Secrecy Outage of SWIPT in the Presence of Cooperating Eavesdroppers

Furqan Jameel^a, Shurjeel Wyne^{a,*}

^aDept. of Electrical Engineering, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan

Abstract

This work investigates the secrecy performance for a simultaneous wireless information and power transfer system that operates in the presence of cooperating eavesdroppers. The multiantenna access point's transmission is used for information-decoding by a multi-antenna node and for energy-harvesting (EH) by multiple single-antenna nodes. However, some of the nodes authorized for EH only attempt to eavesdrop on the ongoing information transmission by utilizing a generalized on-off power splitting architecture. We derive a closed-form expression for the secrecy outage probability of the considered Multiple-Input-Multiple-Output Multiple-Eavesdroppers system. Theoretical and simulation results are provided to validate the derived results.

Keywords: On-off power splitting, Secrecy outage probability, Energy harvesting

1. Introduction

Recently, the development of smart receivers to harvest energy from radio frequency (RF) signals has drawn significant research interest. The dual role of RF signals to transfer information and energy has led to the emergence of the concept of simultaneous wireless information and power transfer (SWIPT). The tradeoff between achievable rate and harvested energy for a SWIPT system with Amplify-and-Forward (AF) relays was evaluated in [1]; Nguyen et al. proposed two protocols based on a power-splitting technique for delay tolerant and delay limited networks. The outage performance of dual-hop cooperative networks was also investigated by [2]. However, ensuring link security in SWIPT systems is a challenging problem [3] and exploiting the wireless channel characteristics has emerged as an effective means to attain message confidentiality in so called Physical Layer Security systems [3]. In [4], Dai et al. consider the classical Wyner's wiretap channel model and investigate the significance of using feedback of channel state information (CSI) from the receiver to enhance message secrecy. Network

¹⁰

^{*}Corresponding author.

Email address: shurjeel.wyne@comsats.edu.pk (Shurjeel Wyne)

coding was studied in [5] to secure the messages from an eavesdropper, without reducing the

15

20

throughput. Secrecy performance of Decode and Forward (DF) relaying under Rayleigh fading was investigated in [6] and a best-node selection scheme was proposed. The achievable secrecy rate for SWIPT was studied in [7] for Multiple-Input-Single-Output (MISO) systems in the presence of multiple eavesdroppers. Zhang et al. in [8] studied the achievable secrecy rate of an energy-harvesting (EH) orthogonal frequency division multiplexing system. All sub-carriers were allocated the same power with power splitting (PS) utilized to coordinate information decoding (ID) and EH.

The aforementioned studies are limited in that they assume the eavesdroppers to operate independently. However, in practical scenarios the multiple eavesdroppers, if present, may cooperate with one another to decode the message [3]. Despite this, SWIPT performance in the presence of multiple cooperating, i.e., information combining and exchanging, eavesdroppers 25 has only recently been investigated [9, 10]; those works consider eavesdroppers that apply joint signal processing techniques to decode the confidential message. Such type of signal processing requires the eavesdroppers to be equipped with sophisticated hardware and accurate time synchronization, which may not be possible with energy limited wireless devices. The novel contribution of this work is the derivation of a closed-form expression for the secrecy outage 30 probability of a transmit-antenna-selection (TAS) SWIPT system that operates in the presence of cooperating eavesdroppers, which employ on-off power splitting (OPS). Our work differs from [9, 10] in that we consider cooperative scheduling among the eavesdroppers such that the eavesdropper with highest instantaneous signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) is scheduled to decode the confidential message. Also, in contrast to [9, 10], our analysis treats the generic $\kappa - \mu$ fading 35 model on the links [11]; this fading model contains other conventionally used channel models as special cases. For example, the $\kappa - \mu$ model resolves to Rayleigh fading for $\mu = 1$ and $\kappa \to 0$, the Rician fading model is obtained for $\mu = 1$ and $\kappa > 0$, whereas the Nakagami-*m* fading model is obtained for $\mu > 0$ and $\kappa \to 0$ [11]. Furthermore, while most published works utilize either time switching (TS) [12] or PS [9, 12] to incorporate EH and ID simultaneously at the receiver 40

(RX), our analysis is based on the more generalized OPS architecture, which contains TS and PS as special cases [12].



