per the above rule the impact assessment reports shall be annexed to the CSR annual report and shall be placed before the Board of directors and the company shall also ensure that the only an eligible amount as per the statutory limit is considered as a CSR expenditure.

PENALTIES FOR NON COMPLIANCE

Other Defaults
The penalty provisions as prescribed under section 135(7) is related to defaults of the provisions of sub-section (5) or sub-section (6) of section 135 i.e relating to the transfer of unspent amount to any fund included in Schedule VII of the Act or Unspent CSR Account within the stipulated time. Hence any defaults other than the transfer of unspent amount to any fund included in Schedule VII of the Act or Unspent CSR Account within the stipulated time as prescribed under section 135(5) or 135(6) are categorized as general defaults. These other defaults of section 135 may include non compliance with the provisions of constitution of CSR Committee, disclosure requirements, defaults related to the implementation process, defaults related to selection of not qualified implementing agency, default related to non conducting of impact assessment of eligible projects, non filing of form CSR-2, non issue of certificate by the CFO as required under rule 4(5) and many more.

The section is silent on the other general non-compliances and defaults of section 135 and rules made there under. However, Ministry of Corporate affairs has clarified that, in case of non-compliance of provisions of section 135 or rules made there under, except transfer of unspent amount to any fund included in Schedule VII of the Act or Unspent CSR Account within stipulated time as prescribed under section 135(5) or 135(6), section 134(8) will apply or general penalty under section 450 of the Act will be applicable.

Clarification of Ministry of Corporate Affairs vide its Circular no. 14/2021, dated 25th August, 2021:
In case of non-compliance with any other provisions of the section or rules, the provisions of section 134(8) or general penalty under section 450 of the Act will be applicable. Further, in case of non-payment of penalty within the stipulated period, the provisions of section 454(8) will be applicable.

Penalty under section 134(8): If a company is in default in complying with the provisions of this section, the company shall be liable to a penalty of three lakh rupees and every officer of the company who is in default shall be liable to a penalty of fifty thousand rupees.

Penalty under Section 450: If a company or any officer of a company or any other person contravenes exemption in relation to any of the provisions of this Act or the rules made there under, or any condition, limitation or restriction subject to which any approval, sanction, consent, confirmation, recognition, direction or any matter has been accorded, given or granted, and for which no penalty or punishment is provided elsewhere in this Act, the company and every officer of the company who is in default or such other person shall be liable to a penalty of ten thousand rupees, and in case of continuing contravention with a further penalty of one thousand rupees for each day after the first during which the contravention continues, subject to a maximum of two lakh rupees in case of a company and fifty thousand rupees in case of an officer who is in default or any other person.

Penalty for non-payment of Penalty within the Specified time: In case of non-payment of penalty within the specified time the pro- visions of section 454(8) will be applicable and further penalty will be imposed for non-compliance of order.

Penalty Under Section 454(8)

454(8) (i): Where company fails to comply with the order made under sub-section (3) or sub-section (7), as the case may be, within a period of ninety days from the date of the receipt of the copy of the order the company shall be punishable with fine which shall not be less than twenty five thousand rupees but which may extend to five lakh rupees.

454(8)(ii): Where an officer of a company or any other person who is in default, fails to comply with the order made under sub-section (3) or sub-section (7), as the case may be within a period of ninety days, from the date of the receipt of the copy of the order, such office shall be punishable with imprisonment which may extend to six month or with fine which shall not be less than twenty five thousand rupees but which may extend to one lakh rupees, or with both."

VARIOUS FRAMEWORKS AVAILABLE FOR IMPACT ASSESSMENTS IN INDIA FOR CSR PROJECTS

One of the most commonly used frameworks to assess the impact created by CSR projects is the OECD framework Development Assistance Committee (DAC). This framework is a crucial tool for evaluating the effects of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) initiatives, providing a methodical way to assess the programs sustainability and efficacy.The main objective of this framework is to direct CSR activities within the framework of worldwide development objectives and tenets. Impact assessments for CSR initiatives under the DAC framework comprise a number of essential elements. In order to ensure that programs significantly improve social, economic, and environmental advances in the targeted areas, it first stresses the alignment of CSR activities with more general development objectives. This connection makes it possible to assess the project's effects on sustainable development objectives in a more thorough manner.

Second, the framework emphasizes how crucial it is to involve stakeholders at every stage of the project. It promotes inclusive strategies including local authorities, non-governmental organizations, governments, and other pertinent parties. This guarantees that the evaluation takes into account a variety of viewpoints and integrates input from the individuals who are most directly impacted by the CSR projects.

Thirdly, the DAC structure supports strict procedures for monitoring and assessing performance. In order to evaluate the true results and effects of CSR initiatives, it places a strong emphasis on the use of trustworthy data gathering methods, quantifiable indicators, and thorough

assessment procedures. This makes it possible to systematically analyze both planned and unforeseen results, leading to a more thorough comprehension of the project's implications.

All things considered, the OECD DAC framework for impact assessment in CSR initiatives provides a methodical and thorough methodology that takes into account stakeholder participation, effective monitoring and evaluation, transparency, and alignment with development goals. Companies may make more informed decisions to increase the efficacy and sustainability of their CSR initiatives and have a better understanding of the true impact of their efforts by following these principles.

While there is no defined framework to follow for impact assessment in India, the majority of the companies follow SROI to depict the impact made, which stands for Social Return on Investment, calculated as follow:

SROI = Total Impact (Tangible and Intangible) /Total Investment

This is the only standard parameter that can judge the tangible impact of any CSR project, irrespective of its domain, and can set a benchmark for companies/projects to achieve, and to compare various CSR projects. In addition to this, since SROI is calculated on a yearly basis, it helps in identifying trendlines in a particular project, and how the impact generated changes over time.

Most of the impact assessment reports try to incorporate SROI in them as well, to quantify the intangible impact generated. However, sometimes it's hard to properly quantify the impact generated, and even more difficult to quantify the monetary value of projects that are currently ongoing, hence other frameworks can be followed like:

1. **GRI Standards:** The Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) offers guidelines for reporting on economic, environmental, and social impacts. Its comprehensive framework helps organizations measure and disclose CSR-related impacts.

2. **ISO 26000:** This international standard provides guidance on social responsibility, aiding organizations in understanding and assessing their societal impacts, including those arising from CSR endeavors.

3. **SDG:** While the 17 SDG as defined by the United Nations doesn't serve as an outright benchmark, it helps in identifying which domain/sector a particular CSR project revolves around.

4. **IRIS (Impact Reporting and Investment Standards)**: Developed by the Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN), IRIS provides a catalog of metrics to assess the social, environmental, and financial performance of an organization or project.

5. **Triple Bottom Line (TBL):** TBL evaluates CSR impacts based on three dimensions: social, environmental, and financial. It emphasizes the holistic assessment of a project's consequences. It basically refers to Profit, People, and Planet, and how a firm/organization affects these positively. This could be in the form of fair wages, safe workplace initiatives, unbiased hiring policies, and community engagement programs along with moving to renewable energy sources, reducing your carbon footprint, changing to biodegradable packaging, and/or ensuring that your company doesn't use suppliers that are not environmentally responsible.

ESSENTIALS OF AN IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT OF A CSR PROJECT

An Impact Assessment Report of a CSR project is a comprehensive report written by the independent agency for assessing the project`s impact. As per statute there is no particular format for the report but as a healthy practice it is advised to include the following.

1. **Table of Contents**: Index of the topics covered in the report

2. **Executive Summary:** This includes providing a background of the company, background of the CSR initiative, highlights of the key finding and key recommendations.

3. **Background of the Study:** This includes the CSR vision and mission of the company along with its objectives.

4. **About the Implementing Agency:** A brief description of the profile of the implementing agency and their work in the domain.

5. **Methodology and Approach:** This section covers the methodology undertaken for the study, and the approach adopted. It also includes the impact generated till now, and how it could be improved going forward. It is usually conducted through a sampling approach. In this process both primary and secondary data is collected and a geographical scope of the study is clearly defined. This sampling approach and strategy is followed by data analysis. On the basis of this a report is written.

6. **Scoring Matrix:** This section leverages the framework followed, which could range from OECD to IRECS, and gives a score individually on the relevant parameters.

7. **Impact Assessment:** This section actually assesses the Impact generated, and leads to key findings (Pre and post intervention statistics) and calculate the overall ratings of the project.

8. **Case Studies:** This section encompasses various cases about how an intervention through this CSR project created a positive impact. Capturing some testimonials too.

9. **Conclusion:** Summarize the overall CSR impact assessment findings and reiterate the importance of continuous improvement in CSR practices. Emphasize the role of CSR in contributing to the company's long-term success and positive social and environmental outcomes.

BEST PRACTICES OF IMPACT ASSESSMENT

1. **Adoption of Globally Recognized Frameworks for comparative analysis and universal understandability:** GAIL (India) Limited enhances CSR report robustness by integrating the OECD-DAC Evaluation Network's framework, demonstrating a commitment to widely accepted criteria and fostering credibility and cross-industry comparability.

2. **Diverse Stakeholder Perspectives for a comprehensive understanding of the impact:** Recognizing the need for comprehensive evaluations, as seen in the IOCL impact assessment report, organizations intentionally include diverse stakeholder perspectives to embrace qualitative insights beyond numerical metrics.

3. **Mixed-Method Impact Assessment to assess multiple parameters:** ONGC Limited's alignment with prevalent practices involves employing a comprehensive approach, utilizing PriceWaterhouseCoopers International Ltd for a mixed-method assessment of six CSR projects, incorporating both quantitative and qualitative tools.

4. **Project-Specific Impact Highlights:** ONGC's Impact Assessment study highlights project-specific impacts, offering nuanced insights into varied contributions. Specific highlights for Project 1 (health facility assistance) and Project 2 (hostel construction for tribal girls) provide detailed insights into tangible benefits.

5. **Localized Focus in CSR Impact:** GAIL's recent report exemplifies a contemporary best practice by adopting a localized focus, concentrating on Karnataka state and Bengaluru district. This tailored approach acknowledges the importance of local contexts, providing a detailed understanding of region-specific impacts in CSR initiatives.

CHALLENGES FACED IN IMPACT ASSESSMENT OF CSR PROJECTS

1. **Absence of Universal Standards:** The challenge lies in the lack of universally accepted standards and frameworks for CSR assessment. Guidelines from initiatives like GRI, UNGC, and ISO 26000, while available, are not mandatory or consistent across sectors, allowing businesses to selectively report CSR activities, potentially resulting in greenwashing and incomparable data.

2. **Data Quality Concerns:** Ensuring the quality and reliability of CSR data is a significant challenge. Factors such as scope, frequency, accuracy, and verification impact data quality. Businesses operating in diverse locations with complex supply chains face difficulties in collecting and managing CSR data, leading to varied data quality across reports and affecting transparency.

3. **Stakeholder Engagement Complexity:** Engaging diverse stakeholders to understand their expectations poses a challenge in meaningful CSR reporting. Identifying and prioritizing stakeholders, ensuring representation, balancing conflicting interests, and effective communication all present challenges. Stakeholder engagement can be resource-intensive, demanding adequate skills and resources from businesses.

4. **Complexity in Impact Assessment:** Evaluating the actual outcomes and impacts of CSR activities is a complex challenge. Defining goals, indicators, establishing causal links, and addressing uncertainties are involved. External factors like market conditions and policy changes can influence impact assessment, making it challenging for businesses to have control.

5. **Challenges in Benchmarking and Rating:** Comparing and rating CSR performance across businesses presents challenges. Selecting criteria, indicators, and ensuring the validity of data are crucial. Benchmarking may create incentives for businesses to prioritize short-term over long-term goals, potentially compromising the strategic nature of CSR initiatives.

6. **Sector-Specific Measurement Disparity:** The lack of common measures within the sector poses a significant challenge, making programs within the same sector incomparable and hindering standardized impact assessment.

7. **Divergent Project Time Frames:** Varied project time frames present a challenge, introducing inconsistency in assessing CSR impacts over different durations, complicating comprehensive evaluation.

8. **Terminology Standardization Gap:** The gap in the standard use of terminologies adds complexity to CSR reporting, impeding clear communication and understanding in the assessment of corporate social responsibility initiatives.

CONCLUSION

In summary, changes in Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) rules in India, especially the Companies (Corporate Social Responsibility Policy) Amendment Rules, 2021, mark a significant shift toward more responsible business practices. The move from just talking about CSR to actually spending on it is crucial. The chapter discusses challenges like the lack of clear rules and issues with data reliability. It explores different frameworks for assessing CSR impacts and emphasizes the importance of using understandable terms. Despite obstacles, businesses adopting good practices show a commitment to honest evaluation. Overall, the focus is on making CSR more meaningful, transparent, and accountable for positive societal and environmental impacts.

CSR IMPLEMENTATION

Dr. Sunita Sinha
Representative Integrated Programs – Pune Casi Global, New York, Csr & Sustainability Institute

INTRODUCTION

Corporate Social Responsibility (referred to as CSR) proposes devising and implementing CSR strategies as an opportunity for organizations. When CSR is looked at from a strategic perspective, it emanates from top management's vision and values and is not considered an expense, but a strategic initiative readily adopted by organizations to differentiate themselves from their competition. The organization's ulterior motive to receive something in return to do better for the direct and indirect stakeholders indicates extrinsic CSR practices, that is strategic CSR. Currently, CSR is predominantly being viewed as a strategic idea and such a strategic interest of organizations towards CSR needs to be addressed by scholars when we take into consideration the significant time and resources invested in implementing CSR strategically within the organization. While CSR has been under the limelight in the academic as well as the industrial sectors since the 1950s, its implementation, however, has not received much attention. Furthermore, implementation of CSR like any other strategy implementation is of crucial importance to ensure the successful attainment of one's goals. Accordingly, an increasing number of academicians, over the past decade, have started focusing on how CSR is implemented in organizations, thereby paving a way for future research in Academia.

CSR implementation is a new field of research and has seen profound growth since they called attention to it in the special issue of Journal of Business Ethics. Although various empirical papers have proposed CSR implementation frameworks to assist practitioners in implementing and formulating CSR strategies, none of the review studies exclusively looked at CSR implementation from a multi-level and a multi-dimensional perspective. In this study, we define CSR implementation as the process that an organization undertakes to increase the awareness levels of CSR issues and CSR strategies, embed CSR values within the organization, communicate CSR initiatives internally and externally, and evaluate the progress of CSR strategies. The very few scholars who have produced reviews on CSR implementation look at specific dimensions of CSR implementation such as communication or ways of CSR implementation. Therefore, conducting a review would allow researchers to attain a better idea on the overall progress of research in CSR implementation and provide a clearer perspective on prospects, thereby filling in an important knowledge gap. The main research and review objective proposes an integrative framework for CSR implementation and requires a systematic review on CSR implementation. Hence, through the integrative framework, we explain what has been done in CSR implementation and how it can be enhanced further.

The review study is guided by three developments: (1) the growing amount of time and efforts organizations are putting in towards implementing CSR, (2) an upsurge in organizations' interests towards strategic CSR, (3) recognition among CSR scholars of the need to understand how strategies are implemented. The structure of this review study is as follows: "Defining CSR IMPLEMENTATION" section begins with the theoretical development of the constructs under study and is followed by "REVIEW METHODOLOGY" section on methodology that outlines the steps taken to initiate the systematic review and sets the stage for this review study. "TRENDS IN CSR IMPLEMENTATION RESEARCH" section proceeds to discuss the trends discovered through descriptively analyzing the sampled studies. It also portrays the findings of reviewing the CSR implementation literature in six established categories, namely, level of analysis, research methods, theories being used, geographical focus, journal distribution with years of publication, and time lapse of CSR implementation topics. "THEMATIC ANALYSIS:

AN INTEGRATIVE FRAMEWORK OF CSR IMPLEMENTATION" section introduces an integrative CSR implementation framework that thematically distributes the CSR implementation literature and proposes a future research agenda. We conclude with the "CONCLUSION" section that provides a summarized overview on theoretical and practical implications of this study.

DEFINING CSR IMPLEMENTATION
The first step of a systematic review entertains a repetitive process of defining, clarifying, and refining. We scoured the CSR implementation literature to find any existing conceptual definitions that can support our review process. In our search for what it means to implement CSR, we found two empirical studies which developed CSR implementation frameworks. We used these studies as the foundation to build our own CSR implementation definition, which is supported with the theory of business citizenship as discussed later in this section. The first study, where a nine-stage integrative framework was developed, was based on data collected from case studies. The second study regarded the process nature of CSR implementation construct but generalized it into three separate dimensions;

(1) Commitment to CSR, (2) internal structures and procedures, and (3) external collaboration. Accordingly, these two frameworks were analyzed to procure specific lenses that can entail a better understanding of the CSR implementation process. This phase contributed towards attaining richer and micro-level insights on CSR implementation. In addition, we theoretically based our dimensions of CSR implementation on the theory of business citizenship. This theory investigates the ethical, social, and political issues surrounding organizations. According to this theory, an organization can be viewed as a citizen such that there exist moral and structural ties among business organizations, humans, and social institutions where social control is exercised by the society on organizations, thereby protecting and enhancing public welfare and private interests.

As such, we identified four distinct dimensions of CSR implementation that concisely portray the CSR implementation process outlined in the two frameworks based on the theory of business citizenship that views a corporation as a citizen, where the responsibilities associated with such citizenship towards society and environment come into play. CSR design and implementation consists of nine steps. These are (1) raising CSR awareness, (2) assessing organizational purpose in a societal context, (3) establishing a CSR definition and vision, (4) assessing current status of CSR, (5) developing a CSR strategy, (6) implementing the CSR strategy, (7) communicating about CSR strategy, (8) evaluating CSR strategy, and (9) institutionalizing CSR policy. However, consider CSR implementation to comprise three dimensions, namely, commitment to CSR, embedding CSR, and external collaboration.

Of the nine steps, we considered steps 1 (raising CSR awareness), 5 (embedding CSR), 6 (implementing CSR activities), 7 (communicating about CSR), and 8 (evaluating CSR) for inclusion in CSR implementation. It is worth noting that though step 5 dealt with formulating CSR strategy, a sub-part of this step (5.2) constituted embedding CSR in the organization, which is also proposed as a CSR implementation dimension. Hence, we included step 5 in our typology of CSR implementation dimensions. Similarly, the commitment to the CSR dimension takes into consideration the awareness that organizational members show towards CSR. Although, CSR evaluation (step 8) is primarily not a constituent of strategy implementation process, scholars have begun to indicate its importance in the implementation process, where managers monitor strategy progress and take relevant steps for further improvements in CSR implementation. Steps 2, 3, and 4 are not considered in this study as they represent a part of CSR design, while step 9 identifies with post-implementation. Hence, the four dimensions relate to the need for an organization to accrue sufficient (1) CSR AWARENESS which manifests itself in the form of organization's commitment to CSR through (2) COMMUNICATING and

(3) EMBEDDING CSR and placing systematic processes in place to (4) EVALUATE CSR. Overall, these dimensions entail interactions with various external stakeholders and are not restricted to inter-organizational dynamics.

CSR awareness includes the act of raising sensitivity of an organization and its members towards CSR issues, where it may be initiated by managers (top-down approach) or employees (bottom-up approach) for strategic or altruistic reasons and includes commitment to CSR through integrating it into policy documents. Further, CSR communication is directed towards both internal and external stakeholders, where the means or nature of communication and its content need to be identified. The different ways of communication include meetings, corporate internal newsletters, and training for internal stakeholders such as employees and board members, while the social and environmental performance of an organization may be disclosed in the form of annual reports or CSR reports and advertisements to external stakeholders.

Embedding CSR entails instilling CSR values among organizational members using tools such as CSR policies, procedures, mission, and vision to reinforce a CSR compliant behavior in operational functions. Lastly, CSR evaluation includes the measurement of how well the CSR objectives have been met, monitoring the progress of these CSR objectives, and exploring ways to improve CSR performance.

REVIEW METHODOLOGY

We utilized a systematic literature approach to accomplish our research goal of surveying the literature on CSR implementation. Systematic reviews are commonly used to ensure transparency and replicability in the review process. Given that it is imperative to outline the scope of one's search prior to ensuing the data collection process, we restricted our range to any research study that exclusively focused on the concept of CSR implementation or its four dimensions, namely, CSR awareness, CSR communication, CSR embedding, and CSR evaluation. The concept of CSR has taken various titular forms in literature, where overlapping constructs like corporate sustainability, corporate social performance, and corporate citizenship have been proposed and are now interchangeably used by researchers. However, the terminology of CSR has been most widely used by researchers, and as such is adopted in this study. CSR acts as an intermediary tool that examines the efforts of organizations aimed at balancing the triple bottom line.

TRENDS IN CSR IMPLEMENTATION RESEARCH

Upon analyzing the empirical literature on CSR implementation, we were able to make several inferences that would shed light on research gaps not yet covered in the CSR implementation literature. We followed established review studies in CSR literature and focused on six aspects to attain a general purview of CSR implementation research conducted to date. First, with respect to the level of analysis, CSR implementation literature, unlike the general CSR literature, does not seem to suffer from lack of focus on individual-level research. However, most of the empirical research conducted on CSR implementation is at the firm level. In addition to that, multi-level studies are quite rare with only 8 papers analyzing CSR implementation at multiple levels, e.g., a combination of individual, firm, institutional, industry, and country levels with a combination of at most three levels. Accordingly, future research needs to take into consideration the multi-dimensional nature of CSR implementation and conduct scientific research that is not limited to a single level of analysis.

The ingrained analysis of empirical research concerning CSR implementation has shed much-needed light on how this research has changed over the years. For example, we find that while CSR communication has seen constant growth over the years, other dimensions of CSR implementation have experienced uneven growth and decline in research attention. The comparatively high focus placed on CSR communication brings into question the negligence of

other crucial facets of CSR implementation such as CSR embedding and CSR evaluation. Overall, CSR implementation literature that covers either the entire process of CSR implementation in general or more than one dimension of CSR implementation has been gradually on the rise.

THEMATIC ANALYSIS: AN INTEGRATIVE FRAMEWORK OF CSR IMPLEMENTATION

The question that comes to mind at this moment in time is: What can we learn more about CSR implementation? We adopt an approach like that taken up by researchers who developed various integrative CSR implementation frameworks based on empirical data. However, our integrative framework is built upon analytical insights and keeping in mind our purpose of aiding academicians and practitioners in understanding the complex multi-level nature of CSR implementation. Hence, this review tries to learn from the findings obtained in descriptively analyzing in the previous section and proposes directions for future research using a macroscopic lens with the aid of an integrative multi-level CSR implementation framework that can have both research and practical implications.

AN INTEGRATIVE MULTI – LEVEL IMPLEMENTATION FRAMEWORK

The remainder of this section will discuss the four components of our proposed framework: (1) CSR implementation, (2) CSR formulation, (3) CSR outcomes, and (4) CSR context. The focus is placed on CSR implementation, as it is the main core to be reviewed. We discuss the inherent complexity of the CSR implementation construct and how extant literature has conceptualized it, setting the stage to examine two distinct attributes of CSR implementation, namely, its multi-dimensional and multi-level nature. Given the capacity and scope of this study, which is centered on CSR implementation, we touch on the other three components, namely, formulation, outcomes, and context to provide an overview on the whole CSR implementation framework. In discussing CSR formulation, we unravel its absence in studies that have examined CSR implementation and illustrate different ways that future scholars can incorporate it henceforth given the strong link that exists between strategy formulation and implementation. Additionally, the next subsection on the effect of CSR implementation provides a snapshot on how the CSR implementation literature has heavily examined organizational outcomes, particularly, non-financial, and explains the potential of studying organizational performance comprehensively along with macro-level outcomes. We then conclude this section by extrapolating on the importance of identifying and accounting for contextual variables when studying CSR implementation that may inhibit or drive the implementation process and even potentially moderate the relationship of CSR implementation with CSR formulation and CSR outcomes.

CSR IMPLEMENTATION CONSTRUCT

CSR implementation is characterized by complexity, where the organization must deal with different stakeholders, internally and externally. Further, this complexity of CSR implementation is pronounced with its contextual nature across industries, countries, time, and pool of stakeholders. Despite CSR implementation experiencing complexity, indicate how organizations vary in their CSR disclosure based on their linkages to the central government, highlighting the underlying institutional complexity. On the other hand, various countries' institutional forces affect the adoption of CSR practices by various Multinational Corporations (MNCs) indicating the presence of transnational complexity. Similarly, global brands face three different kinds of complexity when implementing CSR, namely, social issue complexity, organizational complexity, and communication complexity. Communication complexity is the complexity that arises regarding the type of information that needs to be communicated, the consistency that needs to be maintained across the messages and in ensuring that the organizations are also walking the walk and not just talking the talk. Along these lines, a series

of research articles have examined the concepts of CSR walk and CSR talk, where the former represents actual CSR implementation while the latter focuses on CSR communication. Further, the overall impact of CSR implementation on the society and environment is dampened in the presence of incongruence between CSR activities being communicated and CSR activities being implemented. Hence, as we acknowledge the existence of complexity in CSR implementation and the prevalent absence in conceptualizing CSR implementation, we need to understand the factors that contribute towards the aforesaid complexity of CSR implementation and how we can deal with these factors. To do so, we try to explain the inherent complexity of CSR implementation by exploring its multi-dimensional and multi-level facets that can assist future studies in better conceptualizing CSR implementation.

MULTI – DIMENSIONAL NATURE

First and foremost, much of complexity in CSR implementation arises due to its multidimensional nature. Multi-dimensionality refers to information that is distributed over multiple dimensions due to its inability to align together in a single dimension such that the information is uniquely sorted into these various dimensions. Although extant research acknowledges the multi-dimensional nature of CSR implementation some of the authors have assessed CSR implementation based on the traditional classification of stakeholder theory, i.e., implementing CSR strategies directed towards society, environment, and employees or as per the triple bottom line approach of economy, ecology, and society. However, the above conceptualizations of CSR implementation resonate with the conceptualization of the generic CSR concept itself, where CSR has been conceptualized in terms of stakeholders being targeted at or the nature of responsibility an organization holds towards its society such as economic, ethical, legal, and discretionary. CSR scholars need to diversify their usage of theories and restrict themselves from focusing only on the stakeholder view. Hence, researchers need to properly distinguish between the CSR strategy and its implementation.

Accordingly, our proposed conceptualization of CSR implementation can aid scholars and organizations in perceiving the multi-dimensional nature of CSR implementation by focusing on the four dimensions. Future research can also test whether these four dimensions are practiced with equal fervor across and within organizations and industries. This will enable CSR implementation research to extend beyond CSR communication, which the majority of identified empirical research in this study focused exclusively on with very little focus being placed on other CSR implementation dimensions or the construct.

MULTI – LEVEL NATURE

Second, while examining different dimensions of CSR implementation surely gives one the wholesome picture, one cannot ignore the multiple levels involved as the above four dimensions of CSR implementation are considered. However, as per our review only a small fraction of the empirical research on CSR implementation (6%) had conducted multi-level research. Hence, academicians have not managed to pay attention to the multiple levels that are in-built when implementing CSR. In referring to the concept of multi-level, we propose that CSR implementation involves actors and characteristics at various levels in its environment such that employees, customers, and managers form individual level, while organizational characteristics such as firm size, age, ownership constitute organizational level, and so on. The conceptualization of CSR implementation in our study shows its inherent multi-level nature, where for instance, CSR values may be embedded in the form of CSR vision and mission at organizational level, while CSR awareness initiated by managers or employees occurs at individual level.

The multi-level studies under examination in this review examined CSR implementation at different levels, namely, country, institutional, industry, organizational, and individual. These studies examined (1) drivers of CSR implementation like corporate governance and culture

background, organizational location and distribution of country income, stakeholders and their pressures, country governance, industry effect, and organizational characteristics; and (2) outcomes of CSR implementation including market performance, customer attitudes, customer perceptions, and employee work behavior. Hence, our integrative multi-level framework of CSR implementation considers the five levels as discussed above.

CSR FORMULATION: AN OVERLOOKED ANTECEDENT OF CSR IMPLEMENTATION

CSR strategy implementation is preceded by its formulation, which consists of decision making upon attaining and interpreting information. Given the integrative nature of this multi-level framework of CSR implementation, it becomes crucial to consider its critical antecedent, i.e., CSR formulation. CSR design and implementation framework identified various steps involved in the formulation of CSR strategies; understanding organization's societal purpose, identifying its stakeholders, defining CSR vision and mission, assessing current CSR practices, benchmarking with competition and developing the CSR strategy. Additionally, higher CSR orientation of board members also ensures higher proactivity in forming and implementing a firm's CSR strategy. On the other hand, various researchers have focused on the sense making concept and linked it to how managers make sense of CSR (as opposed to having planned goals) and accordingly formulate CSR strategies. While the presence of stakeholders in CSR strategy formulation was found to positively influence CSR implementation, their real-world presence in CSR formulation seems to be minimal. Accordingly, future research can examine the barriers to stakeholder involvement in CSR formulation and propose ways in which organizations can enhance their involvement. Hence, linking CSR formulation with its implementation can provide richer feedback as it gives deeper insights into the successful execution of the formulated strategy, where successful CSR implementation can be treated as a dependent variable.

THE IMPACT OF CSR IMPLEMENTATION

The outcomes in CSR research have prominently focused on organizational outcomes with special attention being given to financial performance, thereby ignoring the appropriate assessment of the success of a CSR strategy by looking at its non-financial performance indicators such as employees' extra-role behavior, consumer's perceptions, and social and environmental performance impact. On the other hand, CSR implementation, the subset of CSR research literature, has focused exclusively on the non-financial indicators including corporate reputation, consumer purchase intentions, and various stakeholder satisfaction such as consumers and employees. Further, the measurement of CSR performance in CSR literature has been used interchangeably to reflect the construct of CSR, thereby creating a conundrum when it comes to assessing the comprehensive impact of CSR implementation strategies. Consequently, CSR implementation research requires clarification in understanding the nature of its impact on organizational performance, where it may also act as a mediator between CSR formulation and CSR impact.

Future research, hence, needs to consider both financial and non-financial indicators when examining the organizational performance outcome of CSR implementation. This can be achieved, for example, through adopting the sustainability balanced scorecard perspective when measuring organizational outcomes of CSR implementation. In doing so, organizations can effectively assess the overall impact of CSR implementation on CSR performance constituting social, environmental, and financial performance. In addition to examining these micro-level and meso-level (industry level, institutional level) outcomes, future research can also explore how implementation of CSR strategies within organizations and industries can lead to a macro-level sustainability impact such as the country's economic and sustainable development through

improvement of Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) index, a standard indicator of country's sustainability performance developed by United Nations in 2020.

Further research ideas can also be attained through scrutinizing our proposed framework where CSR implementation researchers can expand their theoretical support from merely focusing on stakeholder and legitimacy theory to other theories such as structural contingency theory for industry perspective, leadership contingency theory for individual perspective, intergroup theory for CSR embedding, population ecology for institutional perspective, agency theory for the relationship between CSR formulation and CSR implementation in SMEs.

While it is difficult to ensure that one research study covers various levels as depicted in the CSR implementation framework, it, however, becomes easier to realize the presence of multiple factors that may affect CSR implementation–outcomes relationship. With this knowledge at hand, academicians can account and control the factors, when applicable. Similarly, practitioners can also utilize this framework to get an overarching purview of CSR implementation and better understand the various factors that may positively or adversely impact the different outcomes of CSR implementation, and accordingly, take the necessary proactive decisions.

CONCLUSION

CSR implementation depends upon several factors such as nature of research, level of analysis, theoretical support, and geographical expansion. Further insights were gained through the depiction of an integrative multi-level CSR implementation framework developed in the previous section of thematic analysis. In doing so, this study has made several theoretical and practical implications, as discussed below.

In terms of theoretical implications, a considerable amount of focus has been placed towards examining the factors impacting implementation of CSR (antecedents, mediators, and moderators) and the organizational-level consequences of CSR implementation. Hence, one of the prime importance is to involve deeper insights into how organizations implement CSR with respect to CSR awareness, CSR embedding, CSR communication, and CSR evaluation. In doing so, we would be able to examine CSR implementation from multi-dimensional and multi-level perspectives.

Second, one of the prominent difficulties encountered by organizations when implementing CSR relates to prioritizing stakeholders' interests. Different organizations place importance on different stakeholders and as such, a universal solution to prioritize stakeholders becomes difficult. We attempt to resolve this dilemma by proposing a CSR implementation definition that indicates the process of CSR implementation as an integrated and a comprehensive process which entails coordinated involvement of all stakeholders throughout the four dimensions of CSR implementation.

Third, scholars can also link how multiple dimensions of CSR implementation relate to each other. For example, they examine whether CSR communication by organizations leads to higher CSR awareness of customers. CSR communication has been of prime focus for several academicians. However, only three studies were found to study CSR evaluation as a part of the implementation process. Evaluating CSR in the implementation phase resonates with assessing the extent to which CSR objectives are met. However, CSR evaluation has mostly focused on assessing CSR performance. Thus, to examine CSR evaluation as a part of the implementation phase, we need to study other internal stakeholders in addition to employees, such as ways of monitoring CSR strategies by both board members and top management. Accordingly, examining other CSR implementation dimensions in detail, specifically perceiving them from a different lens would enrich the extant knowledge on CSR implementation.

Fourth, most of the CSR implementation looks at organizational and individual-level outcomes. Given the very nature of an organization to ensure profitability, the prime focus has been placed by researchers in identifying how CSR implementation impacts organizational outcomes such as organizational reputation and CSR performance. Similarly, customers are deemed as the most important stakeholder given their direct impact on an organization's profitability, and thereby, its sustenance. Accordingly, most prior studies have examined the impact of CSR strategy implementation on customer perceptions and behaviors.

This study also produces various implications for practitioners regarding CSR implementation. First, practitioners can make use of the proposed CSR implementation dimensions that stresses on its multi-level and multi-dimensional nature and identifies it as a process that is not restricted to a stakeholder view. Accordingly, managers can make appropriate decisions to ensure CSR strategies are properly implemented in their organizations and are not solely restricted to financial investments. Second, top management and policy makers can utilize the CSR implementation framework on the potential factors that can impact CSR implementation and the possible outcomes of CSR implementation. Third, practitioners, upon realizing the multi-level impact of CSR implementation, which goes beyond the individual and organizational levels, can reflect upon their current organizational CSR strategies and accordingly, revise or formulate better versions.

To summarize, CSR implementation has come a long way in the past decade and still has a long way to go. This paper attempts to enlighten the insights on the progress of CSR implementation research and how it can be further improved to enrich our understanding of the concept of CSR implementation. With the proposition of CSR implementation dimensions that facilitate the review of literature, an integrative multi-level CSR implementation framework has been developed to assist future research on CSR implementation in getting closer to reality by portraying the interconnectivity in implementing any organizational strategic decision. This study attempts to set the stage for future research to build upon by conducting richer and deeper empirical studies that examine CSR implementation in the right light.

EVOLVING STRATEGIES FOR CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY IN INDIA: SCALING IMPACT AND ENHANCING EFFICIENCY

Nirbhay Lumde
Director- APAC Corporate Social Responsibility, CGI.

1. INTRODUCTION

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in India has traversed a remarkable journey, evolving from sporadic philanthropic initiatives to a more structured and strategic approach ingrained within corporate governance. Tracing its roots, CSR in India initially manifested primarily through charitable acts by individuals and businesses, often influenced by cultural and religious beliefs. These actions, while commendable, were sporadic and needed a cohesive structure.

Historical Context and Recent Shifts

The landscape of CSR in India experienced a significant shift with the introduction of the Companies Act 2013. This landmark legislation mandated that companies with a net worth of ₹500 crore or more, or an annual turnover of ₹1000 crore or more, or a net profit of ₹5 crore or more during any financial year, must spend at least 2% of their average net profits of the preceding three years on CSR activities. This legal imperative transformed CSR from voluntary to mandatory for certain companies, driving a more systematic approach towards social responsibility.

The Companies Act 2013 mandated CSR contributions and broadened the spectrum of activities that could be included under CSR. It encompassed a range of activities from education and healthcare to environmental sustainability and rural development, allowing corporations to align their CSR initiatives with their business expertise and core values.

In recent years, Indian corporations have witnessed a paradigm shift in their CSR approaches, moving beyond compliance to a more strategic and integrated model. This change has been partly influenced by global trends emphasising social and environmental integration in business operations and strategy. Creating shared value, where businesses seek to generate economic value in a way that produces value for society, has gained traction.

Moreover, internal organisational learning and a deeper understanding of the long-term benefits of CSR have further propelled this shift. Companies have started recognising that strategic CSR initiatives, aligned with their core business areas, can yield benefits in brand enhancement, customer loyalty, employee engagement, and overall reputation.

However, this shift towards a more strategic approach also brings new challenges. Measuring the impact of CSR initiatives, ensuring their alignment with broader societal goals, and integrating them seamlessly with business strategies require a more nuanced and sophisticated approach. Companies are now focusing on using data and insights to assess the effectiveness of their CSR initiatives, ensuring they create meaningful and sustainable impacts.

The subsequent sections of this chapter will delve deeper into the practical aspects of transitioning to strategic CSR, exploring tools and techniques for measuring and enhancing the impact of CSR initiatives and discussing the future direction of CSR in India.

The Need for Strategic, Integrated CSR Approaches

Historically, CSR in India was characterised by isolated, often ad-hoc, charitable activities disconnected from core business operations. While well-intentioned, these isolated CSR activities often needed more scale, sustainability, and alignment with broader social and environmental challenges. For instance, a company might engage in a one-time donation to a

local school but take advantage of the opportunity to create a long-term educational program that aligns with its business expertise.

The fundamental limitation of such isolated CSR efforts is their inability to effectively address complex, systemic issues like poverty, education inequity, or environmental degradation. These challenges require sustained, multi-faceted approaches, which isolated initiatives often fail to deliver. Additionally, without alignment with the company's core business strategy, these activities can be seen as peripheral, limiting their impact and sustainability.

The contemporary business environment, influenced by global sustainability goals and increasing stakeholder awareness, necessitates a more strategic approach to CSR. Aligning CSR initiatives with core business strategies and broader social goals offers multiple advantages. It ensures that CSR activities are not just peripheral engagements but are integral to the company's overall strategy.

For instance, a technology firm could integrate its CSR efforts with its core competency by supporting digital literacy initiatives, creating a synergy between its business objectives and social contributions. This alignment enhances the impact of the CSR activities and ensures they are sustainable and scalable.

Strategic CSR initiatives offer numerous benefits:

- **Enhanced Brand Reputation:** Consumers, investors, and other stakeholders often view companies engaging in strategic CSR favourably. This enhanced reputation can translate into increased brand loyalty and customer trust.

- **Improved Stakeholder Relations:** A strategic approach to CSR helps build stronger relationships with various stakeholders, including the local community, government, and non-governmental organisations. It can lead to collaborative opportunities and a better understanding of stakeholder needs.

- **Sustainable Impact:** Strategic CSR initiatives aligned with core business strategies are more likely to be sustainable. They are integrated into the long-term business plan, ensuring ongoing commitment and resources.

- **Innovation and Business Opportunities:** Engaging in CSR activities that align with business objectives can spur innovation and open up new business opportunities. For instance, a company focusing on environmental sustainability might develop new green technologies, creating new market opportunities.

In conclusion, shifting from isolated CSR activities to strategic, integrated approaches is beneficial and necessary in today's complex business and social environment. It requires rethinking how CSR is conceptualised and executed, ensuring that it becomes an integral part of the business strategy, contributing to both social good and business success.

The chapter "Evolving Strategies for Corporate Social Responsibility in India: Scaling Impact and Enhancing Efficiency" aims to achieve several key objectives. The chapter will examine how CSR has evolved in India, highlighting the shift from traditional philanthropic approaches to more structured and strategic initiatives. A central objective is to articulate the necessity for CSR to be integrated with core business strategies, demonstrating how this approach can address complex social and environmental challenges more effectively. The chapter intends to present a well-defined framework to guide Indian corporations in transitioning from isolated CSR activities to a more strategic, integrated approach, incorporating real-world case studies for context and practical understanding.

The chapter will introduce practical tools and techniques for measuring and understanding the impact of CSR initiatives, including impact assessment frameworks and data analytics tools. It will also delve into how technology can be leveraged to enhance the efficiency and impact of CSR initiatives, with examples of technology-driven CSR in India. The chapter outlines the future directions for strategic CSR in India, identifying emerging trends and challenges and discussing the role of policy and regulation in shaping these future paths.

Finally, the chapter will summarise key takeaways and provide a call to action for Indian corporations, encouraging them to adopt and implement the strategies and practices discussed. Through these objectives, the chapter aims to be a comprehensive, practical guide for Indian corporations looking to enhance their CSR impact and efficiency.

2. Identifying the Limitations of Isolated CSR Activities

Traditional CSR models in India primarily revolve around isolated activities. These models are characterised by their disconnection from the core business strategies, focusing more on philanthropy or one-off community engagements. While beneficial in the short term, this approach often fails to create sustainable, long-term impact.

One major limitation of isolated CSR activities is their lack of sustainability. Often conducted as standalone projects, these activities need more continuity and long-term commitment, crucial for addressing deep-rooted social and environmental issues. For example, a company might fund a one-time health camp in a rural area, providing immediate relief but needing a long-term solution to healthcare access issues. Such activities, though beneficial, fail to create a lasting impact due to their temporary nature.

Furthermore, these isolated CSR efforts often have a limited scope and impact. They tend to address symptoms rather than underlying causes of societal issues. For instance, providing donations to schools without integrating educational programs with broader community development objectives does little to address the systemic issues in education. The impact of such activities is often localised and fails to contribute to larger social objectives.

Another critical drawback is the need for more alignment of these activities with broader social objectives and business strategies. Traditional CSR models often operate in silos, disconnected from the core business objectives and strategies. This misalignment results in missed opportunities for creating shared value where business and social objectives can synergistically co-exist.

Case examples from Indian corporations illustrate these limitations. For instance, a company may engage in sporadic environmental clean-ups without addressing its environmental footprint or contributing to broader environmental sustainability goals. Such activities, while commendable, do not address the systemic environmental challenges or align with the company's long-term business interests.

In summary, though well-intentioned, the traditional, isolated CSR activities must be revised to create sustainable, impactful, and strategically aligned social contributions. The next section of this chapter will explore a framework for transitioning to a more strategic, integrated approach to CSR, overcoming these limitations and aligning CSR efforts with both business objectives and broader societal goals.

Framework for Strategic CSR: Integration with Core Business Strategies

Integrating CSR with core business strategies represents a paradigm shift from traditional CSR models. This conceptual framework involves embedding CSR into the very fabric of an organisation's business strategy, ensuring that social and environmental considerations are integral to business operations and decision-making processes.

The first step is the alignment of CSR initiatives with business objectives and values. It involves identifying areas where the company's business operations and CSR efforts can synergistically interact. For instance, a company in the manufacturing sector might focus its CSR initiatives on environmental sustainability, aligning with its business interest in reducing operational costs through sustainable practices.

The integration process also requires redefining the company's value proposition to include social and environmental components. It could mean innovating products and services that meet customer needs and contribute positively to societal issues. A consumer goods company, for example, could develop eco-friendly products, thereby addressing environmental concerns while appealing to a growing market of environmentally conscious consumers.

Another crucial step is developing a CSR strategy that aligns with the company's long-term business plan. This strategy should include clear goals, measurable outcomes, and a roadmap for implementation. It should also consider the impact of CSR initiatives on all stakeholders and how they contribute to the company's overall success.

The role of leadership and culture in this framework cannot be overstated. Strong leadership commitment to CSR is essential for successful integration. Leaders must champion CSR initiatives, embedding them into corporate governance and decision-making processes. They should also foster a culture that values CSR, encouraging employee involvement and ensuring that CSR becomes a part of the company's identity.

In conclusion, integrating CSR into core business strategies is a complex but rewarding process. It requires a strategic approach, alignment with business objectives, innovation, and a supportive leadership and organisational culture. When done effectively, it leads to CSR initiatives that are sustainable and impactful and contribute to the company's business success.

Case Studies of Successful Transitions in Indian Corporations

This section presents case studies from diverse Indian corporations to illustrate the successful transition to strategic CSR. These cases provide valuable insights into how realigning CSR with business strategies can enhance social impact and business value.

- **Tata Group:** A pioneer in CSR, Tata Group has integrated social responsibility into its business ethos. Their transition to strategic CSR is evident in initiatives like Tata Trusts, which focus on health, poverty, education, and rural upliftment in sync with their business objectives. Tata Steel's tribal welfare programs, for instance, are aligned with its sustainability goals, creating shared value for both society and the company.

- **ITC Limited:** Known for its 'Triple Bottom Line' approach, ITC Limited has successfully integrated its CSR initiatives with its business model. The 'E-Choupal' initiative, aimed at empowering farmers, is a prime example. By providing farmers with real-time information and connecting them directly to markets, ITC has enhanced its supply chain efficiency while contributing to rural development.

- **Infosys:** Infosys has focused on leveraging its core competence in technology for its CSR initiatives. Their 'Infosys Foundation' works on various projects, including the support of digital literacy, education, and healthcare. The company's transition to strategic CSR is seen in how these initiatives complement its business interests in technology and innovation.

- **Reliance Industries:** Reliance has integrated CSR with its healthcare, education, and rural development business operations. Their CSR strategy aligns with their business interests, especially in creating sustainable models in their operating sectors. The 'Reliance Foundation' is instrumental in driving these initiatives, ensuring they create long-term societal and business value.

From these case studies, several lessons and best practices emerge. First, aligning CSR initiatives with business strategy ensures sustainability and impact. Second, leveraging core business competencies in CSR activities can create shared value. Third, leadership commitment and stakeholder engagement are crucial for successful CSR integration. These insights can guide other corporations in India and globally to transition to a more strategic and integrated CSR approach.

3. Introduction to Strategic CSR Implementation

The transition to strategic CSR requires a comprehensive approach that aligns CSR activities with a corporation's core business strategies and goals. This process transforms traditional CSR from a peripheral activity to a central, integrated component of business operations, ensuring business growth and social impact.

- **Assessing Current CSR Activities:** The first step in implementing strategic CSR is to assess current CSR activities. It involves evaluating existing programs to determine their alignment with the company's strategic objectives and the broader social and environmental goals. This assessment should evaluate the impact, scalability, and sustainability of current CSR initiatives. Companies must ask critical questions: Are the current CSR activities creating a meaningful impact? Do they align with our business strategies? Are they sustainable in the long term?

- **Setting Strategic CSR Goals:** After assessing existing CSR activities, the next step is to set strategic CSR goals. These goals should be specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time-bound (SMART). They should align with the company's core business objectives and address critical social and environmental issues relevant to the business and its stakeholders. For instance, a technology company might aim to improve digital literacy in its operating regions, aligning its business expertise with societal needs.

- **Developing an Action Plan:** Developing an actionable and measurable CSR plan is crucial. The plan should outline specific activities, timelines, resources required, and metrics for measuring success. It should also include stakeholder engagement strategies and identify potential partnerships that can enhance the impact of CSR initiatives. The plan should be dynamic, allowing adjustments based on ongoing evaluations and feedback. Effective communication of the plan and its objectives to all stakeholders, including employees, customers, and community members, is essential for its success.

Through this step-by-step approach, Indian corporations can effectively transition to strategic CSR, positively impacting society and achieving business objectives.

Aligning CSR with Business Goals and Stakeholder Expectations

Understanding stakeholder needs is the foundation of aligning CSR with business goals. Stakeholders include employees, customers, suppliers, community members, and shareholders. Companies should use stakeholder surveys, focus groups, and community engagement sessions to identify expectations. This process involves actively listening to stakeholders, understanding their concerns and expectations, and identifying areas where these align with the company's core business. For instance, a consumer goods company might find that their customers highly value sustainable practices, pointing towards aligning CSR initiatives with environmental sustainability.

Integrating CSR with Business Strategy

Integrating CSR into business strategy requires a deep understanding of how CSR initiatives can complement and enhance the company's business objectives. It involves identifying areas where CSR efforts can create shared value – benefiting the company and society. Techniques for this integration include mapping business goals with potential CSR initiatives, assessing business

operations' societal and environmental impact, and leveraging core competencies for social good. For example, a pharmaceutical company might integrate CSR into its business strategy by improving healthcare access in underserved communities, thus aligning with its core business of providing healthcare solutions.

Communication Strategies

Communicating CSR alignment both internally and externally is crucial for its success. Internally, this means ensuring that all employees understand the company's CSR goals and how they align with the business strategy. Techniques include regular internal communications, employee involvement in CSR activities, and integrating CSR into corporate training programs. Externally, companies should transparently communicate their CSR initiatives and achievements to stakeholders through reports, social media, and community engagements. This communication should highlight how the CSR initiatives align with business goals and stakeholder expectations, reinforcing the company's commitment to responsible business practices.

In conclusion, aligning CSR with business goals and stakeholder expectations requires a thorough understanding of stakeholder needs, strategic integration of CSR into business operations, and effective communication strategies. This alignment enhances the company's social and environmental contributions and supports its business objectives.

Building Internal Capacity for Strategic CSR Implementation

- **Organisational Structure for CSR:** Establishing an effective organisational structure is crucial for the strategic implementation of CSR. Companies should consider forming a dedicated CSR team or department led by individuals who deeply understand the company's business and societal goals. This team should have clear roles and responsibilities and be integrated into the company's overall structure, ensuring CSR is considered in all business decisions. Leadership involvement is also vital; C-suite executives or board members should actively participate in CSR planning and execution, signalling its importance to the organisation.

- **Training and Development:** Equipping employees with the necessary skills and knowledge is essential for successful CSR implementation. Companies should invest in comprehensive training programs that cover the importance of CSR, the company's specific CSR goals, and how employees can contribute. Training can range from workshops and seminars to e-learning modules tailored to different organisational roles. Encouraging employees to participate in CSR activities and providing opportunities to contribute to CSR decision-making can also enhance their commitment and understanding.

- **Monitoring and Evaluation:** A robust monitoring and evaluation (M&E) framework is necessary to ensure the effectiveness of CSR initiatives. This framework should include clear metrics and indicators to assess the progress and impact of CSR activities. Regular monitoring and reporting can provide insights into the effectiveness of CSR initiatives and inform adjustments where necessary. Companies should also consider external audits or impact assessments to evaluate their CSR efforts objectively.

- **Sustaining and Scaling CSR Efforts:** For CSR efforts to be sustainable and scalable, they should be integrated into the company's long-term strategic planning. Companies must ensure that CSR initiatives are adaptable and resilient to changing business and societal contexts. It might involve diversifying CSR activities, seeking partnerships with other organisations, or leveraging technology for a more significant impact. Regular reviews of CSR strategies and adapting them to evolving business and societal needs can help maintain momentum and scale up efforts.

In conclusion, building internal capacity for strategic CSR involves structuring the organisation effectively, training and developing employees, implementing robust M&E frameworks, and ensuring the sustainability and scalability of CSR efforts.

4. Importance of Impact Assessment in CSR

In CSR, impact assessment is a critical tool for corporations to gauge the effectiveness of their social and environmental initiatives. The fundamental rationale behind this is accountability and transparency in CSR activities. Companies are increasingly being scrutinised not just for their financial performance but also for their contribution to societal and environmental well-being. Measuring the impact of CSR activities enables companies to understand the extent to which they meet their social responsibility goals and the actual benefits delivered to the target communities and environments.

Moreover, impact assessment in CSR goes beyond mere compliance or fulfilment of statutory requirements. It is about understanding CSR initiatives' tangible and intangible effects on society and the environment. This assessment helps companies refine their strategies, ensuring that their CSR efforts are well-intentioned and effective in addressing the specific needs and challenges of the communities they serve.

Benefits of Effective Impact Measurement

Effective impact measurement in CSR brings numerous benefits to corporations. Firstly, it aids in strategic decision-making. By understanding the outcomes of their CSR activities, companies can make informed decisions about where to allocate resources, how to design future initiatives, and how to maximise their efforts' social and environmental impact. This strategic approach to CSR ensures that resources are utilised efficiently and effectively, leading to more sustainable and impactful initiatives.

Secondly, measuring the impact of CSR activities enhances stakeholder trust. In today's socially conscious business environment, stakeholders – including investors, customers, employees, and community members – demand transparency and evidence of social commitment from corporations. Impact assessment proves a company's dedication to societal and environmental welfare, strengthening stakeholder trust and loyalty.

Furthermore, effective impact measurement enhances the overall effectiveness of CSR programs. Through continuous monitoring and evaluation, companies can identify areas of success that need improvement. This ongoing process of assessment and refinement ensures that CSR initiatives remain relevant, targeted, and impactful over time.

In conclusion, impact assessment is an indispensable component of strategic CSR. It enables corporations to demonstrate their commitment to social and environmental responsibility, make strategic decisions, build stakeholder trust, and continuously improve the effectiveness of their CSR initiatives.

Overview of Impact Assessment Frameworks

Impact assessment frameworks are essential in measuring the efficacy of CSR initiatives. They provide a structured approach to evaluating corporate activities' social and environmental impact. Popular frameworks include the Logical Framework Approach (LogFrame), Theory of Change (ToC), and Social Return on Investment (SROI).

The LogFrame is a strategic tool to plan, monitor, and evaluate development projects. It helps identify critical objectives, expected results, and indicators for success. Theory of Change is another widely used framework that outlines the pathway of change by specifying the required interventions for achieving desired outcomes. It is instrumental in aligning CSR activities with long-term goals. Social Return on Investment, on the other hand, offers a monetary value to the

social and environmental outcomes of initiatives, providing a clear picture of the benefits relative to the costs.

Case Studies
Several corporations have effectively applied these frameworks to assess the impact of their CSR initiatives. For instance, Tata Steel has utilised the LogFrame approach in its community development projects. This framework helped the company define project goals, measure outcomes, and ensure accountability in its CSR activities.

Infosys, a leader in technology services, has adopted the Theory of Change for its CSR initiatives. This approach has enabled Infosys to strategically align its social projects, such as education and healthcare programs, with its broader business objectives, ensuring a long-term impact on the communities.

Reliance Industries Limited (RIL) has implemented the Social Return on Investment (SROI) framework to evaluate its CSR projects. By applying SROI, RIL has been able to quantify the social value created by its initiatives, such as rural development and healthcare programs, and communicate this effectively to stakeholders.

In conclusion, these frameworks provide a comprehensive approach to CSR impact assessment, aiding corporations in strategic planning, effective implementation, and transparent reporting of their CSR initiatives.

Data Analytics Tools for Measuring CSR Impact
Data analytics has become an indispensable tool in enhancing the accuracy and efficiency of CSR impact measurement. By leveraging data analytics, corporations can gain deeper insights into the effectiveness of their CSR initiatives, enabling them to make data-driven decisions. These analytics provide quantitative evidence of the impact, helping companies understand the value of their CSR investments. The use of advanced analytics allows for the tracking of key performance indicators (KPIs) and the measurement of outcomes against objectives, facilitating a more targeted and strategic approach to CSR.

Various data analytics tools and software are available to measure CSR impact. Tools like Tableau and Microsoft Power BI enable corporations to visualise CSR data effectively, making it easier to communicate results to stakeholders. Advanced analytics platforms like SAS and IBM Watson provide deeper insights through predictive modelling and machine learning, helping companies forecast the future impact of their CSR activities. Additionally, specialised CSR management software like CSRware and Enablon offers integrated solutions for managing, tracking, and reporting CSR initiatives.

Stakeholder Involvement in Impact Assessment
Involving stakeholders in the CSR evaluation process is crucial for comprehensive impact assessment. Stakeholders, including employees, customers, community members, and investors, provide valuable perspectives that can enhance the understanding of CSR impact. Their involvement ensures that the assessment process is holistic and inclusive, considering diverse viewpoints and expectations. This collaborative approach not only bolsters the credibility of the evaluation but also strengthens stakeholder relationships.

Methods for Stakeholder Engagement
Effective stakeholder engagement in CSR evaluation can be achieved through various methods. Conducting surveys and feedback sessions allows companies to gather direct input from stakeholders on CSR initiatives. Focus groups and community meetings offer platforms for in-depth discussions and exchange of ideas. Involving stakeholders in decision-making processes, such as participatory planning for CSR activities, fosters a sense of ownership and alignment

with corporate goals. Technology and digital tools like online forums and social media can also engage a broader audience and facilitate ongoing dialogue.

5. Role of Technology in Scaling CSR Impact

Technology integration in Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) initiatives has revolutionised how corporations approach social and environmental issues. Technological advancements have expanded the scope and scale of CSR activities, enabling companies to achieve more significant impact and efficiency. Digital tools and platforms have allowed for more precise targeting of CSR efforts, ensuring that resources are utilised where they are most needed. Moreover, technology has enabled real-time monitoring and evaluation of CSR initiatives, providing corporations with timely insights to optimise their strategies.

Companies are now adopting innovative technological solutions to scale their CSR initiatives. One significant area is data analytics and big data in understanding social problems and developing targeted solutions. For instance, predictive analytics can help identify areas where CSR initiatives, such as education or healthcare projects, can have the maximum impact.

Another innovative approach is using mobile technology and apps to engage with beneficiaries and stakeholders directly. Mobile platforms enable companies to disseminate information, gather feedback, and track the progress of their initiatives in real time.

Furthermore, digital platforms enhance collaboration between stakeholders involved in CSR projects. These platforms facilitate information sharing and coordination among corporations, NGOs, government agencies, and communities, leading to more effective and impactful CSR programs.

In summary, technology plays a crucial role in scaling the impact of CSR initiatives. By leveraging digital tools and innovative approaches, corporations can enhance their CSR activities' efficiency, effectiveness, and reach.

Overview of Digital Tools

In Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) management, digital tools and platforms have become indispensable, greatly simplifying and enhancing the effectiveness of CSR processes. In the Indian context, several innovative tools are revolutionizing how companies approach their CSR initiatives. Goodera, for instance, offers a comprehensive CSR and sustainability management platform that aids in planning, implementing, and tracking CSR projects, ensuring compliance with legal requirements.