Figure 1: System Model.

2. System Model

We consider the downlink of a SWIPT system comprising an L-antenna access point (AP) transmitting to an ID-only M-antenna node S and multiple ID and EH single-antenna nodes 45 as shown in Fig. 1. Among the nodes admitted into the network for EH only, a set of Nnodes, $E = \{E_i | i = 1, ...N\}$, attempt to cooperatively eavesdrop on the ongoing information transmission. During a single scheduling slot, the AP selects one out of L antennas to convey information to S and transfer energy to E. The TAS scheme at AP is used to maximize achievable secrecy rate on the main link between AP and S; it leverages spatial selectivity of the 50 channel through L diversity paths while simultaneously reducing hardware costs by employing a single RF chain at AP. The AP is assumed to have CSI for main channel to S as well as CSI of wiretap channels from AP to the eavesdroppers [9, 10]. The N eavesdroppers cooperate among themselves to exchange and combine information for decoding the intercepted message. For this purpose the eavesdroppers are assumed to be equipped with an OPS architecture that allows 55 them to simultaneously incorporate EH and ID reception [12]. According to the OPS scheme, for the first αK symbols transmitted in downlink, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, the RF power is used only for EH and the ID receiver remains off during this period. For the remaining $(1 - \alpha) K$ symbols, the RF signal is power-split into two streams where one stream with power ratio $0 \le \rho \le 1$ is used for ID and the other stream with power ratio $(1 - \rho)$ is used for EH. With this arrangement, the 60 OPS scheme contains the TS and PS schemes as special cases for $\rho = 1$ and $\alpha = 0$, respectively. Let the AP transmits to S with average power P using its l-th antenna; then the signal received at S with fading and pathloss effects included is written as

$$\mathbf{y}_{s}^{l} = \sqrt{\frac{P}{PL_{s}}} \mathbf{h}_{s}^{l} x + \mathbf{n}_{s},\tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{h}_{s}^{l} = [h_{s_{1}}^{l}, h_{s_{2}}^{l}, \dots, h_{s_{M}}^{l}]^{T}$ represents the vector channel between the AP and S. Furthermore, $\mathbf{n}_{s} = [n_{s_{1}}, n_{s_{2}}, \dots, n_{s_{M}}]^{T}$ represents the zero-mean additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) with variance N_{0} at S due to the receiver electronics. Furthermore, $PL_{s} = \left(\frac{4\pi}{G_{t}G_{r}\lambda}\right)^{2} d^{\beta}$ is the distance-dependent path loss of the main link [3], where d is the distance between transmitter (TX) and RX and β is the pathloss exponent, G_{t} and G_{r} are TX and RX antenna gains and λ is the carrier wavelength. Since the AP transmission is broadcast, the signal received at *i*-th eavesdropper is written as [12]

$$y_{ie}^{l} = \sqrt{\rho_i} \left(\sqrt{\frac{P}{PL_{ie}}} h_{ie}^{l} x + n_{ie} \right) + z_i, \tag{2}$$

where PL_{ie} is the pathloss and h_{ie}^{l} represents the fading channel coefficient between *l*-th antenna on AP and *i*-th eavesdropper. Furthermore, n_{ie} represents the zero-mean AWGN with variance N_{0} at *i*-th eavesdropper and z_{i} is the signal processing noise at *i*-th eavesdropper due to its decoder's rectifier, which is also modeled as AWGN with zero-mean and variance $\sigma_{i}^{2} = \sigma^{2}$ for all eavesdroppers since they are assumed to use the same type of rectification circuit. Finally, for tractable analysis we consider $\rho_{i} = \rho$ and $PL_{ie} = PL_{e}, \forall i \in N$. The node *S* employs maximum ratio combining (MRC) of the independent $\kappa - \mu$ fading *M* branches such that the signal at combiner output is written as $\hat{x} = \frac{\mathbf{h}_{s}^{l} H \mathbf{y}_{s}^{l}}{\|\mathbf{h}_{s}^{l}\|}$ with corresponding instantaneous SNR given by $\gamma_{s}^{l} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{M} |h_{sj}^{l}|^{2} P}{PL_{s} N_{0}}$. Then γ_{s}^{l} has a $\kappa - \mu$ probability density function (PDF) written as [11]