Another notable tool is CSRware, which specializes in environmental sustainability management, helping companies in India and globally to effectively track and report on their sustainability initiatives. Let'sEndorse leverages technology to connect corporates with a wide range of social projects, enabling efficient deployment of CSR funds. Dhwani provides technology solutions tailored to the social sector, facilitating data-driven decision-making for CSR activities.

Samhita Social Ventures offers CSR consulting and implements projects using a technology-first approach, ensuring greater impact and scalability. Dasra, recognized for its strategic approach to philanthropy, leverages technology to empower nonprofits and social enterprises. Sattva Consulting provides end-to-end CSR solutions, using technology to ensure transparency and measurable impact. Lastly, SoulAce stands out for its tech-driven approach to CSR project implementation and monitoring, ensuring that corporations can achieve their CSR goals effectively.

These tools represent a new wave of CSR management in India, characterized by a blend of technological innovation, strategic planning, and impact-driven approaches. By leveraging these

tools, Indian companies are not only able to manage their CSR activities more effectively but are also positioned to make a more meaningful impact in the communities they serve.

Cloud-based platforms like Salesforce's Nonprofit Cloud offer integrated solutions for managing all CSR activities, from donor management to program tracking. These tools provide a centralised database that helps efficiently manage CSR projects, ensuring all stakeholders have access to the necessary information.

The digitisation of CSR management brings several benefits to corporations. Firstly, it enhances efficiency by automating various aspects of CSR activities, such as reporting, tracking, and compliance management. This automation reduces the time and resources spent on administrative tasks, allowing companies to focus more on the strategic aspects of CSR.

Secondly, digital tools provide greater transparency in CSR activities. They enable real-time tracking and reporting of CSR initiatives, offering stakeholders clear insights into the progress and impact of these projects. This transparency is crucial in building trust among stakeholders, including investors, customers, and the communities served.

Furthermore, digital tools facilitate better engagement in CSR activities. They offer platforms for stakeholder involvement, allowing for feedback and collaboration. This engagement ensures that CSR initiatives align more with the needs and expectations of the communities they aim to serve.

In conclusion, digital tools are indispensable in modern CSR management. They provide efficiency, transparency, and engagement, essential for the effective implementation and success of CSR initiatives in India.

Case Examples of Technology-Driven CSR Initiatives in India
In recent years, Indian corporations have increasingly leveraged technology to drive impactful Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) initiatives. These examples highlight how technology can significantly enhance the effectiveness and reach of CSR programs.

- **Facebook (Meta):** Meta's 'Express Wi-Fi' initiative in India focuses on increasing internet accessibility in rural areas. By partnering with local businesses, they provide affordable, high-speed internet, thus bridging the digital divide and empowering communities with digital access.

- **Infosys:** The Infosys Foundation has digitised rural libraries and provided digital literacy programs across India. Utilising cloud-based platforms and digital content delivery, Infosys has made educational resources accessible to underprivileged communities, significantly impacting education and literacy rates.

- **Google:** Google's 'Internet Saathi' program aims to enhance digital literacy among rural women in India. Collaborating with local NGOs, Google provides training and resources, enabling women to use the internet effectively for education, entrepreneurship, and more.

- **Microsoft:** Microsoft's 'Project Sangam' is a cloud-based initiative designed to bridge the skills gap in India. This project leverages Azure cloud services to provide job training and employment opportunities, focusing on enhancing employability among the youth and underserved communities.

- **Reliance Industries Limited (RIL):** RIL has implemented an innovative water conservation project using technology to manage water resources efficiently. They have optimised water usage by installing sensor-based irrigation systems in agricultural fields, benefiting thousands of farmers. The success of this initiative lies in its integration of technology with traditional farming practices, leading to sustainable agricultural development.

- **Tata Consultancy Services (TCS):** TCS's Adult Literacy Program utilises computer-based functional literacy (CBFL) technology to teach adults essential reading, writing, and arithmetic skills. This initiative has been successful due to its scalable model and use of interactive modules, making learning accessible and engaging for adults.

- **Wipro:** Wipro's 'Applying Thought in Schools' initiative focuses on improving educational outcomes through technology. It includes developing digital content and learning platforms for underprivileged schools, enhancing education quality and student engagement.

The success of the above initiatives primarily lies in their ability to integrate technology with CSR goals effectively. The key factors include:

- **Alignment with Core Business Expertise:** Corporations have aligned their CSR initiatives with their business expertise, leveraging their technological strengths for social good.

- **Scalability and Sustainability:** Technology-driven initiatives have been designed for scalability and sustainability, ensuring long-term impact.

- **Stakeholder Engagement:** Effective engagement with beneficiaries and stakeholders has been crucial in understanding needs and customising solutions.

- **Continuous Innovation:** These corporations have continually innovated their approaches to address evolving social challenges.

These case studies demonstrate that technology strategically aligned with CSR objectives can create transformative social impact.

6. Emerging Trends in CSR

The focus on sustainability and environmental stewardship has increasingly become central in India's Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) strategies. With rising awareness of environmental issues like climate change, pollution, and resource depletion, Indian corporations are integrating sustainable practices into their CSR initiatives. This trend reflects a shift from traditional philanthropic activities to more strategic approaches that address long-term environmental challenges. Companies are undertaking initiatives like afforestation, water conservation, and carbon footprint reduction, recognising that environmental sustainability is crucial for their sustainability and societal well-being.

Digitalisation and technological innovation are significantly reshaping CSR approaches in India. Integrating advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence, big data analytics, and blockchain into CSR strategies enables more efficient and impactful initiatives. Digital platforms are used for everything from tracking CSR spending and impact to engaging with stakeholders and beneficiaries. Technology has increased the reach and scale of CSR activities and allowed for more transparent and accountable practices, aligning with the growing demand for corporate transparency.

Social entrepreneurship and impact investing are emerging as influential trends in the CSR landscape in India. Social entrepreneurs are finding innovative solutions to social problems, combining business acumen with a mission to create social impact. Impact investing, where investments are made to generate positive, measurable social and environmental impact alongside a financial return, is also gaining traction. These trends indicate a shift towards sustainable business models that are socially responsible and profitable. This approach aligns with the broader global movement towards sustainable and inclusive economic development.

In conclusion, the future of CSR in India is shaped by these key trends: an increased focus on environmental sustainability, digital technologies for more significant impact and efficiency, and social entrepreneurship and impact investing. These trends enhance the effectiveness of

CSR initiatives and drive a more holistic and integrated approach to corporate social responsibility.

Anticipating and Addressing Future Challenges
The CSR landscape in India is poised to face several significant challenges in the coming years. One of the foremost challenges is climate change, which poses a threat not just to the environment but also to economic stability and social well-being. Socio-economic disparities in India, characterised by income, health, and education inequalities, present another critical challenge. Additionally, resource scarcity, particularly regarding water and clean energy, is an emerging issue that corporations must address through their CSR initiatives.

To tackle these challenges effectively, corporations in India need to adopt proactive and strategic approaches in their CSR initiatives. For climate change, this involves reducing their carbon footprint and investing in community resilience projects that mitigate the impacts of climate change. It could include supporting sustainable agriculture practices, afforestation, and disaster preparedness programs.

Addressing socio-economic disparities requires CSR programs that are inclusive and aimed at empowering marginalised communities. Initiatives could focus on education and skill development, healthcare access, and digital literacy, ensuring that the benefits of growth and development are more evenly distributed.

Corporations can combat resource scarcity by investing in CSR projects promoting conservation and sustainable usage. It could involve water conservation initiatives, promoting renewable energy sources, and supporting innovations in sustainable resource management.

Corporations must also integrate adaptive strategies into their CSR initiatives, ensuring they are flexible enough to respond to the dynamic nature of these challenges. It involves regular monitoring and evaluation of CSR projects, stakeholder engagement to understand evolving needs, and collaboration with government, NGOs, and other corporations to amplify the impact of their initiatives.

In conclusion, the future of CSR in India demands a strategic approach that anticipates future challenges and adapts to them through innovative and collaborative initiatives. This approach will ensure that CSR efforts are beneficial for society and the environment and sustainable in the long term.

Role of Policy and Regulation in Shaping Future CSR
Government policies and regulations are pivotal in shaping India's Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) practices. The enactment of the Companies Act 2013, which mandates CSR spending for specific companies, has been a significant driver in institutionalising CSR. This legislation increased CSR investments and led to more structured and accountable CSR programs. Government policies are expected to evolve, potentially incorporating more stringent guidelines and broader areas for CSR engagement. The future of CSR in India will likely be influenced by regulatory changes that emphasise transparency, impact assessment, and strategic alignment with national development goals.

International CSR standards and best practices are increasingly influencing Indian CSR strategies. Concepts such as the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) for sustainability reporting are being adopted by Indian companies. These international frameworks guide corporations in aligning their CSR initiatives with global best practices, ensuring a comprehensive social and environmental responsibility approach. Adopting these standards not only enhances the global credibility of Indian companies but also encourages them to adopt more holistic and impactful CSR strategies.

Public-private partnerships (PPPs) are emerging as a vital component in enhancing the impact of CSR initiatives in India. PPPs allow for leveraging the strengths and resources of both the public and private sectors, leading to more effective and scalable CSR programs. These collaborations can address complex social issues beyond any single entity's scope. In the future, PPPs will likely play a significant role in driving CSR initiatives, particularly in education, healthcare, and infrastructure development, aligning corporate efforts with national development objectives.

In conclusion, government policies, international standards, and public-private partnerships are critical factors shaping the future of CSR in India. They guide, influence, and enhance the effectiveness and scope of CSR initiatives, ensuring that they contribute significantly to societal and environmental development.

7. Creating Shared Value

This chapter meticulously explored India's evolving corporate social responsibility (CSR) landscape, highlighting the transition from traditional philanthropy to strategic, integrated CSR approaches. Key takeaways include the critical importance of aligning CSR activities with core business strategies, the growing emphasis on sustainability and environmental stewardship, and the pivotal role of technology in enhancing CSR outcomes.

Indian corporations are encouraged to adopt these strategic CSR approaches, focusing on creating shared value that benefits society and their business. Adopting advanced data analytics tools for measuring CSR impact, embracing digitalisation for efficient CSR management, and engaging stakeholders in the evaluation process are vital steps towards this goal.

Moreover, corporations should stay attuned to emerging trends, such as social entrepreneurship and impact investing, while preparing to meet future challenges like climate change, socio-economic disparities, and resource scarcity. The role of government policies, international standards, and public-private partnerships in shaping the future of CSR in India cannot be overstated.

As CSR continues to evolve, Indian corporations have the opportunity and responsibility to develop innovative, sustainable, and impactful CSR strategies. These strategies not only address immediate social and environmental issues but also contribute to the long-term success and sustainability of the businesses themselves. Therefore, the call to action for Indian corporations is to embrace this evolving paradigm of CSR, aligning their business objectives with the broader societal and environmental goals, thereby contributing significantly to sustainable development in India.

REFERENCES

- Bansal, P., & Song, H. C. (2017). Similar but Not the Same: Differentiating Corporate Sustainability from Corporate Responsibility. Academy of Management Annals, 11(1), 105-149.

- Bhattacharya, C. B., Sen, S., & Korschun, D. (2011). Leveraging Corporate Responsibility: The Stakeholder Route to Maximizing Business and Social Value. Cambridge University Press.

- Bocken, N. M. P., Short, S. W., Rana, P., & Evans, S. (2014). A literature and practice review to develop sustainable business model archetypes. Journal of Cleaner Production, 65, 42-56.

- Carroll, A. B., & Shabana, K. M. (2010). The Business Case for Corporate Social Responsibility: A Review of Concepts, Research and Practice. International Journal of Management Reviews, 12(1), 85-105.

- Epstein, M. J., & Buhovac, A. R. (2014). Making Sustainability Work: Best Practices in Managing and Measuring Corporate Social, Environmental, and Economic Impacts. Berrett-Koehler Publishers.

- Global Reporting Initiative. (2020). GRI Standards.

- Grayson, D., & Hodges, A. (2017). Corporate Social Opportunity! Seven Steps to Make Corporate Social Responsibility Work for Your Business. Greenleaf Publishing.

- Gupta, A., & Sharma, N. (2018). Corporate Social Responsibility in India: A Study of CSR Activities of Major Companies. Journal of Business Ethics, 160(4), 987-1016.

- Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (1996). Using the Balanced Scorecard as a Strategic Management System. Harvard Business Review, 74(1), 75-85.

- Kapoor, A., & Sandhu, H. S. (2012). Corporate Social Responsibility in India: An Empirical Research. International Journal of Management Practice, 5(4), 294-322.

- Kharas, H., & Zhang, C. (2014). The New Reality of Corporate Social Responsibility: Implications for CSR in India. Asia Policy, 18, 1-20.

- Marquis, C., & Toffel, M. W. (2012). The Globalization of Corporate Environmental Disclosure: Accountability or Greenwashing? Harvard Business School Working Paper, 11-115.

- Ministry of Corporate Affairs, Government of India. (2013). The Companies Act, 2013.

- Morsing, M., & Schultz, M. (2006). Corporate social responsibility communication: Stakeholder information, response and involvement strategies. Business Ethics: A European Review, 15(4), 323-338.

- Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2011). Creating shared value. Harvard Business Review, 89(1/2), 62-77.

- Rangan, V. K., Chase, L. A., & Karim, S. (2012). Why Every Company Needs a CSR Strategy and How to Build It. Harvard Business School Working Paper, 12-088.

- Schaltegger, S., & Wagner, M. (2011). Sustainable entrepreneurship and sustainability innovation: Categories and interactions. Business Strategy and the Environment, 20(4), 222-237.

- Sharma, A. (2018). Corporate Social Responsibility in Indian Corporations. Journal of Business Ethics, 148(3), 673-688.

- United Nations. (2015). Sustainable Development Goals.

- Visser, W. (2014). CSR 2.0: Transforming corporate sustainability and responsibility. Springer.

CSR POLICIES

Dr. Manisha Purohit
Associate Professor, Lotus Business School, Pune

ABSTRACT

In India, corporate social responsibility, or CSR, began to take shape in the early 1970s when a large number of businesses started actively participating in charitable endeavors A fundamental component of modern business strategies, corporate social responsibility (CSR) reflects a paradigm shift in the place of firms in society. It offers a thorough analysis of CSR policies, delving into its concept, development, and various facets.

Beyond maximizing profits, corporate social responsibility (CSR) emphasizes how corporations can have a good impact on the environment and society. This essay explores the past growth of corporate social responsibility (CSR), following its transformation from a charitable initiative to a crucial element of sustainable business practices. An examination of the CSR landscape's major turning points highlights the increasing acknowledgement of corporations as stakeholders in societal well-being.

It helps to understand the fundamental components of successful CSR policies, finding recurring themes like ethical business conduct, environmental sustainability, community engagement, and employee well-being. It explores the motivations behind adopting CSR initiatives, ranging from altruistic intentions to strategic advantages in terms of reputation, risk management, and long-term financial performance.

Balancing economic objectives with social and environmental concerns presents complex dilemmas, and the paper discusses strategies for mitigating potential conflicts of interest. Additionally, the role of governmental regulations and international frameworks in shaping CSR practices is examined, providing insights into the broader context of corporate responsibility.

It emphasizes the increasing importance of CSR policies in shaping the corporate landscape. As businesses navigate the complex interplay between profit generation and societal impact, a nuanced understanding of CSR policies becomes essential for organizations, policymakers, and stakeholders alike. The paper encourages further research to explore emerging trends, best practices, and the evolving dynamics of CSR in the ever-changing business environment.

INTRODUCTION
CSR is a term used to describe how companies govern themselves to make sure that all of their operations have a good impact on society as a whole. CSR regulations are designed to ensure that businesses operate morally, taking into account not only the effects of their business decisions on society, the economy, and the environment, but also human rights. Companies should comply with all applicable laws and strive to surpass them. In the event when no laws are applicable in a given area, the business should make sure to follow best practices anyway.

CSR Policies
In India, corporate social responsibility, or CSR, began to take shape in the early 1970s when a large number of businesses started actively participating in charitable endeavors. This was done before there were any laws passed because businesses understood how important it was to support socioeconomic growth. Supporting education, providing relief during natural disasters, and planning health camps were among the initiatives taken to improve the general public's well-being. Legislative Integration with the 2013 Companies Act

---

A major change was brought about by the Companies Act of 2013, which made CSR requirements for Indian businesses official. With this action, the government hoped to ensure that the advantages of growth were distributed fairly while also coordinating business activities. One way to include the corporate sector in the national development plan is through the CSR mandate.

CSR can be defined as the "continuous commitment by businesses to behave ethically and contribute to economic development while enhancing the quality of life for their workforce, their families, local communities, and society at large." However, there isn't a single, universally applicable definition of CSR. CSR is a corporate entity's acknowledgement of its social duty in appreciation of the community's sacrifices and support.

CSR in Practice: In accordance with Schedule VII of the Companies Act of 2013, CSR includes a range of actions. It consists of initiatives and plans that are either mandated by the Act or started by the Board of Directors in response to the CSR Committee's recommendations. A company's CSR policy, as outlined in Schedule VII, determines the extent of its efforts and guarantees that they are in line with the objectives of society at large.

The CSR Policy of Company:

The Ministry of Corporate Affairs' National Voluntary Guidelines on Social, Environmental, and Economic Responsibilities of Business serve as the broader foundation for our company's Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) policy. Companies Act of 2013, SEBI rules for Business Responsibility Reporting.

OBJECTIVES
➤ The objectives of the Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) policy include fostering socioeconomic development in rural areas, enhancing education, excluding extreme poverty and hunger, promoting gender equality and empowerment for women, lowering child mortality and improving maternal health, health care and sanitization, ensuring environmental sustainability, fostering employment opportunities that enhance vocational skills, social business initiatives, and other matters of common good.

➤ Payment to the Prime Minister's National Relief Fund or any other fund established by the federal or state governments for the purpose of social economic development, aiding the recovery and rehabilitation of individuals affected by natural disasters, and providing funds for the welfare of Schedule Caste and Schedule Tribe members and other marginalized groups, women, and minorities

➤ Promotion and funding of any other initiatives that the government recommends or that the board occasionally approves.

➤ Support qualified trusts and societies and/or other implementing agencies that are registered under section 8 of the Companies Act and are working exclusively with our company or in conjunction with any other companies to further the aforementioned goals

Application of Corporate Social Responsibility Rules.
Rules related to corporate social responsibility (CSR) are applicable to foreign businesses doing business in India as well as Indian corporations and their subsidiaries. These rules apply to companies that have a net worth more than Rs. 500 crore, a turnover of at least Rs. 1000 crore, and a net profit in the relevant fiscal year of at least Rs. 5 crore.

Policies Regarding Responsibility of the Board in Implementation of CSR Rules
To create a thorough plan for CSR initiatives, the Board must form a Corporate Social Responsibility Committee. This involves choosing the quantity of money to spend, what kind of activities to carry out, choosing which individuals or organizations to use as implementers (such

as trusts or societies), and outlining roles and duties. The Committee is also in charge of establishing reporting and monitoring systems.

The Board must approve the CSR policy in accordance with the recommendations of the CSR Committee, publish it online, and include a disclosure of its contents in the company's report. It is the Board's responsibility to make sure the company carries out the CSR initiatives listed in the policy. Furthermore, the Board must guarantee that the business sets aside a minimum of 2% of its typical net income (before taxes) from the previous three fiscal years' worth of CSR endeavors. Should the company fail to meet this spending requirement, the Board's report must include an explanation for non-compliance. Additionally, the business is urged to give local communities top priority while implementing CSR initiatives.

Section 134(3)(O) of the Act requires the company's directors to disclose information in the Directors' Report regarding the amount spent on Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activities. This includes giving justifications if the necessary funds for CSR initiatives have not been used.

Penalties may arise if the CSR policy information is not disclosed in the Directors' Report as required by Section 134(3)(O). The defaulting officer may be imprisoned for a maximum of three years, fined between Rs. 50,000 and Rs. 5 lakhs, or both. The company may also be required to pay a fine of Rs. 50,000.

Policies Relate to CSR Committee of the Board:
The Board of Directors is responsible for constituting the CSR Committee, a task that should be revisited periodically. This committee should comprise a minimum of three Directors, with at least one being an independent Director. The other members shall include the Managing Director and the Chairman of the Board.

Details regarding the composition of the CSR Committee, in adherence to subsection (3) of Section 134 of the Companies Act, must be disclosed in the Board report.

The term of the CSR Committee is set for a minimum of 3 years from its date of constitution. In instances where any of the Directors on the Committee retire, the Board is obligated to nominate another Director from the company for the remaining duration of the committee's term.

Any independent director of the company who is on the CSR Committee may serve as the committee's chairman.

➤ The CSR Committee will meet at least twice a year, or at other suitable times as the Chairman of the Committee may determine.

➤ The CSR Committee will make sure that the company's CSR initiatives are carried out in accordance with the CSR policy and that performance in comparison to the forecasts is reported to the board of directors every six months as of September and March.

Functions and Responsibilities
The CSR Committee of the Board is tasked with formulating a CSR policy that aligns with Schedule VII of the Companies Act, incorporating any updates introduced by the government over time. The Committee will recommend this policy to the Board for approval. Additionally, the CSR policy will undergo periodic evaluations, either annually or as required.

To enhance the CSR policy, the company will conduct research on the CSR policies of peer group housing finance companies and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) guidelines. This information will guide the company in making recommendations to the CSR Committee regarding potential changes to the policy, objectives, and the allocation/spending of CSR funds for eligible activities on a regular basis.

The annual budget for CSR operations, or the budget for a particular project, is set by the CSR Committee. The Board shall examine all proposed expenses, taking into account the financial constraints imposed by the Company's Act. According to the Act's rules, any unused CSR funds for a given year may be carried over to the following fiscal year.

The CSR Committee is in charge of keeping an eye on the development of CSR initiatives and programs and reporting to the Board for evaluation every six months.

The committee shall examine such spending every six months and approve the budget and activities for CSR expenditures on a recurring basis. The business may look into the idea of making contributions to the Prime Minister's National Relief Fund and other initiatives to receive

The annual budget for CSR operations, or the budget for a particular project, is set by the CSR Committee. The Board shall examine all proposed expenses, taking into account the financial constraints imposed by the Company's Act. According to the Act's rules, any unused CSR funds for a given year may be carried over to the following fiscal year.

The CSR Committee is in charge of keeping an eye on the development of CSR initiatives and programs and reporting to the Board for evaluation every six months.

The committee shall examine such spending every six months and approve the budget and activities for CSR expenditures on a recurring basis. The business may look into the idea of making contributions to the Prime Minister's National Relief Fund and other initiatives to receive tax concessions and ensure compliance with statutory requirements.

CSR Activities & Strategies

The CSR policy should exclude the normal business activities of the Company. As per the CSR policy the activities included by the Company are to be related to the activities included in schedule VII of the Companies Act. The CSR activities may be either independent projects and programs or activities, either new or ongoing, but will not include the activities that are undertaken in pursuance to the normal course of the business. Similarly, contribution of any amount directly or indirectly made to any political party shall not be considered as CSR activity. While, taking up any CSR activities and for spending the amount year marked for CSR activities, preference shall be given to local areas and areas in and around where the branches operate.

The Company may cover all or any of the following activities within the CSR done in India. These are the main purposes for which the Company would allocate the targeted amount that stated in the CSR policy's objectives. Put another way, costs associated with CSR initiatives, programs, or activities that are carried out outside of India are not eligible for reimbursement under CSR spending.

The company's CSR policy encompasses a range of activities, including:

➢ Eradicating hunger, poverty, and malnutrition, promoting preventive healthcare, sanitation, and providing safe drinking water.

➢ Fostering education, with a focus on special education, enhancing vocational skills, especially among children, women, the elderly, and individuals with disabilities, along with livelihood enhancement projects.

➢ Offering scholarships to students and trainees, introducing schemes like "earn while you learn" for economically disadvantaged students.

➢ Promoting gender equality, empowering women, establishing homes and hostels for women and orphans, creating old age homes, day care centers, and facilities for senior citizens, and addressing inequalities faced by socially and economically backward groups.

➢ Ensuring environmental sustainability, maintaining ecological balance, protecting flora and fauna, promoting animal welfare, engaging in agroforestry, conserving natural resources, and preserving soil, water, and air quality.

➢ Protecting national heritage, supporting art and culture, including the restoration of buildings, historical sites, and the promotion of arts, handicrafts, and traditional works.

➢ Initiatives benefiting armed forces veterans, war widows, and their dependents.

➢ Providing training to promote rural sports, nationally recognized sports, Paralympics sports, and Olympic sports.

➢ Contributing to the Prime Minister's National Relief Fund or other funds established by the Central Government for socio-economic development and the welfare of SC, ST, other backward classes, minorities, and women.

➢ Supporting or funding technology incubators within academic institutions approved by the Central Government.

➢ Participating in rural development and other community projects of common interest.

➢ Implementing welfare measures for the differently-abled, elderly, homeless, and destitute.

➢ Disaster management activities, including those related to amelioration and mitigation.

Monitoring on the CSR initiatives
The Company shall monitor a variety of CSR initiatives, projects, and programs, and report on progress to the CSR Committee of the Board at regular intervals—every six months or at other shorter intervals as the Committee may deem necessary—in a format akin to the annual report provided in Appendix-2.

10. The website's display of CSR initiatives.
The Company's official website will feature the text of the CSR policy that was adopted by the Board. Additionally, the annual report in accordance with Appendix-2 will be made available to the public on the Company's website.

CONCLUSION
In conclusion, Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) policies serve as a crucial framework for companies to govern themselves responsibly and ethically. The essence of CSR lies in the commitment to not only comply with existing laws but also to proactively contribute to the betterment of society, the economy, and the environment. By extending their responsibilities beyond legal obligations, companies aim to create a positive impact on human rights, fostering a sustainable and inclusive approach to business operations.

CSR regulations encourage businesses to go above and beyond mere legal compliance, emphasizing the adoption of best practices even in the absence of specific regulations. This proactive stance reflects a commitment to ethical conduct and the recognition of the broader impact that businesses can have on various stakeholders. Ultimately, effective CSR policies contribute to building trust, enhancing corporate reputation, and creating a more sustainable and socially responsible business landscape. As companies increasingly recognize the importance of CSR, the integration of responsible business practices becomes not just a regulatory requirement but a strategic imperative for long-term success in a dynamic and interconnected global marketplace.

REFERENCES

- David Crowther and Guler Aras, 2008

- Fernando, July 2023

- Jeremy Moon, 2014

- David Katamba Christoph Zipfel David Haag Charles Tushabomwe-Kazooba,2012

CSR FRAMEWORKS

Dr. Archana Patil[1] and Dr. Jyothi Pawar[2]

[1]Assistant Professor, IMCC, Pune
[2]Associate Professor, Modern Institute of Business Management, Pune

1.1. CSR Framework Introduction

CSR frameworks are sets of guidelines that help companies define and implement corporate social responsibility (CSR) practices. They provide a structure for companies to identify their stakeholders, assess their social and environmental impacts, and develop strategies to improve their performance.

i.   **Stakeholder Mapping:** Identifying the stakeholders who are affected by the company's operations. This includes customers, employees, suppliers, communities, and the environment.

ii. **Impact Assessment:** Assessing the company's social and environmental impacts. This involves identifying the positive and negative impacts that the company has on its stakeholders.

iii. **Strategy Management Roadmap:** Developing strategies to improve the company's social and environmental performance. This involves setting goals, identifying actions, and allocating resources.

iv. **Implementation:** Implementing the company's CSR strategies. This involves putting the plans into action and making sure that they are being followed.

v.   **Observation and Evaluation:** Monitoring and evaluating the effectiveness of the company's CSR practices. This involves collecting data, analyzing results, and making adjustments to the strategy as needed.

1.2. Here are some of the key Points of a CSR Framework:

1. Establish clear CSR goals and objectives. What are the specific social and environmental issues that the company wants to address? What are the desired outcomes of its CSR initiatives?

2. Identify stakeholders. Who are the people and organizations that are affected by the company's operations? This includes employees, customers, suppliers, investors, the community, and the environment.

3. Assess the company's social and environmental impacts. What is the current state of the company's social and environmental performance? What are the opportunities for improvement?

4. Develop a CSR strategy. What specific actions will the company take to achieve its CSR goals? How will it measure and evaluate its progress?

5. Implement the CSR strategy. Put the plan into action by assigning responsibility, allocating resources, and communicating the strategy to stakeholders.

6. Monitor and evaluate the CSR strategy. Regularly track progress towards the goals and adjust the strategy as needed.

7. Communicate CSR activities to stakeholders. Keep stakeholders informed about the company's CSR initiatives and progress.

8. Seek continuous improvement. CSR is an ongoing process that should be constantly reviewed and updated to reflect the company's evolving needs and goals.

Examples of how Embedding CSR principles into the core business strategy

    i.   Providing employee training on CSR principles.

   ii.   Implementing sustainable procurement practices.

  iii.   Supporting community initiatives.

  iv.   Developing eco-friendly products and services.

   v.   Reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

  vi.   Minimizing waste.

 vii.   Protecting water resources.

viii.   Ensuring fair labor practices.

  ix.   Promoting ethical sourcing.

   x.   Improve its reputation and brand image.

  xi.   Attract and retain top talent.

 xii.   Gain a competitive advantage.

xiii.   Reduce risk and costs.

xiv.   Contribute to a more sustainable future.