$$f_{\gamma_{s}^{l}}(\gamma_{s}^{l}) = \frac{M\mu_{s}(1+\kappa_{s})^{\frac{M\mu_{s}+1}{2}}(\gamma_{s}^{l})^{\left(\frac{M\mu_{s}-1}{2}\right)}}{\kappa_{s}^{\left(\frac{M\mu_{s}-1}{2}\right)}e^{\left(M\mu_{s}\kappa_{s}\right)}\left(M\frac{\bar{\gamma}_{s}^{l}}{PL_{s}}\right)^{\left(\frac{M\mu_{s}+1}{2}\right)}}e^{\left(-\frac{\mu_{s}(1+\kappa_{s})\gamma_{s}^{l}}{\bar{\gamma}_{s}^{l}}\right)}I_{\mu_{s}-1}\left[2\mu_{s}\sqrt{\frac{M\kappa_{s}(1+\kappa_{s})\gamma_{s}^{l}}{\frac{\bar{\gamma}_{s}^{l}}{PL_{s}}}}\right],$$
(3)

65

where $\overline{\gamma}_s^l$ represents the average SNR at combiner output and $I_v(.)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind [13, Eq.(8.406)]. It may be mentioned here that in the $\kappa - \mu$ fading model, μ represents the number of multipath clusters and κ represents the ratio between the total power of dominant component and scattered waves in each cluster [11].

Similarly the instantaneous SNR at the *i*-th eavesdropper given as $\gamma_{ie}^l = \frac{\rho |h_{ie}^l|^2 P}{PL_e N_0 \left(\rho + \frac{\sigma^2}{N_0}\right)}$ has

a $\kappa - \mu$ distributed PDF given as [11]

$$f_{\gamma_{ie}^{l}}(\gamma_{ie}^{l}) = \frac{\mu_{ie}(1+\kappa_{ie})^{\frac{\mu_{ie}+1}{2}}(\gamma_{ie}^{l})^{\left(\frac{\mu_{ie}-1}{2}\right)}}{\kappa_{ie}^{\left(\frac{\mu_{ie}-1}{2}\right)}e^{(\mu_{ie}\kappa_{ie})}(\omega\bar{\gamma}_{ie}^{l})^{\left(\frac{\mu_{ie}+1}{2}\right)}}e^{\left(-\frac{\mu_{ie}(1+\kappa_{ie})\gamma_{ie}^{l}}{\omega\bar{\gamma}_{ie}^{l}}\right)}I_{\mu_{ie}-1}\left[2\mu_{ie}\sqrt{\frac{\kappa_{ie}(1+\kappa_{ie})\gamma_{ie}^{l}}{\omega\bar{\gamma}_{ie}^{l}}}\right]$$
(4)

where $\omega = \frac{\rho}{PL_e(\rho + \frac{\sigma^2}{N_0})}$. In each scheduling slot the cooperating eavesdroppers share their instantaneous SNR values so that the node with the highest instantaneous SNR is chosen to decode the source's message. In this case, the achievable rate for the wiretap link is determined by the maximum instantaneous SNR among N nodes, which can be written as $C_e^l =$ $(1 - \alpha) \log_2 (1 + \max_{i \in N} \gamma_{ie}^l)$. Expressing the main link's achievable rate as $C_s^l = \log_2 (1 + \gamma_s^l)$, the achievable secrecy rate is defined as the non-negative difference between these two rates and is expressed as $C_{sec,l} = \max [C_s^l - C_e^l, 0]$.