CSR is an important part of being a responsible and sustainable business. It is a way for companies to demonstrate their commitment to their stakeholders and the community. By integrating CSR into its operations, a company can build a positive reputation, attract loyal customers, and achieve long-term success.

Corporate social responsibility (CSR) is a self-regulating business model that helps a company be socially accountable to itself, its stakeholders, and the public. It is a commitment to operate in a way that is beneficial to society as a whole and to minimize any negative impacts. CSR can be implemented in a variety of ways, but it often includes initiatives related to environmental sustainability, community development, and ethical business practices.

1.3. Significance of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)

   i. Improve their reputation and brand image: Companies that are seen as being socially responsible are more likely to be trusted and admired by consumers, employees, and investors.

  ii. Attract and retain top talent: Employees are increasingly looking for companies that share their values and are committed to making a positive impact.

 iii. Gain a competitive advantage: CSR can help companies to differentiate themselves from their competitors and attract new customers.

 iv. Reduce risk and costs: CSR can help companies to identify and manage environmental and social risks, which can save them money in the long run.

  v. Contribute to a more sustainable future: CSR can help companies to reduce their environmental impact and improve the well-being of their communities.

1.4. Elements of a CSR Framework

A CSR framework is a set of guidelines that helps companies to define and implement CSR practices. It provides a structure for companies to identify their stakeholders, assess their social and environmental impacts, and develop strategies to improve their performance. There are

many different CSR frameworks available, but they all share some common elements. These elements typically include:

i. Stakeholder identification: Identifying the stakeholders who are affected by the company's operations.

ii. Impact assessment: Assessing the company's social and environmental impacts.

iii. Strategy development: Developing strategies to improve the company's social and environmental performance.

iv. Implementation: Implementing the company's CSR strategies.

v. Monitoring and evaluation: Monitoring and evaluating the effectiveness of the company's CSR practices.

Examples of CSR Frameworks

i. **The Ten Principles of the UN Global Compact:** The UN Global Compact is a voluntary initiative that encourages companies to adopt sustainable and socially responsible practices. The Ten Principles are the foundation of the Global Compact and cover a wide range of issues, including human rights, labor standards, the environment, and anti-corruption.

ii. **The OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises:** The OECD Guidelines are a set of recommendations for multinational companies on responsible business conduct. They cover a wide range of issues, including human rights, labor standards, the environment, and corruption.

iii. **The ISO 26000 Social Responsibility Standard:** ISO 26000 is an international standard that provides guidance on social responsibility. It is not a certification standard, but rather a framework for companies to develop their own CSR policies and practices.

iv. **The Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB) Standards:** SASB provides industry-specific standards for reporting on sustainability performance. These standards are used by companies to identify and report on their material sustainability risks and opportunities. ISO 26000 is an international standard that provides guidance on how to integrate social responsibility into your organization's strategy, operations, and communication. It covers seven core topics: organizational governance, human rights, labor practices, environment, fair operating practices, consumer issues, and community involvement and development. ISO 26000 is not a certification or a compliance tool, but a voluntary and flexible framework that can help you identify and address your social impacts and stakeholder expectations.

v. **GRI Standards:** GRI Standards are a set of global standards for sustainability reporting that help you measure and communicate your economic, environmental, and social performance. They are based on the principle of materiality, which means focusing on the issues that matter most to your business and your stakeholders. GRI Standards are modular and interrelated, and cover three universal standards (foundation, general disclosures, and management approach) and 33 topic-specific standards. GRI Standards are compatible with other reporting frameworks, such as the UN Global Compact and the SDGs.

vi. **B Corp Certification:** B Corp Certification is a certification for businesses that meet the highest standards of social and environmental performance, accountability, and transparency. It is awarded by B Lab, a nonprofit organization that evaluates and verifies businesses across five impact areas: governance, workers, customers, community, and environment. B Corp Certification is not a framework per se, but a seal of approval that signals your commitment

to using business as a force for good. It also connects you to a global network of like-minded businesses that share your values and vision.

vii. **The UN Global Compact:** Is a voluntary initiative that encourages businesses to align their strategies and actions with ten universal principles on human rights, labor, environment, and anti-corruption. It also supports businesses to advance broader societal goals, such as the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The UN Global Compact is not a regulatory or compliance mechanism, but a platform for learning, dialogue, and collaboration among businesses, governments, civil society, and the UN. It requires participants to communicate their progress annually and to demonstrate continuous improvement.

1.5. Steps to Implement a CSR Framework

i. Get buy-in from senior management CSR should be a strategic priority for the company, and senior management should be committed to supporting CSR initiatives.

ii. Involve all stakeholders Consult with stakeholders to get their input on your CSR strategy.

iii. Start small Don't try to do everything at once. Start with a few key CSR initiatives and gradually add more as you gain experience.

iv. Measure and report Track your progress and report on your CSR performance.

Developing a Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) framework involves a systematic approach that aligns a company's values, goals, and operations with social, environmental, and ethical considerations. Here are steps to frame a CSR framework.

1. **Assessment and Commitment:** Begin by evaluating the company's current practices, identifying areas where social, environmental, or ethical improvements are needed. Gain commitment from leadership to integrate CSR into the company's mission, vision, and core values.

2. **Stakeholders Engagement:** Identify and engage with stakeholders—employees, customers, suppliers, communities, investors, and NGOs—to understand their expectations and concerns. Their input will guide the development of CSR initiatives.

3. **Developing Policies and Practices:** Create policies and practices that align with the identified focus areas and goals. Examples include adopting environmentally friendly practices, promoting diversity and inclusion, ensuring fair labor standards, supporting charitable causes, etc.

4. **Continuous Improvement:** Continuously refine and improve the CSR framework based on feedback, changing societal needs, emerging trends, and best practices in CSR.

CSR is a journey, not a destination. It is an ongoing process that should be constantly reviewed and updated to reflect the company's evolving needs and goals. However, the benefits of CSR can be significant, and companies that are committed to CSR are well-positioned for long-term success. These frameworks offer guidance on different facets of CSR, enabling companies to develop strategies, set objectives, implement practices, measure performance, and report on their social and environmental impacts in a structured and accountable manner. Businesses often adapt and combine these frameworks to create a comprehensive CSR strategy tailored to their specific industry, size, and goals

ESG MOMENTUM IN INDIA: ASSESSING THE PATH TO SUSTAINABLE INVESTMENT PRACTICES

Dr. Arun H. Patil
Assistant Professor, Sinhgad College of Commerce, Kondhwa Bk., Pune

ABSTRACT
This research paper explores the evolving landscape of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) investments, emphasizing the global and domestic shift towards sustainability. The study delves into the influence of ESG factors on investment decision-making, especially considering the escalating environmental and climate-related challenges. Focused on India, the paper scrutinizes the country's progress in adopting ESG practices and regulations, acknowledging the significance of private investors in achieving sustainable development goals. The examination extends to the regulatory framework, corporate social responsibility (CSR), and the growing importance of integrating ESG principles into business operations. The paper concludes by highlighting the nascent stage of ESG investments in India, coupled with the government's initiatives and legal frameworks driving this transformative agenda.

Keywords: CSR, ESG Investments, Sustainability, Global Climate Change, Regulatory Framework

1. INTRODUCTION

In the midst of a global paradigm shift towards sustainable investments, this paper explores the surge in Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) considerations within the investment landscape. The study particularly focuses on India's response to this transformative trend, considering the nation's vulnerability to climate change and growing social concerns. With a historical backdrop of the 2008 financial crisis propelling ESG to the forefront, the paper delineates India's journey in embracing ESG principles, transitioning from voluntary to regulatory measures. The introduction underscores the pivotal role of private investors in achieving sustainable development goals, emphasizing the urgent need for businesses to incorporate ESG into their corporate structures.

2. OBJECTIVES:

i. To study transformation in investment patterns worldwide with special reference to India

ii. To know India's ESG Momentum regarding climate change and social challenges

iii. To study the evolution of in concern with CSR & ESG

iv. To Assess the response of Indian corporations to ESG considerations

v. To study Linkage between UN SDGs & ESG.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY:

The present paper is essentially a library work based on published secondary data. Data collected from books, journals, reports, & various websites. The secondary data obtained from above sources has been reframed, tabulated and analyzed. On the basis of analysis and interpretation researcher have been arrived at conclusion.

4. CSR, ESG, AND UN SDGS: A COMPREHENSIVE OVERVIEW:

A significant transformation is underway in global and domestic investment landscapes, marked by a heightened focus on sustainability and Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) investments. This shift is reflected in the increasing consideration of ESG norms in investment decision-making processes and analyses. Investors worldwide recognize that ESG factors, encompassing environmental, social, and corporate governance issues, can impact the long-term

performance of issuers. The current emphasis on ESG investments stems from the growing awareness that such investments significantly influence a company's financial aspects, contributing to overall sustainability.

The urgency to address environmental and climate-related crises has prompted countries to mobilize private investors as crucial stakeholders in achieving Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Incorporating ESG factors into investment processes is seen as vital for mitigating mounting environmental and societal challenges. The escalating concerns, including rising greenhouse gas emissions, sea-level rise, frequent heat waves, and unmanageable waste generation, pose serious threats to the sustainability of economies globally.

"Environmental, Social, and Governance" ("ESG") criteria refer to evaluating how a company preserves the natural environment, manages stakeholder relationships, and addresses leadership, internal controls, and shareholder rights.

Global Climate Change and ESG: The global financial crisis in 2008 thrust ESG into the scholarly spotlight, turning it into a significant practical agenda. Before this crisis, ESG was not widely known, and the concept of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) was narrower in scope. The current heightened awareness and integration of ESG factors are attributed to the catalyst of the global financial crisis.

ESG & CSR in India: While Indian companies and investors are becoming increasingly conscious of ESG considerations; it is still an early stage for ESG in India. The government has responded by creating a "Policy Framework" to enhance sustainability reporting by Indian companies. The Ministry of Corporate Affairs released the "Voluntary Guidelines" on CSR, which later evolved into the "National Voluntary Guidelines" (NVG) on "Social, Environmental & Economic Responsibilities of Business." The NVG prioritizes "Long-Term Sustainable Value" (LTSV) over financial profits, emphasizing the need for companies to anticipate and address environmental and social risks. The transition from voluntary reporting to a regulatory mandate, enforced by the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI), requires the top 100 listed companies to release "Business Responsibility Reports" (BRR) along with annual reports.

ESG vs. CSR: ESG is preferred over CSR as it explicitly delineates concerns related to environmental, social, and governance factors. However, there is recognition of the congruence between ESG and CSR, acknowledging both as integral components of organizational actions and policies that consider stakeholders' expectations and the triple bottom line of economic, social, and environmental performance.

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR): CSR has evolved over the past forty years, with stakeholders worldwide pressuring corporations to increase spending on CSR initiatives. The voluntary nature of CSR places an ethical obligation on businesses to engage in actions that benefit society and workers beyond legal requirements. CSR encompasses activities that benefit shareholders while promoting social good, contributing to the wider concept of social duty.

UN SDGs & ESG: The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs) outline an ambitious plan for achieving sustainable economic, social, and environmental development by 2030. The alignment between SDGs and ESG is evident, with several UN SDGs sharing common themes with ESG considerations. Goals such as gender equality, affordable and clean energy, decent work and economic growth, industry, innovation, and infrastructure, reduce inequalities, climate action, and life on land are consistent with ESG principles.

The integration of ESG factors into investment strategies, corporate practices, and regulatory frameworks aligns with the global pursuit of sustainable development outlined in the UN SDGs. This comprehensive overview highlights the interconnectedness of CSR, ESG, and UN SDGs in shaping responsible and sustainable business practices on a global scale.

5. IMPORTANCE OF ESG IMPLEMENTATION:

The increasing global emphasis on ESG (Environmental, Social, Governance) principles, with a particular focus on developments in the aftermath of the 2008 financial crisis. The importance of ESG implementation in Indian concerns can be outlined as follows:

i. Global Regulatory Landscape:
- The establishment of ESG-focused policies post-2008, including commitments to the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals and rules adopted by the European Commission, reflects a global shift towards sustainable practices.

- The European Commission's Action Plan for Financing Sustainable Growth provides a framework for the financial industry, emphasizing the need for transparency and openness in sustainable investing.

ii. Integrated Reporting and Accountability:
- The integration of ESG and sustainability issues with financial reports, facilitated by integrated reporting, emerged as a response to the consequences of the 2008 financial crisis.

- The International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC) standardization framework, introduced in 2013, underlines the increasing importance of accounting for broader impacts beyond financial metrics.

iii. Growing Significance of ESG:
- ESG as a concept has gained prominence since the turn of the century, and its growth, particularly after the 2008 financial crisis, is indicative of a global trend toward recognizing the interconnectedness of corporate actions and sustainable performance.

iv. CSR and ESG Nexus:
- The synchronicity between the growth of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activities and the significance of ESG performance emphasizes the link between firm actions and the measurement of performance concerning environmental, social, and governance issues.

v. EU Leadership and Rapid ESG Investment Growth:
- The European Union's firm stance on regulating and promoting ESG concerns has led to rapid growth in ESG investments within the EU, showcasing the impact of regulatory support on industry practices.

vi. Environmental and Economic Risks:
- Increasing health and economic risks, coupled with rising environmental sensitivity, underscore the need for businesses to prioritize ESG principles in their operations.

vii. Long-Term Economic Returns:
- Despite initial financial costs, there is a recognition that adhering to ESG regulations leads to higher economic returns in the long run for both companies and investors.

viii. Risk Mitigation:
- Non-compliance with ESG principles can result in various risks, including physical risks such as infrastructure damage, increased financial risks, and potential legal liabilities.

ix. Reputation Management:
- Incorporating ESG into a company's corporate structure is crucial for maintaining public trust and safeguarding reputation, especially in the face of environmental and social challenges.

x. Global Climate Change Impact on India:

- The adverse impact of climate change, illustrated by events like floods in Kerala and Bihar, emphasizes the urgency for companies in India to address ESG concerns to mitigate economic losses and contribute to environmental resilience.

xi. Government Attention to Global Warming:
- Governments globally, including India, are increasingly recognizing the importance of tackling global warming, making it imperative for companies to align with ESG regulations and contribute to environmental sustainability.

The ESG implementation is not only a global trend but also a critical strategy for Indian concerns to navigate environmental, social, and governance challenges, mitigate risks, and contribute to long-term economic and environmental sustainability.

6. Legal Framework for ESG Investments in India:
The legal framework for Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) investments in India has evolved over the years, reflecting a combination of voluntary initiatives and regulatory interventions. The development of ESG reporting in India has been characterized by the proactive engagement of large corporations in publishing sustainability reports voluntarily.

i. Voluntary Reporting and Regulatory Mandates:
- **Voluntary Initiatives (Pre-2012):** Leading Indian corporates, such as Reliance Industries, TATA, Infosys, and Wipro, initiated voluntary sustainability reporting based on frameworks like the United Nations Global Compact and GRI Standards.

- **SEBI Mandate (2012):** The Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) mandated the Business Responsibility Report (BRR) for the top 100 Indian companies, marking the first regulatory non-financial reporting framework in India on ESG. The BRR was based on the National Voluntary Guidelines (NVG) released in 2011 by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA).

ii. Key Regulatory Steps:
- **Companies Act 2013:** The Companies Act 2013 incorporated recommendations for enhancing corporate governance, aligning with the NVGs. It mandated the spending of a minimum of 2% of profits on socially desirable sectors, emphasizing the need for ESG investments.

- National Voluntary Guidelines (NVGs) on Social, Environmental, and Economic Responsibilities of Business (2011): Issued by MCA, these guidelines outlined nine principles covering ethical conduct, sustainability, employee well-being, human rights, environmental protection, and inclusive growth.

- **Guidance Document by BSE (2018):** The Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE) issued a Guidance Document on ESG Disclosures, suggesting 30 Key Performance Indicators for voluntary reporting by listed companies.

iii. Collaboration between MCA and SEBI:
- The Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA) has played a pivotal role in promoting sustainable investments. SEBI has supported these efforts through regulations on ESG investment, and the two entities collaborate to implement guidelines and regulations related to ESG investments in India.

iv. Subsequent Regulatory Developments:
- National Guidelines for Responsible Business Conduct (NGRBC) – 2019: Introduced to encourage responsible conduct and business behavior among enterprises.

- **Business Responsibility and Sustainability Report (BRSR):** A reporting structure proposed in 2020 under NGRBC, aiming to capture non-financial parameters of both listed and unlisted companies. The committee also proposed using BRSR information for constructing sustainability indices.

v. **Financial Sector Focus:**
- **National Voluntary Guidelines for Responsible Financing (2015):** Aimed at encouraging the financial sector to adopt sustainable business practices, outlining eight principles and five key implementation modalities.

The legal framework in India for ESG investments is shaped by a combination of voluntary initiatives, regulatory mandates, and collaborative efforts between government bodies such as MCA and SEBI. These measures underscore the increasing importance placed on ESG considerations in the Indian corporate landscape.

7. ESG Investments in India & Global Scenario:
ESG investments have gained significant traction due to their strong connection with macroeconomic variables. This linkage has fueled the growing interest in ESG investments. Studies have emphasized the macroeconomic advantages of this transformation in the investment landscape, acknowledging ESG factors as crucial elements influencing investment patterns. Researchers suggest that investments integrated with ESG considerations can minimize default risks, thereby reducing sovereign borrowing costs for countries. Globally, ESG investments have been rapidly gaining momentum in recent years. Notably, the United States, Europe, and Australia are leading the way in promoting sustainable investments, while some Asian countries, such as Japan and Malaysia, are also making notable progress. There is anticipation that Asia, emerging as a global leader in the coming decades, will play a significant role in advancing the sustainable development agenda. It is noteworthy that ESG investments have witnessed a substantial increase, even amidst the challenges posed by the COVID-19 pandemic.

ESG investments in India are currently in their early stages but are progressively gaining momentum. The emphasis on environmentally sustainable investments and the encouragement of private sector involvement in green energy, supported by recent policy initiatives, have contributed significantly to this trend. The Government of India, as acknowledged in the Economic Survey 2020–21, explicitly recognizes the importance of aligning climate and financial policies, identifying a range of measures to drive ESG investments within the country.

The ongoing focus on addressing climate change and expanding investments in green energy underscores India's commitment to and necessity for ESG investments. The country's global pledges, including a 30% reduction in carbon emissions by 2050 and obtaining 40% of energy from non-fossil fuel sources by 2030, clearly demonstrate the imperative to incorporate ESG factors into the investment landscape. Recognizing the significance of ESG considerations in business processes, the Government of India has actively promoted these principles through various reforms and regulations, as highlighted in the recent Economic Survey emphasizing the need to streamline financing for sustainable development.

Indian corporate leaders have also embraced sustainability initiatives, exemplified by their participation in the RE100 global movement, advocating for environmentally responsible practices. This aligns with the business value proposition in India, given that industrial electricity consumption constitutes over 40% of the country's total electricity usage. Prominent Indian corporations, including Infosys, Mahindra & Mahindra, Dalmia Cement, and Tata Motors, have voluntarily committed to sourcing their energy from renewable as part of the RE100 initiative.

8. Challenges for ESG Implementation in Indian Industries:

i. Awareness and Education:
- Lack of Awareness: Many Indian industries face a challenge in terms of limited awareness and understanding of ESG principles, leading to a slow uptake in their adoption.

ii. Regulatory Complexity:
- Evolution of Regulations: The dynamic nature of ESG regulations in India poses challenges for industries to keep pace with evolving compliance requirements, making it difficult to establish consistent practices.

iii. Data Quality and Reporting:
- Data Availability and Accuracy: Industries often struggle with the collection and verification of reliable ESG data, hindering accurate reporting and transparency in their sustainability performance.

iv. Integration with Business Strategies:
- Alignment with Core Business Goals: Embedding ESG considerations into core business strategies requires a cultural shift, and some industries may find it challenging to strike a balance between financial objectives and sustainability goals.

v. Investor Expectations:
- Varied Investor Priorities: Meeting the diverse expectations of different investors regarding ESG performance can be challenging, as there is no universal standard for measuring and reporting ESG metrics.

vi. Green washing Concerns:
- Authenticity of Claims: The risk of greenwashing, where companies exaggerate or falsely claim their ESG initiatives, poses a challenge to genuine efforts in the absence of standardized reporting norms.

vii. Cost Implications:
- Short-term Costs vs. Long-term Gains: Some industries may perceive the initial costs of implementing ESG practices as a barrier, not recognizing the potential long-term benefits and risk mitigation associated with sustainable practices.

viii. Supply Chain Complexity:
- Managing Extended Supply Chains: For industries with complex and extended supply chains, ensuring ESG standards are met at every stage can be intricate and challenging.

ix. Social and Cultural Factors:
- Influence of Social Factors: Addressing social issues, such as labor rights, gender equality, and community development, may pose challenges due to cultural differences and diverse societal expectations.

x. Measuring Social Impact:
- Quantifying Social Contributions: Establishing metrics to measure and report the social impact of industry activities can be challenging, particularly when dealing with qualitative aspects like community engagement and employee well-being.

xi. Technology Adoption:
- Technological Integration: Some industries may face hurdles in adopting the necessary technologies for data management, analytics, and reporting, hindering their ability to meet ESG disclosure standards.

xii. Government Support and Consistency:

- Policy Stability: Industries might encounter challenges if there is a lack of consistent government support or policy stability in promoting ESG practices, affecting long-term planning and investment decisions.

xiii. Stakeholder Engagement:

- Balancing Stakeholder Interests: Juggling the interests of various stakeholders, including investors, employees, local communities, and regulatory bodies, presents challenges in prioritizing and addressing competing expectations.

Addressing these challenges requires a concerted effort from industries, regulators, and other stakeholders to create an ecosystem conducive to sustainable and responsible business practices in India.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the research underscores the nascent yet accelerating adoption of ESG investments in India, emphasizing the country's focus on climate-friendly initiatives and regulatory reforms. The legal framework, driven by institutions such as the Ministry of Corporate Affairs and the Securities and Exchange Board of India, plays a crucial role in promoting sustainable practices. The study identifies a positive trajectory in corporate responses to ESG factors, despite challenges like green washing and comprehensive reporting. As India aligns with global commitments and integrates ESG principles into business processes, the paper envisions a significant role for the country in the sustainable development agenda, underscoring the imperative for transparent communication, regulatory coherence, and responsible business conduct.

REFERENCES

1. Boffo, R., and R. Patalano (2020), "ESG Investing: Practices, Progress and Challenges", OECD Paris, Pg. 1 to 88, www.oecd.org/finance/ESG-Investing-Practices-Progress-and-Challenges.pdf

2. ESG Report 2022, CRISIL, Pg. 1 to 120, Available at- https://www.crisil.com/content/dam/crisil/investors/annualreports/2022/sustainability/sustainibility-esg-report.pdf

3. Esha Gupta & Adityan Rangaswamy, The Importance of "Esg" and Its Application in India, Lexforti Legal Journal [ISSN: 2582:2942] April 2021,Volume II – Issue IV, Pg. 1 to 19, Available at- https://lexforti.com/legal-news/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/The-Importance-of-ESG-and-its-application-in-India.pdf

4. Gopal K. Sarangi (2021), Resurgence of Esg InvestmentsiIn India: Toward A Sustainable Economy, Asian Development Bank Institute No. 1284 August 2021, Pg. 1 to 20,

5. ESG Scores - Top 100 Listed Companies in India (2020), Stakeholders Empowerment Services, Pg. 1 to 20, Available at- https://www.sesgovernance.com/pdf/home-reports/1649043402_ESG-Scores---Top-100-Listed-Companies-in-India.pdf,

6. Renu Gupta and Ameeta Motwani, Esg Reporting in India: Current Scenario, Corporate Governance Insight, Volume:4, Number:2, December 2022, eISSN: 2582-0834, Pg. 88 to 104, DOI - https://doi.org/10.58426/cgi.v4.i2.2022.88-104,

7. Sharma, A. (2015). Sustainability Reporting Trends in India. Available at- https://iodglobal.com/Articles/Arvind%20Sharma%20%20Sustainability%20Reporting%20Trends%20in%20India_KPMG.pdf ,

8. Singh, A., Singh, P., & Shome, S. (2022). ESG–CFP Linkages: A Review of Its Antecedents and Scope for Future Research. Indian Journal of Corporate Governance. https://doi.org/10.1177/09746862221089062

ALIGNING CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY WITH SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS

Dr. Abid Yunus Salati
Professor
Global Business School and Research CentreDr. D.Y. Patil Vidyapeeth, Pune

INTRODUCTION

One of humankind's greatest challenges this century will be to ensure sustainable, just and balanced development. In recent years, the global community has increasingly recognized the importance of achieving sustainable development and addressing pressing social and environmental challenges. The needs of current and future generations cannot be met unless there is respect for natural systems and international standards protecting core social and environmental values. In this context, it is increasingly recognized that the role of the business sector is critical. As a part of society, it is in business' interest to contribute to addressing common problems. Strategically speaking, business can only flourish when the communities and ecosystems in whichthey operate are healthy.

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in India:

India is one of the first few nations to roll out a regulation on CSR. The paradigm reform that affects companies which earn social capital was brought was introduced via Section 135 on Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) under the Companies

Act, 2013 (Act). The regulation acts as a mechanism to address various developmental challenges.It eventually helps in setting up a CSR fund that is effectively utilized through a robust CSR policyframework. Businesses are expected to proactively identify and implement solutions to overcomethe challenges.

As per the Act, all companies with a net worth of INR 500 crore or more, or a turnover of INR1,000crore or more or a net profit of INR5 crore or more in a given fiscal year are required to spend 2 per cent of its average net profit from the last three years towards CSR activities listed in ScheduleVII.

Sustainable Developmental Goals:

Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) were developed on 25th September 2015 by 193 countries to end poverty, protect he planet and ensure prosperity for all as a part of a new sustainable development agenda. These goals focus on mobilizing efforts globally to end poverty and create alife of dignity an opportunity for all. The 17 Sustainable Development Goals are as given below:

The realization of these goals call for collaborative action by governments, businesses and the civil society, wherein they can jointly foster solutions for a common theme of sustainable development. A total of 17 SDGs aim at 169 targets are set to be achieved by 2030. The Goals take a realistic approach for sustainable growth at all levels encompassing a model of holistic development of society and the planet. These goals set a clear roadmap by which optimum growth can be achieved, making earth a better place.

India along with other countries has signed the declaration on the 2030 agenda. For sustainable Development thereby adhering to the 17 SDGs and the 169 targets. India has set itself ambitious targets for implementation of SDGs by aligning it with the national development agenda. This is a big achievement, as now until 2030 the policies developed at the national level are expected to address various SDGs with their overall planning and large investments which need to be allocated for overall sustainable growth. However, achievement of the goals may not be possible with

Government initiatives alone, it needs a high level collaboration between the Government, Privatesector and the civil society.

Shared Objectives: The SDGs and CSR goals share a common objective of addressing social, economic, and environmental issues to create a more sustainable and equitable future. Both frameworks emphasize the importance of poverty alleviation, quality education, gender equality, environmental protection, and inclusive economic growth.

Aligning Scheduled VII activities of the Company's act 2013 with SDGs

Goals	Description	CSR mapped with SDGs as per Scheduled activities of the Companies Act 2013
1 NO POVERTY	End poverty in all its forms everywhere	❖ Generate livelihood opportunities ❖ Equal pay and safe working conditions ❖ No slavery/bonded labour in the supply chain
2 ZERO HUNGER	End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture	❖ Reduce food waste or food loss ❖ Awareness on sustainable food consumption ❖ Knowledge sharing and capacity building onsustainable agriculture, genetic diversity

3 GOOD HEALTH AND WELL-BEING	Ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages	❖ Support effective and inclusive national healthsystem ❖ Provide affordable and accessible healthcare options to communities
4 QUALITY EDUCATION	Ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all	❖ Vocational and technical training programmes ❖ Support access to childcare for employees withchildren by providing on-site daycare, including childcare and back up care spaces or services, financial assistance for childcare, and flexible workoptions.
5 GENDER EQUALITY	Achieve gender equality and empower all women and girls	❖ Embed principles of gender equality in policies and processes ❖ Promote local women entrepreneurs as preferredsuppliers
6 CLEAN WATER AND SANITATION	Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all	❖ Develop innovative technology, new products forsafe drinking water and sanitation ❖ Understanding the impacts of water use in the value chain and water mapping ❖ Constructing water shed/ rain water harvestingunits in communities ❖ Desilting of rives and canals in the communities ❖ Leveraging the business' position to ensure water access for workers in the workplace across supply chains.
7 AFFORDABLE AND CLEAN ENERGY	Ensure access to affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern energy for all	❖ Investing in sustainable energy solutions ❖ Working with suppliers to procure clean energy and to increase their share of renewable energy. ❖ Setting an internal price on carbon to redirect investments towards renewable energy sources and technologies.
8 DECENT WORK AND ECONOMIC GROWTH	Promote sustained, inclusive and sustainable	❖ Frame policies for fair selection of suppliers, and improving economic inclusion throughout the supply chain.
	economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all	❖ Building screening mechanisms and ensuring consistent practices in own supply chains. ❖ Making financial advice available to employees in the workplace, or providing guidance on where to go for such services focusing on marginalized groups.
9 INDUSTRY, INNOVATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE	Build resilient infrastructure, promote inclusive and sustainable industrialization and foster innovation	❖ Resource efficiency, sustainability and resilience throughout the supply chain and business model. ❖ Performing social, economic and environmentalimpact assessments across the whole lifecycle of own products and services.

10 REDUCED INEQUALITIES	Reduce inequality within and among countries	❖ Framing a fair wage policy ensuring equal remuneration for men and women workers for work of equal value. ❖ Collaborating with MSMEs, including those run by women, in their value chain to help them meet regulations, stakeholder expectations, market requirements and business' internal sustainable goals
11 SUSTAINABLE CITIES AND COMMUNITIES	Make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable	❖ Developing a disaster risk management plan for business models and supply chains. ❖ Developing a safe and low carbon transportation policy for employees, supply chain operations and other service
12 RESPONSIBLE CONSUMPTION AND PRODUCTION	Ensure sustainable consumption and	❖ Conducting awareness drives to promote consumer awareness and to engage the consumers in sustainable development.
	production patterns	❖ Developing circular models for products focusing on use of renewable energy.
13 CLIMATE ACTION	Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts	❖ Disclosing GHG emission data and material climate risk information through adequate disclosure initiatives. ❖ Investing in early warning technologies and systems and building a response network of stakeholders and communities on climate and natural disaster related impact.
14 LIFE BELOW WATER	Conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resources for sustainable development	❖ Understanding waste generated due to the use of products and services introducing practices focusing on including waste collection, reuse and recycling. ❖ Obtaining aquaculture certifications for marine and animal health and welfare, food safety, and environmental protection or ensuring that suppliers obtain such certifications.
15 LIFE ON LAND	Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss	❖ Obtain forest management certifications and certifications on forest products. ❖ Assessing soil and land degradation risk to prevent soil contamination from all sources.

16 PEACE, JUSTICE AND STRONG INSTITUTIONS	Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels	❖ Implementing due diligence tools including risk identification, impact assessments, management and mitigation measures, reporting, grievance mechanisms and other stakeholder engagement processes. ❖ Raise awareness of the relevant laws, codes and regulations among employees through workshops and seminars ❖ Frame a zero-tolerance policy and approach towards corruption and bribery at top management and leadership levels.
17 PARTNERSHIPS FOR THE GOALS	Revitalize the global partnership for sustainable development	❖ Demonstrating a zero- tolerance approach to corruption and bribery at top management and leadership levels. ❖ Helping local businesses and integrating them into global value chains.

CONCLUSION

Government of India has tasked NITI Aayog to design and develop a framework defining policies, procedures and map ministries responsible to implement the SDGs by the government regulatory mechanism. However, businesses have a strong role to play by acting as a facilitator in the SDG implementation. The role of businesses as catalyst is well defined by the scope with which the businesses have the adaptability and agility in implementation of micro level schemes. Businesses are quick to respond and implement solutions with localized innovation. Their decision making process is swift and well defined. Businesses can follow a realistic approach in realizing the SDGs and instigate transformational change in localized communities.

REFERENCES

1) Carroll, Archie B., and Kareem M. Shabana (2010). The business case for corporate social responsibility: a review of concepts, research and practice, International Journal of Management Reviews, 12 (1), pp. 85–105

2) Porter, Michael E., and Mark R. Kramer (2011). Creating shared value, Harvard Business Review, 89(1/2), pp. 62–77.

3) PwC (2015). Make it your business: engaging with the Sustainable Development Goals. http:// www.pwc.com/gx/en/sustainability/SDG/SDG%20Research_FINAL.pdf

4) "Developing Value: The business case for sustainability in emerging markets," 2002, a report by IFC, Sustainability and Ethos

5) Kahraman Akdoğu, S. (2017). The link between CSR and sustainable development in a global economy. In Corporate Social Responsibility (pp. 223–240). Springer.

6) Mishra, L. (2021). Corporate social responsibility and sustainable development goals: A study of Indian companies. Journal of Public Affairs, 21(1).https://doi.org/10.1002/pa.2147

7) Mitra, N., & Chatterjee, B. (2020). India's Contribution to the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) With Respect to the CSR

8) Patil, V., Jauhari, S., & Maheshwari, D. (2017). CSR Activities and its Impact on Socioeconomic Upliftment: An Integrated Review.

9) Sharma, S. K., & Tomar, A. (2013). Corporate Social Responsibility and Sustainable Development. Journal of Indian Research, 1(4), 112–114.

10) https://csr.gov.in

11) https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/sdg1

12) https://www.mca.gov.in/Ministry/pdf/CompaniesActNotification3_2014.pdf

UNLOCKING SUSTAINABLE SUCCESS: EXPLORING THE IMPACT OF CSR TO ESG TRANSITION ON INVESTOR DECISION-MAKING IN THAI CAPITAL MARKET

Chanwut Thongkamkaew

Didyasarin International College, Hat Yai University, Thailand

ABSTRACT

The studies that link CSR to firm value suggest that higher levels of CSR result in higher corporate value, higher equity returns, and lower risk, thereby enhancing the firm's collateral value. This paper examines the impact of corporate social responsibility (CSR) on the Thai capital market. It indicates that a business' Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) profile significantly impacts its valuation: improving a company's CSR will result in a higher ratio of actual to true value. We find that ESG expands existing overvaluations while reducing undervalued firms' deviation from their true values when analyzing the relation between ESG and sustainability separately. Both valuation effects are attributed to the worldwide trend toward sustainable investments. In addition, further analyses demonstrate that market sentiment towards sustainability plays a moderating role in the ESG relationship as a whole. An article suggests that firms' CSR is indeed perceived as valuable by shareholders and supports stakeholder. Furthermore, the article views in considering CSR and ESG as beneficial and also mentions the use of ESG ratings and indices, such as Thailand Sustainability Investment (THSI), in evaluating companies' sustainability performance. It emphasizes the significance of ESG reporting in reinforcing the capital market in Thailand

Keywords: corporate social responsibility (CSR), Environment, social and governance (ESG), sustainability report, Thailand Sustainability Investment (THSI)

INTRODUCTION

"We believe that sustainability should be our new standard for investing" (BlackRock, 2020). In today's business landscape, companies are increasingly recognizing the importance of incorporating environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors into their decision-making processes. This shift reflects a growing understanding that sustainable practices not only benefit society and the planet, but also drive long-term business success. In the Thai capital market, this transition from traditional corporate social responsibility (CSR) to a comprehensive ESG approach is gaining momentum, raisingquestions about its impact on investor decision-making.

Businesses that prioritize short-term gains without considering the negative impacts they may have on the world around them are at risk of being "unsustainable in the long run." These negative impacts, if left unresolved or unaddressed, can come back to haunt the company itself. As a result, businesses face pressure from both society and investors who recognize the importance of responsible practices.

Business sustainability can be expressed as the ongoing process of successful resource sustainability that is maintained over time. To truly thrive in today's business landscape, companies must have the depth and refinement to take care of society and the environment alongside their pursuit of profits. This means embracing sustainable practices that ensure the well-being of all stakeholders, including employees, customers, communities, and the planet. Business sustainability can be expressed as the ongoing process of successful resource sustainability that is maintained over time (Clément, Robinot, & Trespeuch, 2022).

Businesses that prioritize sustainability are often referred to as "sustainable stocks (ESG Stocks)," and they are highly sought after by investors. These stocks offer the promise of financial returns while also contributing to positive social and environmental outcomes. By

investing in sustainable stocks, individuals and institutions can align their financial goals with their values, creating a win-win situation for all. In sustainable investment, investors will attach great importance to the three main aspects that must be achieved in their investments. First, the investment must have a good impact on the environment. Second, those investments do not violate ethics or norms and positively impact the social community. Third, investments will also positively impact sustainable economic growth (Tseng, Tan, Jeng, Lin, Negash, & Darsono, 2019). Therefore, the pursuit of maximum profits and rewards for close stakeholders is not enough to ensure long-term success for businesses. It is crucial for companies to recognize the importance of sustainable practices and take responsibility for their impact on society and the environment. By doing so, businesses can not only make profits but also contribute to a better world for future generations.

Certainly, understanding the differences between ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) and CSR (Corporate Social Responsibility) is crucial for anyone delving into research or discussions on sustainable business practices. While both concepts are related and share common goals, they have distinct focuses and scopes. Table 1 presents the key differences between CSR and ESG:

Table 1: Key differences CSR/ESG

	Scope and Focus	Voluntariness&Integration	Time Horizon	Stakeholder Orientation	Measurement and Reporting	Regulatory Drivers
CSR	CSR traditionally	CSR activities are often	CSR	CSR activities	Measurement and	CSR activities
	encompasses a	voluntary and driven by	initiatives	often prioritize a	reporting of CSR	are not always
	broader range of	a company's	may focus	company's	initiatives can vary	driven by
	activities related to	commitment to being a	on both	relationships with	widely and may not	specific
	a company's	responsible corporate	short-term	a wide range of	always follow	regulations,
	voluntary	citizen.	and long-	stakeholders,	standardized	although
	commitment to	It involves discretionary	term	including	frameworks.	companies may
	ethical, social, and	efforts that go beyond	community	communities,	Reporting is often	voluntarily
	environmental	legal obligations and	and societal	employees,	narrative-based,	align with or
	considerations.	may include charitable	impact.	customers, and the	highlighting a	exceed
	CSR often includes	donations, community	Activities	environment.	company's positive	regulatory
	philanthropy,	service, and ethical	may	The focus is on	impact on society	expectations.
	community	business practices.	include	demonstrating a	and the environment	
	engagement, ethical		one-time	commitment to		
	business practices,		charitable	social		
	and a company's		events or	responsibility and		
	overall		ongoing	ethical behavior.		
	responsibility		community			

ESG	focused framework	ESG factors are	ESG is	ESG factors are	ESG reporting is	ESG is
	to					
	society.		engagement			
			programs.			
ESG	focused framework	ESG factors are	ESG is	ESG factors are	ESG reporting is	ESG is
	primarily used in	increasingly integrated	often	considered from	increasingly	increasingly
	the financial sector	into mainstream	viewed	the perspective of	standardized, with	influenced by
	to evaluate a	investment analysis and	with a	investors and how	frameworks like the	regulations,
	company's	decision-making.	longer-term	a company's	Global Reporting	disclosure
	performance in	ESG criteria are	perspective,	performance in	Initiative (GRI),	requirements,
	specific	considered integral to	emphasizin	these areas may	Sustainability	and reporting
	environmental,	assessing a company's	g	impact financial	Accounting	standards
	social, and	risk profile and long-	sustainabilit	returns.	Standards Board	imposed by
	governance areas	term financial viability.	y and	Stakeholder	(SASB), and others.	financial
	and focuses on		resilience	considerations are	ESG reports also	regulators and
	factors that are		over time.	relevant, but the	provide quantitative	stock
	material to a			emphasis is on	and qualitative data	exchanges
	company's long-			aligning business	on specific	globally.
	term financial			practices with	environmental,	
	performance and			sustainable	social, and	
	risk management			financial	governance metrics,	
				performance	allowing for better	
					comparability	
					between companies.	

Understanding these differences is essential for researchers, investors, and businesses aiming to navigate the landscape of sustainable and responsible business practices. Both CSR and ESG play important roles in shaping corporate behavior, but they approach sustainability from different angles and have distinct implications for various stakeholders.

THE IMPORTANCE OF CSR AND ESG IN INVESTOR DECISION-MAKING

We are living through a new era of sustainable finance (Chang, 2022). Investors play a crucial role in shaping the direction of companies and capital markets. Today, the communication of environmentally and socially responsible activities is considered very important to gain a competitive advantage to show the importance of CSR issues in a company to strengthen relations with the stakeholders, and to improve corporate image and reputation (Uyar, 2020). Traditionally, investors have focused primarily on financial performance when making investment decisions. On the one hand, CSR performance produces legitimacy on the other, an

increase in a company's engagement in CSR can enhance stakeholders' expectations and the pressure for responsible behavior in other business practices too (Gavana,Gottardo, & Moisello, 2022). However, as the world faces increasing environmental and social challenges, investors are increasingly recognizing the importance of considering ESG factors. These factors encompass a wide range of issues, including climate change, human rights, labor standards, and corporate governance.

The international acronym ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) is used by the financial community to designate the environmental, social, and governance criteria, which generally constitute the three pillars of socially responsible investment and extra-financial analysis (taking into account the ESG dimensions) (Chouaibi, 2022) The impact of ESG on investor decision-making is multifaceted. First, investors are becoming more aware of the potential risks and opportunities associated with ESG factors. Companies that fail to address these issues may face reputational damage, legal and regulatory scrutiny, and financial instability. On the other hand, companies that proactively manage ESG risks and capitalize on ESG opportunities may attract more investors and achieve long-term success. Furthermore, companies with strong ESG performance have a keen knowledge of the long-term strategic issues in their industries and managers at these companies can manage by long-term goals (I. Tarmuji, Mealah & L. Tarmuji, 2016) and to benefit the society by influencing the behavior of companies (La Torre, Mango, Cafaro & Leo, 2020). Therefore, investors are incorporating ESG considerations into their investment strategies to assess the sustainability and resilience of companies.

Certainly, understanding the relationship between Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activities, Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors, and investor decision-making is crucial trend in the evolving landscape of responsible and sustainable investing in Thai capital market. Here are specific aspects of this relationship:

- **Growing Interest in ESG Investments:**
Globally, there is a growing trend of investors, including those in emerging markets like Thailand, showing interest in ESG investments. Investors are increasingly considering non-financial factors, such as CSR activities and ESG performance, as essential criteria in their decision-making processes.

- **Regulatory Support:**
Regulatory bodies in various countries, including Thailand, have been promoting sustainable and responsible business practices. Regulatory support for ESG reporting and transparency can encourage companies to engage in CSR activities and provide investors with the information they need to make informed decisions.

- **Thailand's Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs):**
Thailand, like many other countries, has aligned its development goals with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Companies incorporating CSR activities that contribute to these goals may find favor among investors who are increasingly aligning their portfolios with sustainable and socially responsible investments.

- **Investor Demand for Transparency:**
Investors are placing a premium on transparency and disclosure. Companies that actively communicate their CSR initiatives and ESG performance are likely to attract investors seeking clear and comprehensive information about a company's impact on the environment, society, and governance.

- **Impact on Reputation and Stakeholder Relations:**

Companies in Thailand recognizing the importance of CSR activities and ESG factors understand that these efforts not only impact investor decisions but also contribute to positive stakeholder relations and reputation management. Investors are likely to favor companies with a strong commitment to social and environmental responsibility.

- **ESG Ratings and Indices:**

The availability of ESG ratings and indices has increased globally, and investors often refer to these benchmarks to assess a company's sustainability performance. Companies in Thailand with favorable ESG ratings may attract investors who use these metrics as part of their investment decision-making process. Clement et al., (2022) explained the idea behind ESG scores is that outside firms would develop a methodology based on assumptions, scientific consensus, and other external metrics.

- **Thailand's Efforts in Sustainable Finance:**

Thailand has made efforts to promote sustainable finance and green investments. Investors interested in these areas may prioritize companies with strong CSR practices, as these align with the broader goals of sustainable and responsible finance.

- **ESG Integration in Investment Strategies:**

Asset managers and institutional investors in Thailand are increasingly integrating ESG factors into their investment strategies. This integration may lead to a higher demand for investments in companies with strong CSR activities that align with ESG principles.

It's essential to note that the specific dynamics can vary based on the regulatory environment, market maturity, and the overall awareness of ESG issues within the Thai capital market. For the most accurate and current information, it's recommended to refer to local financial reports, regulatory announcements, and market analyses specific to Thailand.

In addition, there is a growing demand from investors for transparency and accountability. Investors want to know how companies are managing ESG risks and creating value for all stakeholders. By considering ESG factors, investors can assess the long-term viability and ethical practices of companies, aligning their investments with their values and goals. This shift towards sustainable investing is reshaping the investment landscape and driving the transition from CSR to ESG in the Thai capital market.

TRANSITION FROM CSR TO ESG IN THE THAI CAPITAL MARKET

In recent years, the Thai capital market has witnessed a significant shift towards incorporating ESG factors into investment decision-making. This transition reflects a broader global trend towards sustainable investing and aligns with Thailand's commitment to achieving the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

Therefore, to be sustainable development, listed companies have been asked by the Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET) to provide environmental, social, and governance (ESG) disclosure into their annual reports where the corporations provide financial information reporting since 2015 (The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET), 2015). The Thai Stock Exchange (SET) has taken proactive steps to promote ESG practices among listed companies. Furthermore, the SET launched the Thailand Sustainability

Investment (THSI) list, which recognizes companies that demonstrate strong performance in ESG areas, is an investment index that focuses on companies in Thailand that demonstrate strong sustainability practices. However, ESG disclosure is still voluntary reporting in Thailand. Thai listed companies, which would like to report ESG information into their annual reports, are chosen by the SET as the Thailand Sustainable Investment (THSI) companies. Those companies need to provide ESG disclosure under the THSI guideline that has adopted from Global

Reporting Initiative (GRI) Standards (Suttipun,2021). It is managed by the Thailand Sustainable Investment Association (ThaiSIA), which promotes sustainable investment practices and provides guidance to investors. The index includes companies that meet certain environmental, social, and governance (ESG) criteria, such as reducing carbon emissions, promoting gender equality, and maintaining transparent corporate governance. The THSI list serves as a benchmark for investors looking to incorporate ESG considerations into their investment strategies. By highlighting companies with sustainable practices, the SET aims to incentivize other companies to improve their ESG performance and attract more socially responsible investors (The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET), 2022).

In 2021, the SET announced the names of 145 THSI-listed firms, increased from 124 companies in the previous year. The 145 THSI-listed firms have a combined market capitalization of 12.61 trillion baht as of July 7 2022, which accounts for 66 per cent of the overall value of the SET and the Market for Alternative Investment (The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET), 2023).

Furthermore, the Thai government has introduced various policies and initiatives to support the transition to ESG. The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) has implemented regulations that require listed companies to disclose ESG information in their annual reports. This regulatory framework enhances transparency and enables investors to make informed decisions based on comprehensive information.

IMPACT OF ESG ON INVESTOR PERCEPTION AND BEHAVIOR

The inclusion of ESG factors in investment decision-making has a profound impact on investor perception and behavior. Investors are increasingly recognizing that financial performance alone is not enough to assess the long-term sustainability and resilience of companies. They are seeking companies that demonstrate strong ESG performance, as this indicates a commitment to managing risks and capitalizing on opportunities (The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET) 2022).

Article has shown that companies with strong ESG performance tend to outperform their peers in terms of financial performance. A study by Harvard Business School found that companies with high ESG ratings had a significantly lower cost of capital and higher profitability compared to companies with low ESG ratings. This suggests that investors are willing to pay a premium for companies that prioritize sustainability and ethical practices.

In addition, ESG considerations are influencing investor behavior by shaping investment strategies. Investors are increasingly incorporating ESG criteria into their investment frameworks, such as excluding companies involved in controversial industries or overweighting companies with strong ESG performance. This trend is driving capital towards companies that prioritize sustainability, creating a positive feedback loop that encourages more companies to adopt ESG practices.

FACTORS INFLUENCEING INVESTOR DECISION-MAKING IN THE THAI CAPITAL MARKET

Several factors influence investor decision-making in the Thai capital market. First and foremost, financial performance remains a critical consideration for investors. Companies that demonstrate strong financial fundamentals and growth potential are more likely to attract investor interest. However, investors are increasingly recognizing that financial performance alone is not sufficient to assess the long-term sustainability and resilience of companies. Therefore, they are incorporating ESG factors into their investment analysis to gain a more comprehensive understanding of companies' risks and opportunities.

Another factor that influences investor decision-making is the quality and availability of ESG data. Investors rely on accurate and reliable ESG information to assess companies' performance

and make informed investment decisions. Therefore, companies that effectively communicate their ESG efforts and provide transparent and standardized ESG data are more likely to attract investor interest.

Gavana, Gottardo and Moisello, (2022) found that ESG performance increases stakeholder confidence that a firm's behavior is consistent with their expectations. Furthermore, investor sentiment and markettrends play a role in shaping investment decisions. As sustainable investing gains momentum globally,investors are increasingly seeking companies that demonstrate strong ESG performance. This trend is reflected in the growing number of sustainable investment funds and ESG-focused investment strategies. Companies that align with these market trends are more likely to attract investor capital.

CASE STUDIES OF COMPANIES SUCCESSFULLY TRANSITIONING FROM CSR TO ESGIN THAILAND

Several companies in Thailand have successfully transitioned from CSR to ESG, positioning themselves as leaders in sustainable practices. One notable example is CP Group, a conglomerate with diverse businesses ranging from agribusiness to telecommunications. CP Group has made significant efforts to integrate sustainability into its business operations. The company has implemented various initiatives to reduce its environmental footprint, such as renewable energy projects and waste management programs. CP Group's commitment to sustainability has not only enhanced its reputation but also attracted socially responsible investors.

Another example is SCG, a leading cement and building materials company. SCG has embraced sustainability as a core value and has implemented a comprehensive ESG strategy. The company focuses on reducing greenhouse gas emissions, promoting circular economy principles, and engaging with local communities. SCG's commitment to sustainability has been recognized by investors and stakeholders, positioning the company as a leader in the Thai capital market.

These case studies highlight the benefits of transitioning from CSR to ESG. Companies that proactively manage ESG risks and capitalize on ESG opportunities can enhance their competitiveness, attract moreinvestors, and achieve long-term success.

CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES IN IMPLEMENTING ESG PRACTICES IN THE THAI CAPITAL MARKET

While the transition from CSR to ESG presents numerous opportunities, it also comes with challenges. One of the main challenges is the lack of awareness and understanding of ESG among companies and investors. Many companies still view sustainability as a separate function rather than an integral part oftheir business strategy. Similarly, some investors may be unaware of the potential risks and opportunities associated with ESG factors. Therefore, raising awareness and providing education on ESG is crucial to drive the adoption of sustainable practices.

Another challenge is the availability and quality of ESG data. Companies may struggle to collect and disclose relevant ESG information, leading to inconsistent and incomplete data. This poses a challenge for investors who rely on accurate and reliable ESG data to make informed investment decisions (The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET) 2023). Therefore, companies need to invest in robust ESG data management systems and reporting frameworks to enhance transparency and provide consistent and comparable information.

Despite these challenges, implementing ESG practices in the Thai capital market presents significant opportunities. Companies that proactively manage ESG risks and capitalize on ESG opportunities can enhance their competitiveness, attract socially responsible investors, and

access new sources of capital. Moreover, the transition to ESG can drive innovation and create new business opportunities, such as the development of sustainable products and services. By embracing ESG, companies can future-proof their businesses and contribute to the sustainable development of Thailand.

STRATEGIES FOR COMPANIES TO EFFECTIVELY COMMUNICATE THEIR ESG EFFORTS TO INVESTORS

Effective communication of ESG efforts is crucial for companies to attract investor interest and build trust. Companies can employ several strategies to effectively communicate their ESG efforts to investors. Integration of ESG into corporate strategy: Companies should integrate ESG considerations into their overall corporate strategy. This demonstrates a commitment to sustainability and sends a strong signal to investors.

Clear and transparent reporting: Companies should provide clear and transparent reporting on their ESG performance. This includes disclosing relevant ESG metrics, targets, and progress against these targets. Companies should use recognized reporting frameworks, such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) or the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB), to enhance transparency and comparability.

Engagement with stakeholders: Companies should actively engage with stakeholders, including investors, to understand their ESG expectations and concerns. By listening to investors' feedback and incorporating their input into ESG strategies, companies can build stronger relationships and align their efforts with investor priorities.

Collaboration and partnerships: Companies can collaborate with industry peers, NGOs, and other stakeholders to address common ESG challenges. Collaborative initiatives can enhance credibility and demonstrate a collective commitment to sustainability.

Investor education: Companies can play a role in educating investors about the importance of ESG factors and their impact on long-term business performance. By providing educational materials and participating in industry conferences and events, companies can raise awareness and drive the adoption of sustainable investing practices. They further argue that firm managers should conceptualize the sustainability shift as a business opportunity rather than something that exclusively drives costs (Hermundsdottir, 2021).

THE ROLE OF REGULATORS AND GOVERNMENT IN PROMOTING ESG PRACTICES IN THAILAND

Regulators and the government play a crucial role in promoting ESG practices in Thailand. The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) has implemented regulations that require listed companies to disclose ESG information in their annual reports. This regulatory framework enhances transparency and enables investors to make informed decisions based on comprehensive information. The SEC also encourages companies to adopt recognized reporting frameworks, such as the GRI or SASB, to ensure consistency and comparability of ESG information (The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET) 2023).

A good corporate governance system is an essential element in optimizing the performance of a business in the best interests of shareholders, limiting agency costs and favoring the survival of corporations (Indarawati et al., 2016). The Thai government has introduced various policies and initiatives to support

the transition to ESG. The National Economic and Social Development Council (NESDC) has incorporated the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) into Thailand's 20-year National Strategy. This commitment to sustainable development provides a framework for businesses to align their strategies with national priorities.

The government has also established the Thailand Sustainable Investment Association (ThaiSIA) to promote sustainable investing and facilitate collaboration between investors, companies, and regulators.ThaiSIA serves as a platform for sharing best practices and driving the adoption of ESG practices in theThai capital market.

CONCLUSION: THE FUTURE OF ESG IN THE THAI CAPITAL MARKET

The transition from CSR to ESG in the Thai capital market is gaining momentum, driven by the growingrecognition of the importance of sustainability and the role of investors in shaping the direction of companies and capital markets. The inclusion of ESG factors in investment decision-making is reshaping investor behavior and driving the adoption of sustainable investing practices.

Companies that proactively manage ESG risks and capitalize on ESG opportunities can enhance their competitiveness, attract socially responsible investors, and achieve long-term success. However, the transition to ESG comes with challenges, such as the lack of awareness and understanding of ESG andthe availability and quality of ESG data. Companies need to invest in raising awareness, improving datamanagement, and effectively communicating their ESG efforts to investors.

The role of regulators and the government is crucial in promoting ESG practices in Thailand. Through regulations, policies, and initiatives, regulators and the government can enhance transparency, provideguidance, and drive the adoption of sustainable practices.

As the Thai capital market continues its journey towards ESG integration, companies and investors have the opportunity to unlock sustainable success. By embracing ESG, companies can future-proof their businesses, contribute to the sustainable development of Thailand, and attract socially responsibleinvestors. Together, let's unlock the keys to sustainable success in the Thai capital market.

REFERENCES

- Clément, A., Robinot, É., & Trespeuch, L. (2022). Improving ESG scores with sustainability concepts.Sustainability, 14(20), 13154. doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/su142013154

- Ali Uyar, Abdullah S. Karaman and Merve Kilic (2020) Is corporate social responsibility reporting atool of signaling or greenwashing? Evidence from the worldwide logistics sector, Journal of Cleaner Production,Volume 253,119997,ISSN 0959-6526, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.119997

- BlackRock (2020) Letter to CEOs. http://www.blackrock.com/corporate/investor-relations/larry-fink-ceo-letter"

- Chang, Xin, Fu Kangkang, Jin Yaling, Liem, Pei Fun. (2022). Sustainable Finance: ESG/CSR, Firm Value, and Investment Returns. Asia-pacific Journal of Financial Studies, vol. 51, pages 325-371

- Chouaibi, Y.; Zouari, G. The effect of corporate social responsibility practices on real earningsmanagement: Evidence from a European ESG data. Int. J. Discl. Gov. 2022, 19, 11–30

- Gavana, G., Gottardo, P., & Moisello, A. M. (2022). Related party transactions and earnings management: The moderating effect of ESG performance. Sustainability, 14(10), 5823. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14105823

- Hermundsdottir, F.; Aspelund, A. (2021). Sustainability Innovations and Firm Competitiveness: AReview. J. Clean. Prod. 2021, 280, 124715.

- La Torre, M., Mango, F., Cafaro, A., & Leo, S. (2020). Does the ESG index affect stock return?Evidence from the Eurostoxx50. Sustainability, 12(16), 6387.

- SET. (2022, October 4). Retrieved from SET:

- https://www.set.or.th/th/about/setsource/newsrelease/article/96-esg-data-platform-

- Suttipun, M., (2021) The influence of board composition on environmental, social and governance (ESG) disclosure of Thai listed companies. International Journal of Disclosure and Governance 18:391–402 https://doi.org/10.1057/s41310-021-00120-6

- Tarmuji, I., Mealah, Ruhanita M, and Tarmuji, N., (2016) The Impact of Environmental, Social and Governance Practices (ESG) on Economic Performance: Evidence from ESG Score, International Journal of Trade, Economics and Finance, Vol. 7, No. 3. https://doi.org/10.18178/ijtef.2016.7.3.501

- The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET). 2015. ESG Disclosure. http://www.setsustainability.com

- The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET). 2022. Sustainable Capital Market Development, https://setsustainability.com/libraries/710/item/thailand-sustainability-investment-lists

- The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET).2023. Sustainable Business Development Roadmap. https://setsustainability.com//libraries/1042/item/sustainable-business-development-roadmap-step-1

- The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET). 2023. SET ESG RATINGS, https://setsustainability.com/ESG-ratings

- Tseng, M. L., Tan, P. A., Jeng, S. Y., Lin, C. W. R., Negash, Y. T., & Darsono, S. N. A. C. (2019).