75 3. Outage Probability Analysis

70

The outage event occurs when $C_{sec} \triangleq \max_{l=1,2,\dots,L} C_{sec,l}$ falls below some target rate $R_s > 0$. The probability of this event is given as

$$P_{out} = \Pr\left[C_{sec} < R_s\right] = \Pr\left[\max_{l=1,2,\dots,L} C_{sec,l} < R_s\right] = \prod_{l=1}^L P_{out,l},\tag{5}$$

where $\max_{l=1,2,...,L} C_{sec,l}$ is due to TAS at the AP, $P_{out,l} \triangleq \Pr[C_{sec,l} < R_s]$, and i.i.d. fading on L branches is used to obtain (5). Let coverage probability at *l*-th antenna, $P_{cov,l} \triangleq 1 - P_{out,l}$ is written as

$$P_{cov,l} = \Pr\left[\log_2\left(\frac{1+\gamma_s^l}{\left(1+\max_{i\in N}\gamma_{ie}^l\right)^{(1-\alpha)}}\right) > R_s\right] = \prod_{i=1}^N P_{eve,i}^l,\tag{6}$$

where $P_{eve,i}^{l} = \Pr\left[\gamma_{ie}^{l} < \left(\frac{1+\gamma_{s}^{l}}{2^{R_{s}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} - 1\right] = \int_{2^{R_{s}}-1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\gamma_{th}} f_{\gamma_{s}^{l},\gamma_{ie}^{l}}\left(\gamma_{s}^{l},\gamma_{ie}^{l}\right) d\gamma_{ie}^{l} d\gamma_{s}^{l} \text{ and } \gamma_{th} = \left(\frac{1+\gamma_{s}^{l}}{2^{R_{s}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} - 1$. Now by exploiting the independence of $\gamma_{s}^{l}, \gamma_{ie}^{l}$ we get

$$P_{eve,i}^{l} = \int_{2^{R_s}-1}^{\infty} F_{\gamma_{ie}^{l}} \left[\left(\frac{1+\gamma_s^{l}}{2^{R_s}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} - 1 \right] f_{\gamma_s^{l}} \left(\gamma_s^{l} \right) d\gamma_s^{l}, \tag{7}$$

where $F_{\gamma_{ie}^{l}}[\gamma] \triangleq 1 - Q_{\mu_{ie}}\left[\sqrt{2\kappa_{ie}\mu_{ie}}, \sqrt{\frac{2(\kappa_{ie}+1)\mu_{ie}\gamma}{\bar{\gamma}_{ie}^{l}}}\right]$ is the cumulative distribution function of the $\kappa - \mu$ distributed γ_{ie}^{l} [11] and $Q_{v}(a, b)$ is the well-known Marcum-Q function. Now plugging $f_{\gamma_{s}^{l}}(\gamma_{s}^{l})$ from (3) into (7) and using [13, Eq.(3.381)] we obtain after some manipulations the



Figure 2: Secrecy Outage Probability against $\bar{\gamma}_{S}^{l}$.

expression

$$P_{eve,i}^{l} = \frac{M}{\mathrm{e}^{(\mu_{ie}\kappa_{ie}+M\mu_{s}\kappa_{s})}} \times \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu_{ie}\kappa_{ie})^{t}}{t!\Gamma(\mu_{ie}+t)} \times \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu_{s})^{2v+\mu_{s}}}{v!\Gamma(\mu_{s}+v)} \times \frac{(\Psi_{s})^{\Phi_{2}}}{\kappa_{s}^{\Phi_{1}}M^{\Phi_{2}}} \times \int_{2^{R_{s}}-1}^{\infty} (\gamma_{s}^{l})^{\Phi_{1}} (M\kappa_{s}\Psi_{s}\gamma_{s}^{l})^{\left(\frac{2v+\mu_{s}-1}{2}\right)} \times \gamma(\mu_{ie}+t,\Psi_{e}\mu_{e}\gamma_{th}) \mathrm{e}^{(-\mu_{s}\Psi_{s}\gamma_{s}^{l})} d\gamma_{s}^{l}.$$

$$\tag{8}$$

In (8), $\gamma(.,.)$ is the incomplete Gamma function and $\Gamma(.)$ is the Gamma function; furthermore, $\Psi_s = \frac{1+\kappa_s}{\bar{\gamma}_s^l/PL_s}, \Psi_e = \frac{1+\kappa_e}{\omega \bar{\gamma}_{ie}^l}, \Phi_1 = \frac{M\mu_s-1}{2}$ and $\Phi_2 = \frac{M\mu_s+1}{2}$. Finally, using (8) and (6) in (5) the outage probability at S with TAS employed at the AP is obtained as

$$P_{out} = \prod_{l=1}^{L} \left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^{N} P_{eve,i}^{l} \right].$$
(9)