- Sustainable investment: Interrelated among corporate governance, economic performance and market risks using investor preference approach. Sustainability, 11(7), 2108. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11072108

CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY (CSR) IMPLEMENTATION IN THE INDIAN LANDSCAPE: A TIMELINE STUDY SINCE 2015

Dr. Manta Dey[1] and Dr. Mitali Talukdar[2]
[1]Associate Professor, Amity University, Kolkata,
Former HOD- Commerce, Techno India University, Kolkata
[2]Assistant Director HOI, Amity University, Kolkata,
Former Head Program (MBA) - Symbiosis University, Pune
HOI, Amity University, Kolkata, India

THE FOUNDATION STONE

As per enactment of Companies Act 2013, Government of India has made CSR mandatory by imposing statutory obligation on companies for promoting CSR projects for ushering social welfare activities. It is one of the world's largest experiments in socio corporate link up. Since 2015 – 16, Indian companies have collectively raised over 9300 crores for social based projects on the understanding that consumers are increasingly beginning to trust and prefer organizations that are conscious and give back to society. The rapid growth of many companies has been achieved at the expense of economic and social costs. Hence, corporate social responsibility (CSR) has become a vital concern amongst business managers based on the background that companies are evaluated not only on their products or financial performance, but also on their social and environmental performance. As a result, there has been a significant increase in the volume and size of CSR implementation and reporting in the last decade. It stems from an upward trend in consumers' awareness regarding the effects of the externalities of human activities on education, livelihood, sanitation, gender inequality, human health and on broader horizon on sustainable eco system. Moreover, it helps to usher sustainable production and consumption in various social sectors like education, clean water, health, poverty, renewable energy, gender equality, etc for holistic economic, social and environmental dimension.Given the vastness of India and CSR being implemented as a mandatory activity, crowd funding platform has developed as a strategical base or route with asymmetry information without directly getting involved in implementation.

It is observed that Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is assumed to perform better in open societies and competitive markets, where it is driven by market forces and communication along with voluntary adoption which is practiced for self-regulated quality CSR practices. Thus, it is widely accepted that consumer awareness of positive CSR activities of an organization elicits positive vibes about the organization.

GLOBAL LITERATURE PERCEPTION

Study by F. Naatu, S.Anokye Nyarko, Ziaul Haque Munim (April 2022) focuses on the restaurants in the hospitality industry in Norway. Whereas CSR is a concern for all firms, those in the hospitality industry are typically patronized with consumer discretionary expenditure and are more exposed to consumer evaluations based on CSR performance. Based on a total of 3550 surveys, observations were measured on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree. Again an exploratory factor analysis was conducted to identify and remove items that were not loading properly on their respective factors. It was observed that as one of the key stakeholders, consumers can immensely influence both success or failure of a business through loyalty, boycotts, brand switching and activism. It also points out that consumer behavior plays a significant role in shaping the quality and activities of a business.

On the basis of the theory of planned behavior and the crowding-out effect, evidence are presented to vouch that contention that context affects decisions. This is especially true in the case of sensitive decisions and weighing social consideration. The research further confirms that

consumers' environmental concern has an affect mainly on their attitudes and subjective norms and not on their perceived behavioral control.

In an article linking financial performance with social performance by S. A. Waddock, S. B. Graves (2010), rigorous study of the empirical linkages between financial and social performance is done with a source of data set based on corporate social performance. The research projects a positive association between Corporate Social Performance (CSP) with prior financial performance, supporting the theory that slack resource availability and CSP are positively related. CSP is also found to be positively associated with future financial performance.

A learned article on Corporate Social Responsibility and Crowdfunding as a means for Jesús M. Flórez-Parra, G. R. Martin, C. R . Serrano (2020) brilliantly projects a clear incremental social awareness of sustainability promoted by public powers triggered by media through innumerable agreements and declared intentions. The progress of the research has made it possible to demonstrate how CSR plays an important role in enhancing ROA along with improving the financial structure of companies.

There has been a significant rise in the volume and size of CSR implementation and reporting (Boiral and Heras-Saizarbitoria 2020; Brogi and Lagasio 2019; (Gamerschlag et al., 2011). It is a result of increase in consumers' awareness towards the externalities of human activities on the ecosystem and more specific on human health (Chen 2020). Many firms are expecting to enjoy competitive advantage and improve legitimacy by being more socially responsible and doing social work. (Blomgren 2011). Originally, the concept of CSR meant that businesses must be responsible enough to generously and voluntarily contribute to social goals without government's involvement (Gjølberg 2009; Branco et al., 2018). However, in the era of unregulated competition, business houses tends to undermine basic moral responsibilities like fairness, dignity, and justice (Kapeller et al., 2016). The main take away is that relevance of CSR has been acknowledged and the important role in providing the enabling effect to the businesses environment has been recognized.'

Governments initiatives now encourage CSR activities, around the world to introduce sound environmental policies (European Commission 2019). As a result, in many countries, CSR reporting is mandatory and required by law (Vormedal and Ruud 2009). However, as a voluntary activity, CSR approaches vary from country to country since governments try to adapt, translate, and promote CSR to suit their national context (Gjølberg 2010). Thanks to its nature, CSR has become "a state-market-society model that is neither politically nor culturally neutral" (Gjølberg 2009, p. 204). It is regarded as voluntary despite the mandatory requirements from governments and the expectations from consumers to know more about CSR practices (Kim and Ham 2016). The combination of government policies and pressures from consumers (civil society) are considered as good blend to ensure quality CSR practices (European Commission 2019; Frey 1999). Nevertheless, government environmental and social welfare policies and interventions can crowd-out individual contributions or feelings of a sense of responsibility for promoting social welfare (Ezzine-de-Blas et al., 2019; Frey 1999). Research work by Midttun et al. (2015) points out that conflicting outcomes are common when developed states that who enjoy welfare systems introduce CSR into their public policies. Several studies have shown how public policies crowd-out individuals' moral or norm-based motivations for making voluntary contributions to social goods (Nyborg and Rege 2003). For example, Frey and Oberholzer-Gee (1997) reported that residents were not very positive to allow a hazardous waste plant in their local neighborhood in spite of government offering monetary compensation to them. Researchers have also documented that monetary rewards undermine the intrinsic motivations of volunteers (Frey and Goette 1999). While various governments are trying to adapt CSR to their context to promote responsible practices amongst businesses, some countries

appear to be particularly successful in this regard (Gjølberg 2010). For example, the Scandinavian countries enjoy the reputation of being at the forefront of movements concerning CSR (Midttun et al., 2006). Studies often point to countries such as Norway, the "humanitarian superpower," as well as Denmark, Sweden, and Finland as exemplars (e.g., Smith et al., 2005). These countries are traditionally known as **embedded welfare states** with neo corporate relations and coordinated markets. They were also amongst the first to join corporate responsibility initiatives such as the Global Compact, and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development (Vormedal and Ruud 2009). Nevertheless, recent studies in the Norwegian context show that a relatively small percentage of firms actually disclose their CSR principles, processes, and results (Utgård 2018).

A range of factors are involved in the rate, content, and quality of CSR reporting (Alon et al., 2010). Context (Alon et al., 2010; Rom´an et al., 2020) including political, regulatory, ethical, societal, and market-based forces are key determinants of CSR reports (Ihlen and Hoivik 2015).Generally if a country's political background and regulatory forces are inadequate, then consumer demands as corporate citizens force businesses to improve their CSR reporting practices (Lewis 2003; Gjølberg 2009).

This study examines consumers' perception of a government's role in promoting CSR quality by using Norway as a study context due to this country's established image as welfare state that embeds CSR related programmes into their social and fiscal policies (Midttun et al., 2006; Vormedal and Ruud 2009). Specifically, by drawing on the theory of planned behaviour and crowding-out theory, it seeks to investigate whether consumers perceptions about the CSR performance and communication practices of restaurants affect their purchasing intentions and their attitudes toward the restaurants, as well as whether their perception of government's policies crowd out those intentions and attitudes. For instance, the restaurant industry is noted for rapid changes in consumer purchasing decisions in response to economic changes (Rhou et al., 2016). Hence many restaurants are increasingly involved in CSR initiatives to attract loyal customers who are willing to pay premiums for restaurant services when such restaurant adhere to good CSR practices (Rhou and Singal, 2020). In recent times, several CSR practices such as the reduction of food waste and environmental pollution, the sale of green food, employee development and nutritional labelling have become common amongst many restaurants (Rehman et al., 2021; Rhou and Singal, 2020). Empirical results supported theoretical expectations

Corporate perception vis- a vis performance in the Indian Landscape since 2015 in lieu of CSR

In the Indian Landscapes, the main question are:

- Do government's policies or approach to CSR indirectly crowded out the civil society's sense of responsibility and need for information regarding voluntary CSR performance and disclosure?

- Do CSR have a positive impact on financial performance of the company (especially ROI)?

- Can crowd funding be considered as a part of Implementing agencies of CSR and is contributing to nationwide CSR growth?

As mentioned, enactment of Companies Act, 2013 by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs, Government of India to enforce CSR mandatorily through statutory obligation is considered as one of the most remarkable experiments in sustainable corporate field. With its implementation, companies are required to spend at least 2 % of their net profit over preceding three years as CSR under section 135 of Companies Act 2013.This is applicable for companies with

Turnover exceeding 1000 crores

Profit After Tax (PAT) exceeding 5 crores

Net Worth exceeding 500 crores

Given below are five CSR strategies that socially responsible companies are expected to follow during implementation:

- Promoting healthy and inclusive workplace culture

- Designing goals with measurable impact

- Aligning community impact goals with business practices

- Socially responsible companies leverage their core capabilities

- soliciting feedback and engagement to maximise stakeholder value

Policy makers along with industrialists have chalked out strategic steps for all round holistic sustainable implementation of CSR Practice. Some of the important steps are listed below:

- Define the concept of CSR. Especially today, CSR can mean different things to different people.

- Understand the benefits of CSR.

- Get project approval.

- Set project goals.

- Run a current CSR analysis.

- Research your CSR initiatives.

- Launch your CSR campaign.

- Manage your program to success.

For timeline study in Indian landscape, we use the CSR Project amount Outlays (INR. Cr.) set up for each financial year from 2015 to 2021 against the backdrop of fundraising for some of India's blue-chip companies like TATA STEELLTD., TATA MOTORS, AXIS BANK LTD., BAJAJ AUTO LTD etc. Some of the selected CSR project based financing activities are (1) Education, (2) Vocational Skills, (3) Livelihood Enhancement Projects, (4) Environmental Sustainability, (5) Healthcare, (6) Women Empowerment. Amount actually spent on social well-being initiatives are an indicator of the success rate of socially inclined activities for Indian firms on Corporate social responsibility. Only project Outlay amount for Indian firms for each of these CSR initiatives incorporated and executed **through Implementing Agencies/platforms** are selected (Expenditure directly by companies are not included).

The Author's table given below is an attempt to focus on Development sectors like Education, Healthcare, Sustainability, Livelihood and women empowerment in the given time line (2015 – 23)

The funding projects like 'Residential Ashram School for down-trodden nomadic tribal students', projects to rejuvenate Soil, promote Sustainable village economies, Organic Farming, through 'Jaya Organic Yoj', projects to ensure quality education, to construct building for school, Research laboratory and library, programme for Women Empowerment, etc. have been allocated crores by these firms under the purview of multitude development sectors.

Table: Author's Tabulation:

Company	Fin Year	Csr Project	Development Sector(S)	Project Amount Outlay (Inr Cr.)	Amount Spent (Inr Cr.)	Mode of Implementation	State
AXIS BANK LTD	**2020- 21**	Skill Development	Education	5.56	5.56	Other implementing agencies	Pan India
		Health Care	Livelihood Enhancement Projects	0.31	0.31		Pan India
		Livlihood	Livelihood Enhancement Projects	101.19	101.19		Pan India
		Financial Literacy	Socio-Economic Inequalities	1.84	1.84		Pan India
	2019 - 20	Education And Skill Development Program	Education	3.19	3.19		Pan India
		Environmental Sustainability Program	Environmental Sustainability	3.07	3.07		Pan India
		Contribution to NGOs for Conducting Livelihood Program	Livelihood Enhancement Projects	21.27	21.27		Pan India
		Financial Inclusion Literacy Program	Socio-Economic Inequalities	20.13	20.13		Pan India
		MSME Sector Building Program	Vocational Skills	2.26	2.26		Pan India
	2018-19	Skill Development	Education	5.56	5.56		Pan India
		Health Care	Livelihood Enhancement Projects	0.31	0.31		Pan India
		Livlihood	Livelihood Enhancement Projects	101.19	101.19		Pan India
		Financial Literacy	Socio-Economic Inequalities	1.84	1.84		Pan India
	2017-18	Contribution	Livelihood Enhancement Projects	96.98	96.98		Pan India
		Livelihood	Livelihood Enhancement Projects	36.79	36.79		Pan India
	2016-17	Lend A Hand India (LAHI)	Education	0.76	0.47		Assam

		Dhan Foundation	Livelihood Enhancement Projects	2.73	4.46		Chhattisgarh
		Samaj Pragati Sahayog (SPS)	Livelihood Enhancement Projects	5.54	1.84		Gujrat
		Professional Assistance for Development Action (PRADAN)	Livelihood Enhancement Projects	6.11	6.08		Gujarat
		Pratibandhi Kalyan Kendra (PKK)	Education	0.06	0.07		Karnataka
		Society Undertaking Poor Peoples Onus for Rehabilitation (Support)	Education	0.06	0.05		Karnataka
		Action For Social Advancement (ASA)	Livelihood Enhancement Projects	0.89	0.29		Madhya Pradesh
		Mitra Jyothi	Education	0.1	0.07		Maharashtra
		Noida Deaf Society (NDS)	Livelihood Enhancement Projects	0.24	0.23		Maharashtra
		Dhan Vayalagam Tank Foundation (DVTF)	Livelihood Enhancement Projects	2.1	1.7		Maharashtra
		Dilasa Sanstha	Livelihood Enhancement Projects	4.02	5.87		Odisha
		Not Mentioned	Nec/ Not Mentioned	0	0		
		Self Reliant Initiatives For Joint Action (SRIJAN)	Other Central Government Funds	12.28	95.13		Tamil Nadu
		Foundation For Ecological Security (FES)	Livelihood Enhancement Projects	4.68	5.36		
		Enable India	Livelihood Enhancement Projects	0.48	0.44		Uttar Pradesh
		Aga Khan Rural Support Programme (India) (AKRSPI)	Livelihood Enhancement Projects	1.21	1.44		Uttar Pradesh
		Shishu Sarothi	Education	0.05	0.04		West Bengal

Tata Steel Limited	2020- 21	Tribal Identity Signature Programme	Art And Culture	0	0.19		Jharkhand
		Camp School - Non-Residential Bridge Courses	Education	0.21	0.21		Jharkhand
		Model Career Centre	Education	0.42	0.35		Jharkhand
		Support To Drop Out Students Through Bridge Courses	Education	2.64	1.95		Jharkhand
		Mother & Child Health Awareness Programme	Health Care	0	0.49		Jharkhand
		Agriculture Awareness Programme - Jharkhand Tribal Development Society (JTDS)	Livelihood Enhancement Projects	0.11	0.17		Jharkhand
		Construction Of Mid-Day Meal Kitchen For School Children	Livelihood Enhancement Projects	0	2.53		Jharkhand
		Socio-Economic Development Of Particularly Vulnerable Tribal Group Villagers	Socio-Economic Inequalities	0	0.09		Jharkhand
		Sponsorship To Trainees For Various Vocational Courses	Special Education	0	0.07		Jharkhand
		Entrepreneurship Development	Vocational Skills	0	0.94		Jharkhand
		Running Of Industrial Training Institute Tamar	Vocational Skills	1.52	1.22		Jharkhand
		Running Of Industrial Training Institute, Jagannathpur	Vocational Skills	1.64	1.07		Jharkhand
		Running Of Tata Steel Technical Institute	Vocational Skills	2.07	1.11		Jharkhand

		Burmamines					
		Sabal Centre For Disability Linked Training Programmes	Vocational Skills	0.35	0.25		Jharkhand
		Support To St/Sc Organisations For Amenities	Vocational Skills	0	0.04		Jharkhand
		30 Model School	Education	1.13	7.61		Odisha
		Enterprise Development Programmes (Agriculture Related)	Livelihood Enhancement Projects	0.07	0.12		Odisha
		Sanitation (Domestic Toilets)	Sanitation	0	0.83		Odisha
		Spoken English & Soft Skill Development	Special Education	0	0.1		Odisha
		Support For Development Of Self Help Groups (SHG)	Special Education	0	1.03		Odisha
		Child Education Support Through Primery Learning Centre	Education	0.06	0.02		pan India
		Education Signature Programme	Education	13.44	11.6		pan India
		Fellowship/ Scholarship Programme For Students	Education	2.73	1.75		pan India
		Financial Support To Selected Schools	Education	0	2.55		pan India
		Pre-Matric Coaching	Education	0.09	0.17		pan India
		School Improvement Project (1000 Schools Project)	Education	15.82	13.67		pan India
		Support For Schools/ Institutions (Educational Infrastructure)	Education	0	2.02		pan India
		Support To	Education	2.68	0.41		pan India

		Sc/St Students In Education					
		Support To Sc/St Students In Higher Education	Education	1.54	1.08		pan India
		Capacity Building Of Farmers Institutions	Special Education	0	0.2		pan India
		Financial Support To Other Organisations For Educational Programmes	Special Education	0	8.89		pan India
		Skill Development Programmes (Short-Term Vocational Courses)	Special Education	0	2.49		pan India
		Organising Outdoor And Leadership Camps	Training To Promote Sports	0	0.43		pan India
		Organising Sports Tournaments And Coaching Camps	Training To Promote Sports	0	0.07		pan India
		Promoting Sports In Rural Areas	Training To Promote Sports	0.26	0.33		pan India
							pan India
BAJAJ AUTO LTD	2020-21	To Restore Water Resources In Water-Scarce And Ecologically Degraded Dryland Regions	Environmental Sustainability	27	5		pan India
		Action For A Child Friendly World	Gender Equality	15	4		pan India
		For Different Projects Such As Enhancing Livelihood Of Rural Youth, Food Relief For Covid Affected,	Livelihood Enhancement Projects	140.75	36.98		pan India

	2019-20	Action For Agriculture Renewal In Maharashtra	Environmental Sustainability	43.31	7.74		Maharashtra
		Development Support Centre Dsc	Environmental Sustainability	15.33	6.44		Maharashtra
		Marathwada Gramin Vikas Sanstha	Conservation Of Natural Resources	13.62	3.57		Maharashtra
		JBVS Govt Medical College Aurangabad	Education	8.06	2		pan India
		Paani Foundation	Environmental Sustainability	10	3.3		pan India
		Others Through Implementing Agencies Such As Jbgvs Baif Institute For Sustainable Livelihood Devel	Environmental Sustainability	192.21	41.56		pan India
		Jbgvs Integrated Rural And Urban Development Project	Rural Development Projects	38.1	16		pan India
		United Way of Delhi	Education	10.26	3		Uttarakhand
	2018-19	Rtm Nagpur University	Education	10	4.5		Maharashtra
		Bharatiya Jain Sanghatana	Environmental Sustainability	6	4		Maharashtra
		Dilasa Sanstha	Environmental Sustainability	5.4	2.37		Maharashtra
		Paani Foundation, Mumbai	Environmental Sustainability	5	5		Maharashtra
		Jankidevi Bajaj Gram Vikas Sanstha (JBGVS)	Rural Development Projects	6.5	6.5		Maharashtra
		Jbgvs	Rural Development Projects	6	6		Maharashtra
		Grant Medical Foundation, Pune (Ruby Hall)	Health Care	7	7		Maharashtra
		Indian Institute Of Science Education And Research	Setting Up Homes And Hostels For Women	50	5		Maharashtra

		(IISER), Pune					
		Foundation For Ecological Security, Anand	Environmental Sustainability	27	5.4		pan India
		Others Through Implementing Agencies, Such As Jbgvs,Baif Institute For Sustainable Livelihood Develo	Environmental Sustainability	144.33	36.12		pan India
		Kailash Satyarthi Children'S Foundation	Gender Equality	15	10		pan India
		Jbgvs	Rural Development Projects	38.1	5		pan India
		Jbgvs – Udham Singh Nagar	Education	16	3		Uttarakhand
	2017-18	Bajaj Water Conservation Project	Environmental Sustainability	15.49	4.06		Maharashtra
		Bajaj Water Conservation Project	Environmental Sustainability	19.09	4.28		Maharashtra
		Bajaj Water Conservation Project	Environmental Sustainability	42.08	7.88		Maharashtra
		Bajaj Water Conservation Project	Environmental Sustainability	45.71	7.79		Maharashtra
		Nagpur University Building An Administrative Bldg	Education	10	5		Maharashtra
		To Render Assistance For The Satyamev Jayate Water Cup-2018. It Covers 100 Talukas From Vidharbha, M	Environmental Sustainability	5	4.75		Maharashtra
		To Render Assistance For Undertaking Watershed Development Works In Severely Drought	Environmental Sustainability	11.85	2.21		Maharashtra

		Affected 4 Vill					
		For Construction Of Hall Of Residence For Girl Students	Setting Up Homes And Hostels For Women	50	20		Maharashtra
		To Provide Assistance For Construction Of New Building With Oncology, Super Specialty Units Etc.	Health Care	6	3		Maharashtra
		For Different Projects, Such As Water Conservation Project, Promotion Of Livelihood, Fostering Entre	Environmental Sustainability	137.34	32.68		pan India
		To Restore Water Resources In Water Scarce And Ecologically Degraded Dryland Regions	Environmental Sustainability	27	4.05		pan India
		To Render Support For Their On-Going Planned Activities That Include:	Gender Equality	2.5	2.5		pan India
	2016-17	To Support Construction Of Green Building	Environmental Sustainability	1	1		Delhi
		To Provide Assistance For Raising Awareness And Educating People Against Trafficking And Child Sexua	Gender Equality	2.5	2.5		Delhi
		Towards Rehabilitation Of Handicapped Persons, Where 96 Handicapped People Will Be Given Brief	Livelihood Enhancement Projects	0.25	0.13		Delhi

		Forma					
		To Provide Assistance For Operational Expenses For The Institute For 2016-17	Setting Up Homes And Hostels For Women	3	3		Delhi
		To Provide Financial Assistance For Acquiring New Premises	Education	2	2		Madhya Pradesh
		To Build Series of Bundaras From Top To Tail, With Estimated Storage Capacity of 283.75 Lac Ltrs, In	Conservation Of Natural Resources	0.5	0.25		Maharashtra
		For Conservation of Water	Environmental Sustainability	31.25	30.75		Maharashtra
		For Detailed Project Report on Water Conservation	Environmental Sustainability	0.48	0.06		Maharashtra
		To Establish 18 Blood Bank Centres In Districts of Aurangabad	Health Care	0.8	0.45		Maharashtra
		Purchase of 5 Vehicles For Delivery Of Food And Other Related Services By Annamrita.	Poverty, Eradicating Hunger, Malnutrition	0.25	0.25		Maharashtra
		Towards Construction of School Building-Cum-Community Centres And Causeway-Cum-Percolation Tank	Education	1	0.42		Maharashtra

		For Conducting Feasibility Study For Establishing Kalinga Institute of Social Science (Dpr Fee)	Education	0.05	0.05		Maharashtra
		To Improve The Quality of Infrastructure At Seven Schools In The Vicinity of Bajaj Auto Plant, Waluj	Education	1.71	1.71		Maharashtra
		To Provide E-Learning Units To Zilla Parishad Schools In Amalner Block of Jalgaon District	Education	0.11	0.11		Maharashtra
		Program To Upgrade The Schools In Pcmc Area And To Improve Education Standards In The Same Schools	Education	15	4.16		Maharashtra
		Sensitise Main Stream Teachers, Train Child Psychologists And Special Educators In Handling Children	Education	0.2	0.1		Maharashtra
		To Construct A Free Student Home In Rajgurunagar, Pune	Education	0.2	0.18		Maharashtra
		To Expand The Pune Police Public School By Building A Floor For 8 Classrooms	Education	0.2	0		Maharashtra

		To Recruit 30 Outstanding University Graduates And Working Professionals, Who Become Dedicated Full-	Education	0.99	0		Maharashtra
		Health Care To Aids Patient	Health Care	0.27	0.27		Maharashtra
		To Provide Assistance For Building A Breast Cancer (Bc) Research Centre In Pune	Health Care	1.98	0		Maharashtra
		Towards Cleanliness, Environmental-Friendliness, And Other Amenities For A Better Living For The Peo	Health Care	0.2	0.2		Maharashtra
		Towards Shg Training, Sanitation, Waste Management, Water Provision, Health Camp And Awareness Progr	Rural Development Projects	0.15	0.07		Maharashtra
		For Constructing of Hall of Residence For Girl Students	Setting Up Homes And Hostels For Women	50	20		Maharashtra
		Painting of Premises And Operating Expenses, Solar Installation, Etc., For Orphans And Differently A	Setting Up Homes And Hostels For Women	0.28	0.05		Maharashtra
		Rehabilitation of Abandoned Children Through Adoption	Setting Up Homes And Hostels For Women	0.4	0		Maharashtra

		Rehabilitation, Care And Educational Development of Orphan Children, Children of Sex Workers And All	Setting Up Homes And Hostels For Women	0.5	0.4		Maharashtra
		Purchase of A 12 Seater Tempo Traveller For The Dialysis Centre	Health Care	0.15	0.14		Tamil Nadu
		Purchase of Auto Rickshaw For The Institute	Education	0.02	0		Tamil Nadu
		To Provide Financial Assistance To Sri Aurobindo International Institute For Education Research (Sai	Education	1	0		Tamil Nadu
		Towards Nurturing Community Based Organisations of Women, Men, Youth, Children, Etc. of 8 Panchayats	Rural Development Projects	0.5	0.5		Tamil Nadu
		To Render Skill Development And Livelihood Enhancement	Livelihood Enhancement Projects	0.45	0.15		Uttarakhand
		For Conducting Project Feasibility Study For Setting Up Blood Storage Centre	Health Care	0.05	0.03		Uttarakhand
		For Providing Transport Vehicles	Education	0.08	0.08		Uttarakhand

To paint the big picture: bottom line findings

With emerging time and global exposure, crowd funding platforms are increasingly becoming promising sources of fund raising medium for big business firms for fulfilling their CSR goals. However, mandatory registration provision with Ministry of Corporate Affairs for binding these platforms is highly recommended and need of the day to avoid any sort of malpractice with public money. It is a crucial platform for meeting of 'fund raisers' and 'fund givers. It's just a matter of time before inclusion CSR by all companies becomes a stalwart making virtual reality a causal point of view for one and all.

FINANCIAL INCLUSION FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT: ROLE OF CORPORATE SECTOR

Himanshu Sekhar Panigrahi
Associated as Sr. Manager (CSR)
With Hindustan Copper Limited

INTRODUCTION

Financial inclusion is as vital as social inclusion for sustainable socio-economic development of any country. If one carefully looks at the Goals and Targets of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) 2030 it can simply be observed that financial inclusion has an 'enabler' role to play in realization of most of the Goals. Indisputably, it is a human right at the individual level also. For an inclusive financial ecosystem, all the stakeholders should contribute in their own ways by removing the barriers and creating opportunities for financial inclusion. Among others, corporate sector has a critical responsibility in these initiatives. It can contribute strategically for this purpose by infusing funds, innovation and expertise.

FINANCIAL INCLUSION:

Financial inclusion is defined as the pursuit of bringing the 'financially excluded' people into the mainstream of financial ecosystem by ensuring their access to the formal financial services and products. The notion behind this concept is that all people, irrespective of their income, should have basic financial education and facilities so that they can lead a dignified life in the society. Financial inclusion facilitates an affirmative impact on the lives of marginalized and poor people. This concept covers an entire gamut of institutional facilities like opening bank account, saving, payment, remittance, credit, insurance, etc. The services are meant to be provided in an affordable, safe, transparent and easy manner to the socially and economically vulnerable sections of the society. 'Digital financial inclusion' is making remarkable headway across the globe since around the beginning of the 21st Century. Digital ways are faster, safer, cost-saving, more effective and transparent.

In the context of India, although Central Government and State Governments have been putting efforts for last couple of decades for expanding and intensifying the agenda of financial inclusion, there is a long way to go considering several challenges and vastness of the country. Government of India has launched a slew of measures like Pradhan Mantri Jan Dhan Yojana (PMJDY), Pradhan Mantri Mudra Yojana (PMMY), Atal Pension Yojana (APY), Sukanya Samridhi Yojana (SSY), Pradhan Mantri Suraksha Bima Yojana (PMSBY), etc. National Digital Literacy Mission (NDLM) aims to make people digitally literate and create scope for promotion of digital financial products and services. Apart from these Schemes, the Government has urged Banks to take proactive steps to bring excluded groups into their fold with "banks reach the people" mandate. The Reserve Bank of India (RBI) has facilitated some policy priorities on matters like no-frills account, easy credit, small deposits and relaxation in KYC norms. The RBI is also striving to ensure that financial inclusion in the country happens in terms of access, usage and quality. Nevertheless, the endeavor of the Government and Banks in this line should be complemented and supplemented by other stakeholders like corporate houses, NGOs and educational institutions.

FINANCIAL INCLUSION & SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT:

While the concept of sustainable development is gathering momentum and the SDGs have become the buzzword in this second decade of the 21st century, it is earnestly being believed that financial inclusion is a key driver towards accomplishment of these goals. Achievements in financial inclusion create multi-pronged effects on the process of sustainable social development. Numerous inter-connected Sustainable Development Goals hinge on success of

financial inclusion efforts. Access to formal financial services relating to saving, credit and insurance help people to escape from poverty trap, thus contributes to SDG 1-Eradicating poverty. It enhances income capacity of small farmers, wage earners, micro business owners by creating access to resources and opportunities. Also, financial inclusiveness through saving, credit and insurance protects poor households from financial shocks and protects their assets from risk. It provides a kind of safety net to vulnerable families. Hence, Target 1.4 of SDG 1 focuses on availability of financial services, including microfinance to all poor and vulnerable persons. When poor farmers get doorway to agricultural credit and crop insurance they themselves become able to come out of the shackles of poverty and at the same time contribute towards food security and hunger reduction i.e. SDG 2. Target 2.3 of this goal suggests covering poor people of the communities like small-scale food producers, indigenous people, pastoralists, fishermen, etc with financial services. SDG 3- good health and well-being can be realized when households get nutritious food round the year and have monetary saving to deal with health problems. Savings and insurance support families deal with unforeseen healthcare expenditure. Once financial constrictions are eliminated people invest in their children's education, this collectively leads to SDG 4 (quality education). A major targeted group of financial inclusion initiatives is women. Women members of households get empowered when they become financial literate and have greater control over household financial matters. Women empowerment happens when they get access to financial services and start earning through micro and small businesses. This advances gender equality i.e. SDG 5. Goal 8, that aims for sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all cannot be accomplished when financial exclusion remains in society. So, Target 8.10 hints at strengthening the capacity of domestic financial institutions to encourage and expand access to banking, insurance and financial services for all. SDG 9 that says about promoting inclusive and sustainable industrialization and fostering innovation relies upon a sound financial inclusiveness. Target 9.1 speaks about affordable and equitable access for all whereas Target 9.3 focuses on increasing the access of small-scale industrial and other enterprises to financial services, including affordable credit, and their integration into value chains and markets. The broader goal of reducing inequality within and among countries inscribed in Goal 10 simply cannot be thought of without financial inclusion. Also, few other goals of SDGs subtly hang on socially and financially inclusive societies.

Greater accessibility to formal and institutional financial services and products lessens dependency of poor people on informal sources of finance that often traps them into an exploitative cycle. Financial inclusion ecosystem gradually creates favorable situation for stable well-being of included groups. It promotes micro and small industries through microfinance supports. In a larger sense it generates employment and leads to community development.

ROLE OF CORPORATE SECTOR IN FINANCIAL INCLUSION:
Contribution of corporate sector in the drive to promote financial inclusion begets a win-win situation for the communities as well as the companies. It is a well-known notion that businesses cannot thrive in a society that fails. Corporate sustainability depends upon sustainability of the communities. A society wherein most people are financially unaware and excluded is unable to create conducive ambiance for business to grow. Whereas access to finance leads to economic growth, that is good for business. Hence, for the advantage of companies themselves they should aim for a financially inclusive society. Also, from ethical aspect, corporate houses have a social obligation to come up for betterment of deprived groups and to bring them into social and financial mainstream. Stakeholders of the companies should be empowered with financial knowledge, services and products. It will build brand reputation and will improve performance of companies. Further, business houses can contribute to SDGs in some ways by espousing the financial inclusion initiatives.

In the context of promoting financial inclusion, the responsibility and role of banks, insurance companies and other financial institutions is more significant. They have expertise, tools, resources and ability to reach for addressing the problem of financial inaccessibility in a better way. They must take their services to the grass-root level for better coverage. Cost of banking and insurance services per unit will be reduced when more and more people become customers of these institutions. Hence, it can be viewed as an opportunity for these financial bodies to provide financial services to the excluded groups.

Companies through their CSR (Corporate Social Responsibility) agenda may take up numerous initiatives for the goal of financial inclusion. CSR functions in line with the three pillars of sustainable development i.e. economic development, social equity and environmental justice. Companies can help in creating demand among financially deprived groups for financial services and products. For this, increasing the level of 'financial literacy' among such population is critical. Through CSR initiatives companies may collaborate with NGOs, financial institutions and other companies for spreading awareness on financial inclusion. Capacity building programmes should be organized for the unreached population to develop their financial behaviour and to build confidence among them. Their understanding on money management, bank account management, life insurance, crop insurance, microfinance, etc must be increased in these capacity development sessions. Banking and financial corporate bodies need to provide microfinance facilities among self-help groups (SHGs) and cooperatives so that the members of such groups can sustainably be included into formal financial ecosystem and can create ripple effect in the communities. Similarly, insurance companies through their micro-insurance products can cover small and marginal farmers, small businessmen and other low-income groups. Corporate houses can support in leveraging technology for increasing accessibility to financial services. 'Digital literacy' is another crucial facet of financial inclusion where corporate sector should play a part now-a-days. Digital ways of financial transactions should be made widespread by boosting infrastructure, knowledge and skill at ground level. Making people aware of mobile banking facility through short training programmes is one of the ways in this respect. Corporate sector must foster innovation in the landscape of financial services and products. Companies from sectors like manufacturing, information technology and electronics should venture into bringing low-cost and easy-to-use mechanisms that can advance financial inclusion endeavour. Companies and banking organizations may forge partnerships for expanding outreach for financial inclusion.

REFERENCES

- Singh, K; Misra, M; Yadav J. (2021). *Corporate social responsibility and financial inclusion: Evaluating the moderating effect of income*. Wiley.

- Panigrahi, H S. (2018). *CSR for Promoting Financial Inclusion through Financial and Digital Literacy*. India CSR.

- UNSGSA; Better than Cash Alliance; UNCDF; The World Bank. (2018). *Igniting SDG Progress through Digital Financial Inclusion.*

- Mukherjee, A. (2012). *Corporate social responsibility of Banking Companies in India: At crossroads*. IJMFSMR Vol.1.No.4 Oct-Dec 2012.

NAVIGATING THE COMPLEX LANDSCAPE: CHALLENGES OF CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY (CSR)

Dr. (Prof) Mitali Talukdar[1] and Dr. Manta Dey[2]
[1]Assistant Director HOI, Amity University, Kolkata
Former Head-Program, MBA, Symbiosis University, Pune
[2]Associate Professor ABSK, Amity University, Kolkata
Former HOD- Commerce, Techno India University, Kolkata

ABSTRACT

In the contemporary business landscape, Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has emerged as a crucial element in organizational practices, reflecting a growing awareness of the need to balance profitability with ethical and sustainable considerations. Corporations striving to integrate meaningful CSR initiatives face a multitude of challenges as they navigate the intricate terrain of corporate responsibility.

One key challenge lies in forging strategic partnerships that align with the organization's values and contribute to positive social and environmental impacts. Innovation becomes paramount in this pursuit, as companies must continuously explore new ways to address societal challenges while maintaining financial viability. Leadership plays a pivotal role, requiring a commitment to fostering a culture that values CSR and aligns it with the broader business strategy.

Employee engagement is another critical facet, as organizations must inspire and involve their workforce in CSR initiatives to create a meaningful impact. Transparent reporting is essential for building trust with stakeholders, necessitating robust mechanisms for conveying CSR efforts and outcomes. Effective risk management is crucial in mitigating potential setbacks and ensuring the long-term success of CSR programs.

Optimizing the supply chain is a multifaceted challenge, involving the integration of sustainable practices and ethical sourcing. Furthermore, seamlessly incorporating CSR into the overall business strategy is vital for its integration and effectiveness. Leveraging technology becomes imperative for enhancing the efficiency and reach of CSR initiatives, facilitating better communication and impact measurement.

Adaptation to regulatory changes is an ongoing challenge, requiring organizations to stay abreast of evolving standards and expectations. Sustainable finance mechanisms provide the necessary funding for CSR initiatives, necessitating a comprehensive understanding of financial models that align with sustainability goals.

Learning from failure becomes a critical aspect of CSR implementation, fostering resilience and continuous improvement. Cultivating a corporate culture that embraces sustainability and weathers challenges is essential for long-term success. Organizations must also benchmark against global best practices, measure the return on investment (ROI) of CSR initiatives, and commit to achieving long-term sustainability objectives.

In conclusion, navigating the intricate landscape of CSR involves addressing challenges across various dimensions, from strategic partnerships and innovation to transparent reporting, risk management, and beyond. Successful integration of CSR requires a holistic approach, where organizations align their values with actions and embrace a culture of sustainability to achieve lasting positive impacts.

Keywords: Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), Sustainability, Strategic Partnerships, Innovation, Leadership, Employee Engagement, Transparent Reporting, Risk Management, Supply Chain Optimization, Integrated CSR, Technology, Impact Measurement, Regulatory

Changes, Sustainable Finance, Learning from Failure, Resilient Culture, Global Best Practices, ROI Measurement, Long-Term Sustainability.

INTRODUCTION

In the realm of CSR, one of the foremost challenges lies in forging **Strategic Partnerships** (SP) that facilitate collaborative efforts among businesses, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and governments. Effective partnerships are crucial in addressing complex social and environmental issues, necessitating a holistic approach to problem-solving. Through illustrative case studies, this abstract examines successful alliances, emphasizing their role in overcoming challenges and achieving sustainable outcomes.

Innovations in CSR represent another critical dimension, exploring cutting-edge and creative approaches that organizations employ to overcome challenges. Case studies spotlight organizations implementing inventive solutions, demonstrating the impact of innovative thinking in addressing specific CSR hurdles. The interplay between **Leadership** and CSR is a focal point of analysis, delving into the traits and strategies of effective leaders who navigate and resolve CSR issues within their organizations. Leadership is identified as a cornerstone in driving CSR initiatives and overcoming the complex challenges inherent in responsible business practices.

Strategic Partnerships in CSR: A Catalyst for Sustainable Impact

A distinctive facet of CSR is its intrinsic link to the workforce, making **Employee Engagement Strategies** pivotal. The abstract details effective methods for fostering a culture of social responsibility among employees, showcasing organizations that have successfully engaged their workforce in CSR activities, leading to positive outcomes. Transparent communication is imperative in overcoming CSR challenges, and the abstract explores the significance of **Transparent Reporting and Communication** in conveying CSR efforts and impact to stakeholders. Guidance is provided on effective communication strategies for organizations navigating the intricacies of CSR.

The **Risk Management** associated with CSR initiatives is an unavoidable consideration for organizations aiming to align business practices with societal and environmental concerns. This abstract addresses potential risks and strategies for effective risk management, ensuring the long-term success of CSR programs. In the realm of **Supply Chain Optimization**, organizations seek strategies to enhance responsible practices throughout the supply chain. Successful examples of companies optimizing their supply chains to meet high social and environmental standards are showcased, highlighting the impact of responsible supply chain management.

Seamlessly integrating CSR into core business strategies is explored in the context of **Integrated CSR into Business Strategy**. The abstract provides practical insights into aligning CSR goals with overall business objectives, demonstrating how effective integration ensures sustained impact. **Technology and CSR Solutions** emerge as transformative tools in overcoming CSR challenges. Organizations leverage technological advancements to address social and environmental issues, enhancing the overall impact of CSR initiatives. This section showcases technological innovations that augment the effectiveness of CSR programs.

Accurately measuring the social and environmental impact of CSR initiatives is a perpetual challenge, addressed in the context of **Measuring and Monitoring Impact**. Advanced methodologies, tools, and frameworks are discussed to facilitate organizations in assessing and monitoring the effectiveness of their CSR programs. **Adapting to Regulatory Changes** is a critical aspect of CSR, and the abstract provides guidance on navigating evolving regulatory

landscapes while staying compliant with CSR requirements. Strategies for proactively adapting to changes in legislation and standards are explored.

In the financial realm, **Sustainable Finance and Investment** are investigated, exploring innovative models that support CSR initiatives. Insights are provided into attracting sustainable investments and aligning financial strategies with CSR goals. Acknowledging that setbacks are inevitable, the abstract delves into the concept of **Learning from Failure**, encouraging organizations to adopt a culture of continuous improvement and resilience in the face of CSR challenges.

Building a resilient CSR culture within organizations is essential, and the abstract provides guidance on fostering such cultures. Emphasizing commitment, adaptability, and perseverance, the section on **Building a Resilient CSR Culture** underscores the importance of organizational culture in addressing ongoing CSR challenges.

Drawing on international examples, **Global CSR Best Practices** transcend geographical boundaries. The abstract encourages cross-cultural learning and the adoption of successful strategies from diverse global contexts. **Measuring ROI on CSR** is explored through various methodologies, examining how organizations can demonstrate the business value of their CSR efforts to stakeholders. Finally, addressing the challenge of sustaining CSR initiatives over the long term is vital, and the abstract provides insights into developing strategies for ensuring the continued success and impact of CSR programs.

In the spirit of collaborative learning, the abstract advocates for the establishment of **Collaborative Learning Networks**. Such networks facilitate the sharing of CSR best practices and overcoming challenges collectively. By creating communities where organizations can learn from each other's experiences, collaborative learning networks contribute to the continuous improvement of CSR initiatives.

In conclusion, this article synthesizes the challenges of CSR, offering a comprehensive exploration of diverse facets within the complex landscape of responsible business practices. Emphasizing the interplay of strategic partnerships, innovation, leadership, employee engagement, transparent reporting, risk management, and various other components, the abstract underscores the need for a multifaceted and collaborative approach in overcoming CSR challenges. As organizations navigate these challenges, the chapter seeks to provide actionable insights and guidance for building a sustainable future.

Strategic Partnerships in CSR: A Catalyst for Sustainable Impact

Strategic partnerships have emerged as a cornerstone in Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), fostering collaborative efforts among businesses, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and governments. This article explores the pivotal role of strategic partnerships in CSR, delving into their significance, challenges, and the impact they create in addressing complex social and environmental issues. A case study illustrates the successful application of strategic partnerships, demonstrating their effectiveness in achieving sustainable outcomes.

Introduction: In the evolving landscape of CSR, organizations increasingly recognize the imperative of collaborative endeavors to tackle intricate challenges. Strategic partnerships go beyond conventional philanthropy, creating synergies that leverage the unique strengths of each partner. This article elucidates the multifaceted nature of strategic partnerships in CSR and their potential to drive meaningful and sustainable impact.

Significance of Strategic Partnerships: Strategic partnerships in CSR offer a pathway to combine resources, expertise, and networks for a more comprehensive approach to societal issues. Unlike isolated initiatives, partnerships allow for a pooling of diverse perspectives, catalyzing innovative solutions to address challenges that transcend organizational boundaries.

Challenges in Establishing Strategic Partnerships: While the benefits are apparent, establishing effective strategic partnerships in CSR comes with its own set of challenges. Misalignment of goals, differences in organizational cultures, and concerns over shared responsibilities can impede the formation of successful partnerships. Overcoming these challenges necessitates a shared vision, transparent communication, and a commitment to long-term collaboration.

Case Study: XYZ Foundation and Local NGOs Consider the case of XYZ Foundation, a multinational corporation, partnering with local NGOs in a Southeast Asian region to address water scarcity. The foundation recognized that local expertise was crucial for devising sustainable solutions. Through strategic partnerships with established NGOs, the foundation gained access to grassroots knowledge and community trust.

The partnership involved joint initiatives to develop water purification systems, educate communities on water conservation, and establish local governance structures. By leveraging the NGOs' deep understanding of the region's socio-cultural nuances, the partnership ensured that interventions were contextually relevant and well-received by the communities.

Impact and Sustainability: The impact of strategic partnerships extends beyond immediate outcomes. In the case study, the collaborative efforts resulted in not only improved access to clean water but also the empowerment of local communities. Training programs initiated by the NGOs enhanced the communities' capacity to manage and maintain water infrastructure independently, ensuring long-term sustainability.

Conclusion: Strategic partnerships in CSR represent a dynamic approach to addressing societal challenges. As illustrated by the XYZ Foundation case study, successful collaborations can lead to transformative impact and sustainable outcomes. Organizations embarking on CSR initiatives should recognize the power of strategic partnerships in driving positive change, fostering shared value, and contributing to a more sustainable and equitable future.

Innovations in CSR: Pioneering a Sustainable Future

In an era where the business landscape is evolving rapidly, innovations in CSR have become integral to fostering positive change. This article delves into the evolving landscape of CSR innovations, emphasizing their significance in driving sustainability, positive social impact, and long-term value creation.

Innovative CSR Approaches: Innovation in CSR goes beyond traditional philanthropy, encompassing novel strategies that leverage technology, collaborative models, and creative problem-solving. Organizations are increasingly recognizing the need to innovate in their CSR initiatives to align with dynamic societal and environmental challenges.

Technological Innovations in CSR: Technology plays a pivotal role in reshaping CSR strategies. From blockchain solutions ensuring transparency in supply chains to data analytics driving targeted social impact initiatives, technological innovations are enhancing the efficiency and effectiveness of CSR programs. The article explores how organizations leverage technology to address complex issues and amplify the impact of their CSR efforts.

Collaborative Models and Co-Creation: Innovations in CSR also involve collaborative models that engage various stakeholders in the co-creation of solutions. Organizations are partnering with non-profits, governments, and communities to collectively address challenges. This collaborative approach ensures diverse perspectives, fosters shared ownership, and enhances the overall effectiveness of CSR initiatives.

Case Study: EcoTech Solutions consider the case of EcoTech Solutions, a multinational corporation specializing in renewable energy. In response to the global challenge of electronic

waste, EcoTech implemented an innovative CSR initiative. The company collaborated with local communities, NGOs, and governmental bodies to establish e-waste collection centers.

Through the use of cutting-edge recycling technologies, EcoTech not only minimized environmental impact but also created employment opportunities in the local communities. The collaborative model ensured that the initiative was aligned with the specific needs of each region, demonstrating how innovations in CSR can lead to both environmental sustainability and social development.

Creative Problem-Solving and Social Innovation: Innovations in CSR extend to creative problem-solving and social innovation. Organizations are adopting novel approaches to address social issues, such as designing inclusive business models, implementing fair trade practices, and supporting social enterprises. These innovations not only contribute to social welfare but also enhance the overall resilience and sustainability of the business.

Conclusion: Innovations in CSR are crucial for organizations seeking to remain relevant and impactful in a rapidly changing world. By adopting technological advancements, collaborative models, and creative problem-solving, organizations can address complex challenges while fostering positive societal and environmental outcomes. The case study of EcoTech Solutions exemplifies how innovative CSR approaches can lead to tangible, sustainable impact, providing inspiration for other businesses to embrace and pioneer innovative solutions in their CSR endeavors.

Leadership in CSR: Guiding the Path to Responsible Business Practices

Introduction: In the dynamic landscape of CSR, effective leadership is recognized as a driving force behind meaningful and sustainable corporate social initiatives. This article delves into the multifaceted role of leadership in CSR, emphasizing how leaders shape organizational values, priorities, and commitment to responsible business practices.

Leadership Traits and Strategies in CSR: Leadership in CSR demands a unique set of traits and strategies. Visionary leaders are crucial in articulating a compelling CSR vision that aligns with the organization's values and societal needs. Transparency, integrity, and a commitment to ethical conduct are foundational traits that instill confidence in stakeholders and foster a culture of responsibility.

Effective leaders in CSR are adept at engaging stakeholders, from employees to communities and investors. They establish clear communication channels, encouraging dialogue and collaboration. Through inclusive decision-making processes, leaders ensure that diverse perspectives are considered, contributing to the development of well-rounded CSR initiatives.

The crucial influence leadership has in navigating CSR challenges. A case study illustrates the transformative impact of leadership in driving CSR initiatives.

Case Study: Patagonia's Yvon Chouinard A notable example is Yvon Chouinard, founder of Patagonia, a renowned outdoor apparel company. Chouinard's leadership exemplifies a commitment to environmental sustainability and social responsibility. Under his guidance, Patagonia implemented initiatives to reduce its ecological footprint, including the use of recycled materials and fair labor practices.

Chouinard's leadership style is characterized by a deep sense of responsibility. He actively engages with environmental causes, advocating for sustainability not just within his organization but across industries. Patagonia's commitment to CSR, guided by Chouinard's leadership, has resulted in positive social and environmental impacts, showcasing the transformative potential of leadership in CSR.

Impact on Organizational Culture: Leadership in CSR profoundly influences organizational culture. When leaders prioritize CSR, it becomes ingrained in the organizational DNA. Employees are inspired to align personal values with their work, fostering a sense of purpose and engagement. This cultural shift enhances the organization's reputation and attractiveness to socially conscious consumers and potential employees.

Challenges and Resilience: Leadership in CSR involves navigating challenges, from balancing financial objectives to addressing stakeholder expectations. Effective leaders exhibit resilience, adapting strategies to align with changing societal norms and expectations. Their ability to navigate uncertainties and setbacks contributes to the sustained success of CSR programs.

Conclusion: In conclusion, leadership plays a pivotal role in shaping CSR initiatives and fostering responsible business practices. Through visionary leadership, organizations can navigate challenges, engage stakeholders, and drive positive change. The case study of Yvon Chouinard and Patagonia illustrates how leadership commitment to CSR can lead to transformative impacts, influencing not only the organization but also setting industry standards. As organizations strive to embed CSR into their core values, effective leadership stands as a guiding force, steering the path toward responsible and sustainable business practices.

Employee engagement is a critical factor in the success of any organization, influencing productivity, morale, and overall workplace satisfaction. Fostering a culture of social responsibility among employees not only contributes to the well-being of communities and the environment but also enhances organizational reputation and employee satisfaction. This article delves into effective strategies for promoting social responsibility in the workplace, highlighting successful examples from leading organizations.

Creating a Values-Based Culture:
One of the foundational strategies for fostering a culture of social responsibility is establishing a values-based organizational culture. Organizations that explicitly articulate their commitment to social responsibility in their mission statements and values inherently set the stage for employee engagement in CSR activities. For instance, Patagonia, an outdoor apparel company, has successfully embedded environmental sustainability in its core values, leading to a passionate and engaged workforce dedicated to sustainable business practices.

Implementing Volunteer Programs:
Organizations can actively involve employees in social responsibility by establishing volunteer programs that align with their values and mission. Salesforce, a leading cloud-based software company, is renowned for its 1-1-1 model, which dedicates 1% of the company's product, 1% of equity, and 1% of employees' time to philanthropic initiatives. This approach not only positively impacts the community but also fosters a sense of purpose and fulfillment among employees.

Providing Skill-Based Volunteering Opportunities:
Engaging employees in CSR activities that leverage their professional skills enhances the impact of their contributions. For instance, IBM's Corporate Service Corps allows employees to use their expertise to address social challenges globally. This approach not only aligns with IBM's commitment to social responsibility but also enhances employees' sense of purpose and professional development.

Recognizing and Celebrating Employee Contributions:
Acknowledging and celebrating employees' efforts in social responsibility initiatives is crucial for sustaining engagement. Companies like Microsoft have successfully implemented recognition programs that highlight employees' contributions to CSR activities, creating a positive feedback loop that encourages ongoing engagement.

Encouraging Employee Input and Involvement:
Fostering a culture of social responsibility requires actively involving employees in decision-making processes related to CSR initiatives. Organizations such as Unilever have embraced employee-driven sustainability initiatives, empowering their workforce to contribute ideas and solutions. This approach not only enhances employee engagement but also leads to innovative and impactful CSR initiatives.

CONCLUSION
In conclusion, fostering a culture of social responsibility among employees is integral to the success of modern organizations. By incorporating values-based cultures, implementing volunteer programs, providing skill-based volunteering opportunities, recognizing employee contributions, and encouraging employee input, organizations can effectively engage their workforce in CSR activities. Real-world examples from companies like Patagonia, Salesforce, IBM, Microsoft, and Unilever showcase the positive outcomes of these strategies, emphasizing the importance of integrating social responsibility into the fabric of organizational culture. As businesses continue to recognize the significance of CSR, these engagement strategies will play a crucial role in building a socially responsible and motivated workforce.

Sustainable Finance and Investment: A Case Study Exploration

INTRODUCTION
Sustainable finance and investment have become instrumental in shaping corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives that address environmental and social challenges. This scholarly exploration delves into the dynamics of sustainable finance, focusing on innovative financial models through the lens of a case study. The case study will highlight a company's journey in adopting sustainable financial practices, exploring how they attracted sustainable investments and aligned their financial strategies with CSR goals.

I. Sustainable Finance: A Paradigm Shift

Sustainable finance represents a paradigm shift in the traditional approach to financial activities, emphasizing the integration of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) criteria into investment decisions. This shift is driven by the growing awareness of the interconnectedness between corporate activities and their impact on the planet and society.

Case Study: XYZ Corporation's Transition to Sustainable Finance

XYZ Corporation, a multinational conglomerate, recognized the need to align its financial practices with sustainability principles. In response to increasing stakeholder demands for responsible business conduct, XYZ Corporation initiated a comprehensive review of its financial strategies to incorporate ESG considerations.

II. Innovative Financial Models for Sustainable CSR Initiatives

A. Green Bonds and Social Impact Bonds

XYZ Corporation decided to issue green bonds to fund its ambitious sustainability projects. These bonds were specifically earmarked for initiatives such as renewable energy development and eco-friendly manufacturing processes. Additionally, the company explored the potential of social impact bonds to address social issues within its operational footprint.

Case Study: Successful Implementation of Green Bonds

XYZ Corporation successfully raised capital through the issuance of green bonds, allowing the company to invest in state-of-the-art sustainable technologies. The transparent allocation of funds reassured investors and stakeholders that their financial contributions were directly contributing to environmental conservation.

Reference: Scholtens, B., & Kang, F. (2018). Green bond issuance and underwriting: A global perspective. Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment, 8(1), 22-45.

B. Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) Investing

Motivated by a desire to contribute to global sustainability goals, XYZ Corporation strategically aligned its investments with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). This involved redirecting financial resources to projects that directly addressed societal and environmental challenges.

Case Study: XYZ Corporation's Impactful SDG Alignment

By integrating SDGs into its investment portfolio, XYZ Corporation not only attracted socially responsible investors but also made a tangible contribution to global sustainability. This approach positioned the company as a responsible corporate citizen actively engaged in addressing critical global challenges.

Reference: Gond, J. P., Grubnic, S., Herzig, C., & Moon, J. (2012). Configuring management control systems: Theorizing the integration of strategy and sustainability. Management Accounting Research, 23(3), 205-223.

III. Attracting Sustainable Investments

A. Enhanced ESG Reporting

To attract sustainable investments, XYZ Corporation prioritized transparency and disclosure of its ESG performance. The company adopted recognized reporting frameworks such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) and the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB) to enhance the credibility of its CSR efforts.

Case Study: XYZ Corporation's ESG Leadership

The implementation of enhanced ESG reporting bolstered XYZ Corporation's reputation as a socially responsible entity. Investors, impressed by the company's commitment to transparency, were more inclined to invest in its sustainable initiatives.

Reference: Eccles, R. G., & Serafeim, G. (2013). The role of integrated reporting in corporate governance. Harvard Business School Working Paper, (13-100).

B. Stakeholder Engagement and Collaboration

XYZ Corporation actively engaged with its stakeholders, including investors, customers, employees, and local communities, to understand their concerns and expectations regarding sustainability. Collaborative efforts with stakeholders not only enhanced the effectiveness of CSR initiatives but also created a positive perception that attracted sustainable investments.

Case Study: XYZ Corporation's Collaborative Success

Through ongoing stakeholder engagement, XYZ Corporation established a robust network of support for its sustainable initiatives. This collaborative approach not only strengthened the company's relationship with its stakeholders but also attracted investors who valued a holistic and inclusive approach to sustainability.

Reference: Freeman, R. E. (2010). Strategic management: A stakeholder approach. Cambridge University Press.

IV. Aligning Financial Strategies with CSR Goals

A. Long-term Value Creation

XYZ Corporation adopted a strategic outlook that prioritized long-term value creation over short-term gains. The company recognized that sustainable investments often require time to yield significant returns, aligning its financial strategies with CSR goals for enduring societal and environmental impact.

Case Study: XYZ Corporation's Long-term Vision

By embracing a long-term perspective, XYZ Corporation positioned itself as a company committed to sustainable practices. This approach not only resonated with investors seeking to align their portfolios with ethical considerations but also contributed to the company's overall resilience and success.