Apart from the secrecy outage probability, another metric for evaluating secrecy performance is the secrecy throughput defined as the minimum rate guaranteed to be supported by all legitimate users [14]. Recalling the classical Wyner model for the case of non-adaptive on-off transmission, i.e., R_s remains constant during the transmission and is independent of γ_s^l , the secrecy throughput can then be written as [15]

$$\tau = E_{\gamma_s^l} \{ R_s(\gamma_s^l) \} = R_s \mathcal{P}_t, \tag{10}$$



Figure 3: Secrecy outage probability versus N: (a) effect of ρ , (b) effect of α .

where \mathcal{P}_t is the transmission probability which can be obtained by using $C_s^l = \log_2 \left(1 + \gamma_s^l\right)$ and [13, Eq.(3.381)] as

$$\mathcal{P}_{t} = 1 - \prod_{l=1}^{L} \left[\sum_{w=0}^{\infty} \frac{(M\mu_{s}\kappa_{s})^{w}\gamma(M\mu_{s}+w,\Psi_{s}\mu_{s}(2^{R_{s}}-1))}{w!\Gamma(M\mu_{s}+w)\mathrm{e}^{(M\mu_{s}\kappa_{s})}} \right].$$
(11)

4. Results and Discussion

This section provides numerical results based on the analytical derivations of section 3. Unless stated otherwise, the parameter settings used for the analytical and simulation results shown in this section are as follows: $\bar{\gamma}_{S}^{l} = 10 \text{ dB}$, $\bar{\gamma}_{ie}^{l} = \bar{\gamma}_{e}^{l} = 0 \text{ dB}$, $PL_{s} = PL_{e} = 1 \text{ dB}$, $\rho = 0.8$, $\alpha = 0.1$ and $\mu_{s} = \mu_{e} = 1$, $\kappa_{s} = \kappa_{e} = 1 \forall i \in N$. Furthermore, accurate analytical results are achieved by sufficiently considering the first 10 terms of the infinite sum in (8) and (11). Fig. 2 plots the outage probability as a function of $\bar{\gamma}_{S}^{l}$ for different channel models. It can be seen that the outage probability increases with the increase in SNR of the main link. Moreover, we observe that Nakagami-m with its parameter m = 3 outperforms the Rician and Rayleigh fading model which shows that no. of multipath clusters have a significant impact on P_{out} . Additionally, the outage probability increases with the increase in R_{s} . However, we observe that the outage probability increases with the increase in R_{s} . However, we observe that the outage probability increases with the increase in R_{s} . However, we observe that the outage probability increases with the increase in R_{s} . However, we observe that the outage performance gap is more in case of Nakagami-m as compared to Rician and Rayleigh for different values of R_{s} .

90

Fig. 3 shows that the secrecy outage probability increases with increasing N since the cooperative detection ability of eavesdroppers increases with their number. Fig. 3 (a) elaborates on the impact of different values of ρ and M on P_{out} ; it demonstrates that for the same N, an increase in ρ increases P_{out} because larger ρ values indicate that a larger fraction of the received power at eavesdropper is utilized for ID rather than EH. The plot also shows that by increasing



Figure 4: Secrecy outage probability versus L for PS and TS.



Figure 5: Secrecy Throughput against R_s .

 M, P_{out} decreases. Fig. 3 (b) shows that for a particular N, P_{out} decreases with increasing ⁹⁵ α because larger α values correspond to more fractional time at eavesdroppers spent on EH, during which the ID is off.

Fig. 4 shows that P_{out} reduces for increasing number of antennas at AP, for both PS and TS at the eavesdroppers; however, the plot shows that the PS architecture achieves a higher secrecy outage probability than that of TS architecture, which indicates more efficient wiretapping performance for the latter. Moreover, it can also be seen from this figure that an increase in N increases the outage probability for both TS and PS.