Reference: Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2011). Creating shared value. Harvard Business Review, 89(1/2), 62-77.

B. Board Governance and CSR Oversight

XYZ Corporation recognized the importance of effective governance in aligning financial strategies with CSR goals. The company established clear oversight mechanisms for CSR initiatives, ensuring that sustainability considerations were integrated into decision-making processes at the board level.

Case Study: XYZ Corporation's Governance Excellence

Through robust board governance and CSR oversight, XYZ Corporation created a culture of responsibility and accountability. This proactive approach signaled to investors that the company was dedicated to balancing financial success with ethical and sustainable practices.

Reference: Maak, T., & Pless, N. M. (2006). Responsible leadership in a stakeholder society – A relational perspective. Journal of Business Ethics, 66(1), 99-115.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the case study of XYZ Corporation exemplifies how sustainable finance and investment can be successfully integrated into corporate strategies. Through innovative financial models, enhanced ESG reporting, stakeholder engagement, and strategic governance, companies can attract sustainable investments while aligning their financial strategies with CSR goals. As the business landscape continues to evolve, the case of XYZ Corporation demonstrates that embracing sustainable practices not only contributes to positive societal and environmental impacts but also enhances long-term resilience and success in a socially conscious world.

INTRODUCTION

Supply chain optimization has transcended the realm of efficiency to become a cornerstone for companies aspiring to meet high social and environmental standards. This article explores strategies for enhancing responsible practices throughout the supply chain and showcases examples of companies that have successfully optimized their supply chains to meet rigorous social and environmental standards.

Strategies for Enhancing Responsible Practices in the Supply Chain:

1. **Transparency and Traceability:** Embracing transparency and traceability is foundational for responsible supply chain management. By employing technologies like blockchain, companies can create an immutable record of the entire supply chain, providing stakeholders with real-time visibility. This not only aids in identifying and rectifying ethical lapses but also builds trust among consumers. For example, the fashion company Everlane has

leveraged transparency in its supply chain, allowing customers to trace the journey of each product from raw material to final production.

2. **Supplier Collaboration and Capacity Building:** Collaborating with suppliers and building their capacity is instrumental in fostering responsible practices. Companies can engage in long-term partnerships, providing training and resources to suppliers to enhance their capabilities. This approach, exemplified by Unilever's Sustainable Living Plan, involves working closely with suppliers to improve social and environmental performance. Unilever provides support to suppliers, fostering a collective commitment to responsible practices throughout the supply chain.

3. **Risk Assessment and Mitigation:** Conducting comprehensive risk assessments is crucial for identifying potential social and environmental risks within the supply chain. By anticipating challenges, companies can proactively implement mitigation strategies. Apple Inc. is a notable example; the company conducts regular audits of its supply chain to identify labor and environmental risks. This proactive approach allows Apple to address issues promptly and continually improve responsible practices.

4. **Circular Economy Principles:** Embracing circular economy principles promotes sustainability by minimizing waste and maximizing the use of resources. Companies like Dell have implemented take-back programs where old products are recycled to create new ones. This not only reduces environmental impact but also demonstrates a commitment to responsible practices by ensuring the responsible disposal of products at the end of their life cycle.

Case Studies: Companies Excelling in Responsible Supply Chain Optimization:

1. **Everlane's Transparent and Ethical Supply Chain:** Everlane, a pioneer in transparent supply chain practices, has set a high standard for responsible sourcing. The company provides detailed information on its website about the factories it partners with, including labor conditions, environmental impact, and the true cost of production. This transparency allows consumers to make informed choices and encourages responsible practices throughout Everlane's supply chain.

(Reference: Everlane. "Our Factories." https://www.everlane.com/our-factories)

2. **Unilever's Sustainable Living Plan:** Unilever's Sustainable Living Plan exemplifies a holistic approach to responsible supply chain management. The company engages with suppliers to enhance sustainability practices, commits to sourcing raw materials responsibly, and focuses on reducing environmental impact. Unilever's efforts showcase how a comprehensive strategy, encompassing every stage of the supply chain, can lead to significant improvements in social and environmental standards.

(Reference: Unilever. "Sustainable Sourcing." https://www.unilever.com/sustainable-living/reducing-environmental-impact/sustainable-sourcing/)

3. **Apple Inc.'s Responsible Supply Chain Practices:** Apple Inc. has implemented stringent measures to ensure responsible practices in its supply chain. The company conducts regular audits of its suppliers, assessing labor conditions, environmental impact, and adherence to ethical standards. Through initiatives like the Supplier Responsibility Program, Apple addresses any identified issues, emphasizing the importance of responsible sourcing in the electronics industry.

(Reference: Apple Inc. "Supplier Responsibility." https://www.apple.com/supplier-responsibility/)

4. **Dell's Circular Economy Initiatives:** Dell has embraced circular economy principles to optimize its supply chain for sustainability. The company operates a closed-loop recycling process, where materials from old products are repurposed to create new ones. Dell's commitment to responsible disposal and recycling not only minimizes waste but also sets a benchmark for circular economy practices in the technology industry.

(Reference: Dell. "Closed-Loop Recycling." https://corporate.delltechnologies.com/en-us/social-impact/closed-loop-recycling.htm)

CONCLUSION

Supply chain optimization for responsible practices is no longer an option but a strategic imperative for companies committed to social and environmental stewardship. By implementing transparent and traceable supply chains, collaborating with suppliers, conducting risk assessments, and embracing circular economy principles, organizations can create a positive impact throughout their supply chains. The case studies of Everlane, Unilever, Apple Inc., and Dell serve as beacons, illustrating how responsible supply chain optimization is not just an aspiration but a tangible reality achievable through commitment, collaboration, and innovation. As more companies embrace these strategies, the collective impact on global supply chains has the potential to reshape industries and drive sustainable practices for the benefit of people and the planet.

Title: Integrated CSR into Business Strategy: A Comprehensive Guide with Case Studies

INTRODUCTION

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has evolved from a peripheral aspect of business to a strategic imperative. This article provides practical insights into seamlessly integrating CSR into core business strategies and highlights companies that have effectively aligned CSR goals with overall business objectives for sustained impact. By exploring the strategies employed by these companies, we aim to provide a comprehensive guide for organizations seeking to embed CSR into their DNA.

Understanding the Integration of CSR and Business Strategy:

1. **Strategic Alignment:** Successful integration of CSR into business strategy begins with aligning CSR goals with the overall mission and vision of the company. This alignment ensures that CSR initiatives contribute meaningfully to the organization's purpose, fostering a sense of coherence and purpose across all levels.

2. **Incorporating CSR in Decision-Making:** To truly integrate CSR, organizations must incorporate CSR considerations into their decision-making processes. This involves evaluating the social and environmental impact of business decisions alongside financial considerations. Companies that embed CSR into decision-making demonstrate a commitment to responsible practices.

3. **Measurable Objectives and Key Performance Indicators (KPIs):** Setting measurable objectives and KPIs is essential for tracking the impact of CSR initiatives. Companies should define specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time-bound (SMART) goals to gauge the success of their CSR integration efforts. This data-driven approach allows organizations to assess the tangible outcomes of CSR on their overall performance.

Strategies for Seamless Integration of CSR into Business Strategy:

1. **Leadership Commitment:** The commitment of top leadership is paramount for successful integration. Leaders must champion CSR initiatives, emphasizing their strategic importance. Studies like that of Aguilera et al. (2007) highlight the positive correlation between leadership commitment and the effectiveness of CSR integration.

(Reference: Aguilera, R. V., Rupp, D. E., Williams, C. A., & Ganapathi, J. (2007). "Putting the S Back in Corporate Social Responsibility: A Multilevel Theory of Social Change in Organizations." Academy of Management Review, 32(3), 836–863.)

2. **Stakeholder Engagement:** Engaging stakeholders is integral to the successful integration of CSR. By involving diverse stakeholders in the decision-making process, organizations gain valuable insights, enhance relationships, and ensure that CSR initiatives are aligned with societal needs. This approach is exemplified by Starbucks, as detailed in the case study later.

3. **Integrated Reporting:** Adopting integrated reporting practices combines financial and non-financial metrics in a comprehensive overview of the organization's performance. This approach, supported by the International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC), provides stakeholders with a holistic understanding of the company's value creation, encompassing both financial and CSR perspectives.

(Reference: International Integrated Reporting Council. "Integrated Reporting." https://integratedreporting.org/)

4. **Employee Involvement:** Employees are crucial stakeholders in the CSR integration process. Organizations should foster a culture of responsibility by involving employees in CSR initiatives, encouraging their input, and recognizing their contributions. Engaged employees become advocates for CSR both within and outside the organization.

Case Studies: Companies Excelling in Integrating CSR into Business Strategy:

1. **Starbucks Corporation:** Starbucks has successfully integrated CSR into its business strategy through a holistic approach. The company's commitment to ethical sourcing, environmental sustainability, and community engagement aligns with its overall business goals. Starbucks emphasizes stakeholder engagement, collaborating with coffee farmers through its C.A.F.E. (Coffee and Farmer Equity) Practices. This strategic integration not only enhances Starbucks' brand but also ensures the long-term sustainability of its supply chain.

(Reference: Starbucks. "Global Responsibility Report." https://www.starbucks.com/responsibility/global-report)

2. **Unilever:** Unilever's Sustainable Living Plan exemplifies the seamless integration of CSR into business strategy. The company's commitment to sustainable sourcing, reducing environmental impact, and improving social well-being aligns with its overarching business objectives. Unilever's comprehensive approach involves engaging suppliers, reducing the environmental footprint, and promoting social development, showcasing how CSR can be a driver of innovation and growth.

(Reference: Unilever. "Sustainable Living." https://www.unilever.com/sustainable-living/)

3. **IKEA:** IKEA's People and Planet Positive Strategy is a testament to the integrated approach to CSR. The strategy outlines ambitious goals, including using sustainable materials, promoting renewable energy, and supporting fair labor practices. By embedding these goals into its business model, IKEA not only addresses societal and environmental challenges but also strengthens its brand and customer loyalty.

(Reference: IKEA. "People and Planet Positive." https://www.ikea.com/us/en/about-us/people-and-planet/)

Challenges and Overcoming Them:

1. **Short-Term vs. Long-Term Objectives:** Balancing short-term financial objectives with long-term CSR goals can be challenging. To overcome this, organizations must emphasize the long-term benefits of CSR integration, such as enhanced brand reputation, customer loyalty, and resilience in the face of societal and environmental changes.

2. **Cultural Shift and Employee Resistance:** Implementing CSR integration may face resistance from employees accustomed to traditional business models. Leadership must communicate the strategic importance of CSR, provide training, and foster a culture that values responsible practices. Employee involvement and recognition play a crucial role in overcoming resistance.

CONCLUSION

Seamlessly integrating CSR into business strategy is a multifaceted journey that requires commitment, leadership, and a comprehensive approach. The case studies of Starbucks, Unilever, and IKEA illustrate that successful integration goes beyond mere philanthropy; it becomes a strategic imperative that contributes to long-term business success. By aligning CSR goals with overall business objectives, organizations can create a positive impact on society, the environment, and their bottom line. As the global business landscape evolves, the integration of CSR into business strategy is not just an option but a transformative journey that shapes the future

Title: Measuring ROI on CSR: Demonstrating Business Value to Stakeholders

As Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) becomes an integral aspect of business strategy, organizations face the challenge of quantifying the Return on Investment (ROI) on their CSR initiatives. This scholarly content explores various methodologies for measuring the ROI on CSR and discusses how organizations can effectively communicate the business value of their CSR efforts to stakeholders. Through examples and references, this article aims to provide insights into the diverse ways in which ROI on CSR can be assessed, allowing organizations to enhance transparency and accountability in their sustainability endeavors.

INTRODUCTION

The growing emphasis on CSR has prompted organizations to seek ways to measure the tangible outcomes and business value generated by their sustainability initiatives. While the intrinsic benefits of CSR are clear, quantifying the Return on Investment (ROI) is essential for demonstrating accountability to stakeholders. This content delves into methodologies for measuring ROI on CSR and how organizations can effectively communicate the business value of their CSR efforts.

1. **Financial Metrics and Cost-Benefit Analysis (Reference 1):**
One traditional approach to measuring ROI on CSR involves financial metrics and cost-benefit analysis. Organizations can assess the financial impact of their CSR initiatives by comparing the costs of implementation against the tangible benefits achieved. For example, if a company invests in energy-efficient technologies, it can calculate the savings in energy costs over time and weigh them against the initial investment. Financial metrics, such as Return on Investment (ROI), Net Present Value (NPV), and Internal Rate of Return (IRR), provide quantifiable measures of the economic benefits derived from CSR investments.

2. **Social Impact Metrics and Stakeholder Engagement (Reference 2):**
Beyond financial metrics, organizations must consider the social impact of their CSR initiatives. Measuring the positive outcomes on communities, employees, and other stakeholders is crucial. Social impact metrics can include the number of jobs created, improvements in employee well-being, or the enhancement of community resources. For instance, a company engaged in

educational CSR initiatives can measure the impact by tracking improvements in literacy rates or the number of students benefiting from scholarships. Stakeholder engagement surveys and feedback mechanisms also play a vital role in understanding the qualitative aspects of CSR impact, contributing to a more comprehensive evaluation.

3. **Brand Reputation and Customer Loyalty (Reference 3):**
CSR initiatives can significantly influence brand reputation and customer loyalty. Organizations can measure the ROI on CSR by evaluating changes in brand perception, customer satisfaction, and loyalty. For example, a company investing in sustainable sourcing practices can assess the impact on consumer preferences through market research and customer surveys. Positive shifts in brand reputation and increased customer loyalty contribute to the long-term sustainability of the business, reinforcing the importance of CSR as a strategic investment rather than a mere cost.

4. **Risk Mitigation and Resilience (Reference 4):**
Another dimension of measuring ROI on CSR involves assessing risk mitigation and organizational resilience. CSR initiatives that address environmental, social, and governance (ESG) issues can contribute to minimizing risks associated with regulatory compliance, reputation damage, and supply chain disruptions. For example, a company implementing ethical labor practices can reduce the likelihood of legal and reputational risks related to labor disputes. Organizations can quantify the value of risk mitigation by comparing potential losses before and after the implementation of CSR measures.

5. **Intangible Benefits and Triple Bottom Line (Reference 5):**
The Triple Bottom Line (TBL) framework, encompassing economic, social, and environmental dimensions, offers a holistic approach to measuring the ROI on CSR. While some benefits may be intangible and challenging to quantify directly, the TBL framework allows organizations to assess their impact comprehensively. For instance, a company committed to reducing its carbon footprint can measure the environmental impact alongside the economic benefits of energy savings. Intangible benefits such as enhanced employee morale, innovation, and long-term business resilience contribute to the overall value of CSR initiatives.

CONCLUSION

Measuring the ROI on CSR is a multifaceted challenge that requires organizations to adopt a comprehensive approach, considering financial, social, and environmental dimensions. Financial metrics, social impact measurements, brand reputation, risk mitigation, and the Triple Bottom Line framework provide diverse perspectives for evaluating the business value of CSR efforts. Transparent reporting and effective communication of CSR outcomes are essential for building trust with stakeholders. As organizations navigate the complex landscape of CSR, integrating these methodologies will contribute to a more nuanced understanding of the holistic impact of sustainability initiatives.

Technology and CSR Solutions: Overcoming Challenges and Enhancing Impact

INTRODUCTION

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has become a cornerstone of contemporary business practices, reflecting a commitment to addressing social and environmental issues. In this context, technology plays a pivotal role in facilitating and enhancing CSR initiatives. This scholarly content explores the role of technology in overcoming CSR challenges and showcases how organizations leverage technological advancements to address social and environmental issues, thereby amplifying the impact of their CSR initiatives.

I. Technology as a Catalyst for CSR:

A. **Automation and Efficiency:** One key challenge in CSR implementation is the efficient allocation of resources. Technology, through automation and data analytics, allows organizations to streamline their CSR processes, ensuring optimal resource utilization. For instance, advanced project management tools and artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms enable companies to identify and prioritize social and environmental issues, ensuring that their CSR efforts are directed toward areas where they can make the most significant impact (Smith, 2020).

B. **Transparency and Accountability:** Technology has significantly improved the transparency and accountability of CSR initiatives. Blockchain, for instance, provides a decentralized and tamper-proof ledger, allowing stakeholders to trace the entire supply chain and verify the authenticity of CSR-related claims (Wagner et al., 2019). This not only builds trust among consumers but also holds organizations accountable for their social and environmental commitments.

II. Leveraging Technological Advancements for Social Impact:

A. **Digital Inclusion and Accessibility:** In today's interconnected world, digital inclusion is a critical component of CSR initiatives. Organizations are leveraging technology to bridge the digital divide and ensure that marginalized communities have access to essential services and opportunities. Initiatives such as providing low-cost smartphones or creating digital literacy programs empower individuals, enabling them to participate more fully in the digital economy (Choudhary et al., 2021).

B. **Sustainable Supply Chain Management:** Technology enables organizations to monitor and manage their supply chains more sustainably. Internet of Things (IoT) devices and sensors allow real-time tracking of goods, ensuring adherence to ethical and environmental standards. This not only helps in reducing the environmental impact but also ensures fair labor practices throughout the supply chain (Mollenkopf et al., 2020).

III. Environmental Impact Mitigation through Technology:

A. **Renewable Energy Integration:** Many organizations are incorporating renewable energy sources into their operations to reduce their carbon footprint. Advanced technologies, such as smart grids and energy-efficient systems, enable companies to optimize energy consumption and transition to more sustainable energy sources (Liang et al., 2018). This not only aligns with environmental goals but also enhances the long-term viability of CSR initiatives.

B**. Climate Data Analytics:** The use of big data and analytics is instrumental in addressing climate-related challenges. By analyzing large datasets, organizations can better understand climate patterns, predict environmental risks, and develop strategies to mitigate the impact of climate change. This proactive approach enhances the effectiveness of CSR initiatives aimed at environmental conservation and climate resilience (Hsu et al., 2019).

CONCLUSION

The integration of technology into CSR initiatives represents a paradigm shift in the way organizations address social and environmental challenges. Automation, transparency, and efficiency are essential elements that technology brings to CSR, enabling companies to navigate complexities and maximize their positive impact. Leveraging technological advancements for social inclusion, sustainable supply chain management, and environmental conservation further exemplifies the transformative potential of technology in the realm of CSR. As technology continues to evolve, organizations must adapt and harness its power to contribute meaningfully to a more sustainable and socially responsible future.

Title: Looking Ahead: Future-proofing CSR Initiatives in a Dynamic Global Landscape

ABSTRACT

As the global business landscape evolves, anticipating future challenges becomes paramount for organizations committed to Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR). This scholarly content explores the imperative of future-proofing CSR initiatives, offering guidance on staying agile, innovative, and socially responsible in an ever-changing environment. By examining emerging trends and leveraging examples and references, this article aims to equip organizations with the insights needed to navigate future challenges and contribute meaningfully to sustainable development.

Anticipating Future Challenges in CSR:

The landscape of CSR is influenced by a confluence of factors, including technological advancements, regulatory changes, shifting consumer expectations, and evolving global priorities. Anticipating future challenges in CSR requires a forward-looking approach that considers these dynamic elements. Key areas to watch for potential challenges include:

1. **Technological Disruptions:**
- The rapid pace of technological innovation can present both opportunities and challenges for CSR. Organizations need to stay ahead of technological disruptions, ensuring that their CSR initiatives leverage technology for positive impact while addressing potential risks, such as job displacement and ethical concerns.

2. **Climate Change and Environmental Sustainability:**
- With the increasing focus on climate change, organizations need to anticipate and adapt to evolving environmental regulations and expectations. Future-proofing CSR initiatives requires a commitment to sustainable practices, circular economy models, and resilience against the impacts of climate change.

3. **Social Justice and Inclusivity:**
- Social justice issues, including diversity, equity, and inclusion, are gaining prominence. Future-proofing CSR involves proactively addressing these issues within organizational structures and initiatives, reflecting a commitment to social responsibility beyond compliance.

4. **Global Health and Pandemics:**
- Recent global health crises underscore the need for organizations to consider the potential impact of pandemics on their CSR efforts. Future-proofing involves building resilience to unforeseen health challenges and contributing to global health initiatives.

Guidance on Future-proofing CSR Initiatives:

1. Agility and Flexibility:
- Future-proofing CSR requires organizational agility. Embrace a flexible approach that allows for quick adaptation to changing circumstances. For example, during the COVID-19 pandemic, some companies shifted production to create essential medical supplies, showcasing the importance of agility in times of crisis.

2. Innovation and Technology Integration:
- Stay at the forefront of innovation by integrating technology into CSR initiatives. For instance, using blockchain technology for supply chain transparency or leveraging artificial intelligence for data-driven decision-making can enhance the impact and effectiveness of CSR programs.

3. Scenario Planning and Risk Management:

- Anticipate future challenges through scenario planning and comprehensive risk management. Consider various potential scenarios, assess their impact on CSR initiatives, and develop strategies to mitigate risks. This proactive approach prepares organizations to navigate uncertainties.

4. Global Collaboration and Partnerships:

- Future-proofing CSR involves fostering global collaboration and partnerships. Engage with international organizations, NGOs, and other stakeholders to address global challenges collectively. Collaborative initiatives like the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) provide a framework for global cooperation.

5. Continuous Stakeholder Engagement:

- Stay attuned to the evolving expectations of stakeholders through continuous engagement. Understanding the needs and concerns of customers, employees, investors, and communities ensures that CSR initiatives remain relevant and aligned with societal expectations.

6. Integration of Sustainable Practices:

- Embed sustainable practices into the core business model. Future-proofing CSR requires organizations to move beyond mere compliance to establish sustainability as a fundamental aspect of their operations. Companies like Interface, a flooring manufacturer, exemplify how integrating sustainability into the business model can drive long-term success.

Example: Interface's Mission Zero Initiative (Reference 1):

Interface, a global flooring manufacturer, embarked on its Mission Zero initiative with the vision of becoming a restorative enterprise by 2020. This ambitious commitment aimed at eliminating any negative environmental impact from the company's operations. Through innovative practices such as closed-loop recycling and the use of renewable energy, Interface significantly reduced its environmental footprint.

The success of Interface's Mission Zero initiative lies in its proactive approach to future-proofing CSR. By integrating sustainable practices into its core business model, Interface not only achieved its environmental goals but also enhanced its brand reputation and market competitiveness. The initiative serves as an inspiring example of how organizations can future-proof their CSR efforts through innovation and a commitment to sustainability.

CONCLUSION

As organizations embark on the journey of future-proofing CSR initiatives, the convergence of societal, environmental, and economic challenges necessitates a strategic and holistic approach. Agility, innovation, scenario planning, global collaboration, stakeholder engagement, and sustainable practices are integral components of a future-ready CSR strategy.

The example of Interface's Mission Zero initiative illustrates that future-proofing CSR is not just about mitigating risks but also about creating opportunities for positive impact and long-term success. By embracing a proactive and forward-looking mindset, organizations can navigate the complexities of the dynamic global landscape, contributing to a sustainable future for all.

REFERENCES

1. Carroll, A. B. (1999). Corporate social responsibility: Evolution of a definitional construct. Business & Society, 38(3), 268-295.

2. Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2011). Creating shared value. Harvard Business Review, 89(1/2), 62–77.

3. Freeman, R. E., & Velamuri, S. R. (2006). A new approach to CSR: Company stakeholder responsibility. In L. Zsolnai (Ed.), Interdisciplinary yearbook of business ethics (pp. 199-215). Peter Lang.

4. Elkington, J. (1997). Cannibals with Forks: The Triple Bottom Line of 21st Century Business. Capstone Publishing.

5. Waddock, S. A., & Bodwell, C. (2004). Managing responsibility: What can be learned from the quality movement? California Management Review, 47(1), 25–37.

6. Austin, J. E., & Seitanidi, M. M. (2012). Collaborative value creation: A review of partnering between nonprofits and businesses. Part I: Value creation spectrum and collaboration stages. Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly, 41(5), 726-758.

7. Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2011). Creating shared value. Harvard Business Review, 89(1/2), 62–77.

8. Austin, J., Stevenson, H., & Wei-Skillern, J. (2006). Social and commercial entrepreneurship: Same, different, or both? Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 30(1), 1-22.

9. Mowbray, R. (2005). Collaborative leadership: A tool for developing partnerships. Journal of the American Psychiatric Nurses Association, 11(4), 211-214.

10. London, T., & Hart, S. L. (2004). Reinventing strategies for emerging markets: Beyond the transnational model. Journal of International Business Studies, 35(5), 350-370.

11. Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2011). Creating shared value. Harvard Business Review, 89(1/2), 62–77.

12. Elkington, J. (1997). Cannibals with Forks: The Triple Bottom Line of 21st Century Business. Capstone Publishing.

13. Austin, J. E., Stevenson, H., & Wei-Skillern, J. (2006). Social and commercial entrepreneurship: Same, different, or both? Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 30(1), 1-22.

14. Schaltegger, S., & Burritt, R. (2006). Corporate sustainability. Social Responsibility Journal, 2(2), 130-149.

15. Hart, S. L., & Milstein, M. B. (2003). Creating sustainable value. Academy of Management Executive, 17(2), 56-67.

16. Chouinard, Y., & Stanley, V. (2006). Let my people go surfing: The education of a reluctant businessman. Penguin.

17. Carroll, A. B. (1999). Corporate social responsibility: Evolution of a definitional construct. Business & Society, 38(3), 268-295.

18. Waldman, D. A., & Galvin, B. M. (2008). Alternative perspectives of the CEO effect on the firm's strategic direction. Strategic Management Journal, 29(6), 635-648.

19. Aguilera, R. V., Rupp, D. E., Williams, C. A., & Ganapathi, J. (2007). Putting the S back in corporate social responsibility: A multilevel theory of social change in organizations. Academy of Management Review, 32(3), 836-863.

20. Waldman, D. A., Siegel, D. S., & Javidan, M. (2006). Components of CEO transformational leadership and corporate social responsibility. Journal of Management Studies, 43(8), 1703-1725.

21. Carroll, A. B., & Shabana, K. M. (2010). The business case for corporate social responsibility: A review of concepts, research, and practice. International Journal of Management Reviews, 12(1), 85-105.

22. Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2011). Creating shared value. Harvard Business Review, 89(1/2), 62-77.

23. Bhattacharya, C. B., Sen, S., & Korschun, D. (2008). Using corporate social responsibility to win the war for talent. MIT Sloan Management Review, 49(2), 37-44.

24. Epstein, M. J., & Roy, M. J. (2003). Making the business case for sustainability: Linking social and environmental actions to financial performance. Journal of Corporate Citizenship, 9, 79-96.

25. Elkington, J. (1997). Cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st-century business. Capstone.

26. Smith, J. (2020). The Role of Technology in CSR: A Comprehensive Review. Journal of Corporate Responsibility and Leadership, 2(1), 45-62.

27. Wagner, L., et al. (2019). Blockchain Technology for Enhancing CSR Transparency: A Case Study of the Food Industry. Journal of Sustainable Business, 7(3), 112-128.

28. Choudhary, A., et al. (2021). Digital Inclusion: A Critical Component of Corporate Social Responsibility. International Journal of Business Ethics, 5(2), 78-94.

29. Mollenkopf, D., et al. (2020). The Role of Technology in Sustainable Supply Chain Management. Journal of Business Ethics, 15(4), 367-382.

30. Liang, H., et al. (2018). Integrating Renewable Energy Sources: A Technological Perspective. Sustainable Development Journal, 12(1), 56-72.

31. Hsu, C., et al. (2019). Climate Data Analytics for Enhanced CSR Strategies. Environmental Management, 25(3), 210-225.

32. Interface. (2022). Mission Zero. https://www.interface.com/US/en-US/sustainability/mission-zero

33. Deloitte. (2022). The Future of Risk in the Era of COVID-19. https://www2.deloitte.com/us/en/insights/economy/covid-19/future-of-risk-management.html

34. World Economic Forum. (2022). The Global Risks Report 2022. http://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Global_Risks_Report_2022.pdf

35. United Nations Global Compact. (2022). Business Ambition for 1.5°C: Our Only Future. https://www.unglobalcompact.org/library/6011

36. McKinsey & Company. (2022). Sustainability's strategic worth: Global survey results. https://www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/sustainability/our-insights/sustainabilitys-strategic-worth-global-survey-results

ABOUT THE EDITORS

Dr. Mohsin Shaikh

Associate Professor

School of Business, Dr. Vishwanath Karad MIT World Peace University, Pune, India

Dr. Tasya Aspiranti

Vice Dean for Academic Affair of Faculty of Economics and Business Unisba

Dr. Ika Nurul Qamri

Associate Professor

Postgraduate Program and Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta

ABOUT THE BOOK

The book is the collection of research papers on wide range of topics related with various aspects of Corporate Social Responsibility and covers topics like Corporate Social Responsibility Projects, Challenges, CSR Impact and sustainability. The book will be of use to the faculty members, students and researchers in the field of business management and sustainable development. It will also be useful to professionals and practitioner's working in the CSR and sustainability sectors.