Fig. 5 illustrates the impact of increasing R_s on the secrecy throughput; it can be observed from the figure that the secrecy throughput first increases then decreases as R_s is increased. This indicates that there is an optimal value of R_s , which maximizes the secrecy throughput. It can also be observed from the figure that increasing M causes an increase in the secrecy

throughput, which is the result of increased diversity gain obtained by using more antennas at

105

S. Furthermore, the figure shows that for the same M, an increase in average SNR of the main link not only increases the secrecy throughput but also shifts its maximal value towards higher values of R_s .

110 5. Conclusion

We have analyzed physical layer security for the downlink of a SWIPT system in the presence of multiple cooperating eavesdroppers and antenna selection at the AP. A closed-form expression for the secrecy outage probability is derived for $\kappa - \mu$ fading on the links and the impact of power splitting and time-switching parameters of the eavesdroppers on secrecy performance of the considered system have been characterized. Simulation results validate our theoretical analysis.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the EU-funded project ATOM-690750, approved under call H2020-MSCA-RISE-2015.

120 References

115

130

- H.-S. Nguyen, D.-T. Do, M. Voznak, Two-way relaying networks in green communications for 5G: Optimal throughput and tradeoff between relay distance on power splitting-based and time switching-based relaying SWIPT, AEU-International Journal of Electronics and Communications 70 (12) (2016) 1637–1644.
- [2] G. Pan, C. Tang, Outage performance on threshold AF and DF relaying schemes in SWIPT systems, AEU-International Journal of Electronics and Communications 71 (2017) 175–180.
 - [3] X. Chen, D. W. K. Ng, H.-H. Chen, Secrecy wireless information and power transfer: challenges and opportunities, IEEE Wireless Communications 23 (2) (2016) 54–61.
 - [4] B. Dai, A. H. Vinck, Y. Wang, Feedback enhances the security of wiretap channel with states, AEU-International Journal of Electronics and Communications 69 (7) (2015) 1047– 1057.
 - [5] Z. Cao, S. Zhang, X. Ji, L. Zhang, Secure random linear network coding on a wiretap network, AEU-International Journal of Electronics and Communications 69 (1) (2015) 467–472.

- [6] J. Zhang, G. Pan, Secrecy outage analysis with Kth best relay selection in dual-hop inter-135 vehicle communication systems, AEU-International Journal of Electronics and Communications 71 (2017) 139–144.
 - [7] L. Liu, R. Zhang, K.-C. Chua, Secrecy wireless information and power transfer with MISO beamforming, in: 2013 IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM), 2013, pp. 1831–1836.

140

- [8] M. Zhang, Y. Liu, Energy harvesting for physical-layer security in OFDMA networks, IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security 11 (1) (2016) 154–162.
- [9] W. Xu, S. Li, C. H. Lee, Z. Feng, J. Lin, Optimal secure multicast with simultaneous wireless information and power transfer in presence of multiparty eavesdropper collusion, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology 65 (11) (2016) 9123–9137.
- [10] M. R. Khandaker, K. K. Wong, Masked beamforming in the presence of energy harvesting eavesdroppers, IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security 10 (1) (2015) 40-54.
- [11] M. Milisic, M. Hamza, M. Hadzialic, Outage performance of L branch maximal-ratio combiner for generalize $\kappa - \mu$ fading, in: Vehicular Technology Conference, 2008. VTC Spring 150 2008. IEEE, IEEE, 2008, pp. 325-329.
 - [12] X. Zhou, R. Zhang, C. K. Ho, Wireless information and power transfer: Architecture design and rate-energy tradeoff, IEEE Transactions on Communications 61 (11) (2013) 4754–4767.
- [13] I. S. Gradshteyn, I. M. Ryzhik, Table of integrals, series, and products, Academic press, 2014.155
 - [14] Q. Liu, L. Yu, P. Yang, Z. Liu, Secrecy throughput in inhomogeneous wireless networks with nonuniform traffic, International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks.
 - [15] T.-X. Zheng, H.-M. Wang, On secrecy throughput optimization of a DF relay network subjected to slow fading, in: Globecom Workshops (GC Wkshps), 2014, 2014, pp. 1296– 1301.

145

160