

## **Book Chapter-3**

# **A Survey on the Security and the Evolution of Osmotic and Catalytic Computing for 5G Networks**

Gaurav Choudhary<sup>1</sup>, Vishal Sharma<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Information Security Engineering, Soonchunhyang University, Asan-si, South Korea, 31538, E-mail: gauravchoudhary7777@gmail.com, vishal\_sharma2012@hotmail.com

**Abstract.** The 5G networks have the capability to provide high compatibility for the new applications, industries, and business models. These networks can tremendously improve the quality of life by enabling various use cases that require high data-rate, low latency, and continuous connectivity for applications pertaining to eHealth, automatic vehicles, smart cities, smart grid, and the Internet of Things (IoT). However, these applications need secure servicing as well as resource policing for effective network formations. There have been a lot of studies, which emphasized the security aspects of 5G networks while focusing only on the adaptability features of these networks. However, there is a gap in the literature which particularly needs to follow recent computing paradigms as alternative mechanisms for the enhancement of security. To cover this, a detailed description of the security for the 5G networks is presented in this article along with the discussions on the evolution of osmotic and catalytic computing based security modules. The taxonomy on the basis of security requirements is presented, which also includes the comparison of the existing state-of-the-art solutions. This article also provides a security model, “CATMOSIS”, which idealizes the incorporation of security features on the basis of catalytic and osmotic computing in the 5G networks. Finally, various security challenges and open issues are discussed to emphasize the works to follow in this direction of research.

**Keywords.** 5G, Security, Osmotic Computing, Catalytic Computing.

### 3.1. Introduction

The next generation of wireless networks exponentially adopts various emerging technologies to facilitate the service with a high-speed data rate [1]-[5]. The 5G technology is adopted in various applications like Industrial-Internet of Things (IIoT), smart city, and smart grid, to fulfill the user requirements and fasten the speed with low latency and high reliability for remotely accessing the regular services [6]-[8]. The 5G setup aims at exploiting different types of nodes which ensure on-demand as well as reliable connectivity to other devices [9]-[11]. With a tremendous increase in the number of devices, the pressure of maintaining the quality as well as the quantity will increase which also puts considerable impact on the security policies of a network.




Fig 1. An illustration of 5G applications, technologies, features and challenges.

Different technology enablers such as blockchain, distributed mobility management, edge computing, osmotic computing, catalytic computing or fog networks can help to sustain this pressure imposed by the security requirements. However, there is gap in the literature as there are not sufficiently evident solutions which can cover both the performance as well as the security aspects of 5G networks. Use of diversified sensor nodes, drones, and autonomous vehicles can further impose extensive challenge on using 5G services without being compromised [6] [7] [10] [11]. Thus, with the rapid development of technologies in the 5G era, security becomes a major concern for successful implementations. Moreover, threats

and vulnerabilities have been evolving continuously with the networks because of an increase in the number of users where attackers try to exploit the potential weaknesses. To easily follow these issues and aspects, an overview of 5G applications, technologies, features and performance challenges and standardization are presented in Fig.1 and Fig.2. Current 5G networks are supported by different technology enablers, which include Multiple-Input Multiple-Output (MIMO), Massive-MIMO, Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access (NOMA), Simultaneous Wireless Information and Power Transfer (SWIPT), Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiple Access (ODFMA), Radio Access Networks (RAN), Network Function Virtualizations (NFV), Software Defined Networks (SDNs), Device to Device Communications (D2D), Network Slicing, Low Power Wide Area Networks (LPWAN), etc [12]-[21].




Fig 2. An illustration of 5G evolution, standardization and known security attacks.

**3.5.1 Applications of 5G networks:** The major applications of the 5G networks are provided below:

1. *Autonomous Vehicle:* The automatic controlled driving car and vehicles are key enablers of Vehicle-to-Vehicle (V2V), Vehicle-to-Infrastructure (V2I) and other Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS). The 5G network supports large bandwidth and low latency for these applications with high connection reliability. This network supports collision avoidance and intelligent navigation for the reliable transportation systems [6] [7].

2. *Public Safety Communications (PSCs)*: The PSCs facilitated various communication services in case of emergencies when the primary communication infrastructure is not available. The PSCs incorporated rapid deployment and accessibility of communication set-up. Therefore, 5G enabled communications supports a wide range of applications and long-term connectivity for the services in case of emergencies [12].

3. *Military Applications*: The Military involved mission-critical control application which requires high data rate and long-term connectivity including the security parameters. The real-time surveillance and monitoring of suspected areas require a network with the large bandwidth and low latency. The 5G enabled network application is the best fit for such mission-oriented applications.

4. *Industrial Applications*: Industrial automation composes massive IoT networks which require high connection density and low power consumption that can be ensured through 5G setups [22] - [24].

5. *e-Health Applications*: The e-Health application requires remote diagnosis and long-term monitoring. The e-Health set-up involves video streaming embedded devices and advanced robotics which operate over the network that has Low power, low latency, and high throughput requirements. The 5G facilitates these requirements and serve as the best solution for these applications.

6. *Smart City Applications*: Smart city adopts IoT devices, connected utilities, transportation, healthcare, education, smart grid etc. These applications scenario requires automation, cloud infrastructure and artificial intelligence which operate over a network composing large bandwidth, high throughput, high connection density and low latency [10]. The 5G networks can also be adopted by new technologies and industry enablers like robotics and drones, etc.

**3.5.2 Attacks and Threats in 5G networks:** Security holds a three-way relationship with the ease of deployment and user-friendliness. A more user-friendly setup often has poor security considerations and ease of deployment also reduces the level of security for any application. Such a tradeoff results in a large number of security attacks, which are the result of intentional or unintentional vulnerabilities. In most of the scenarios, adversaries take advantage of known vulnerabilities and launch attacks while exploiting them over a period of time. Some of the most hazardous attacks include zero-day attacks, self-exploitable attacks, or side channel attacks [3] [15]. Along with these attacks, there a certain set of known attacks, as shown in Fig.2, which impose a huge impact on the performance of any network. Considering the reachability and number of devices active in 5G

networks, the scale and the impact of these attacks becomes severe and allows zero time to respond.

Efficient mutual authentication, strong key agreements should be followed while deploying applications in 5G networks, however, these should come without affecting the performance of the network [25]-[27]. Although 5G networks aim at increasing the speed as well as the quality of the transmissions, this reduces the time window for applying security mechanisms to prevent any active attacks on the networks. Thus, new mechanisms are needed for securing these fast communications or applicability approach of existing solutions needs to be changed to cope with the low-latency requirements of these networks [28]-[30]. Along with these attacks, it is desired the network should be free from insider threats as these may expose the internal functionalities which may lead to different types of attacks that are next to impossible to detect at the real time. Further, it is recommended to develop low-cost light-weight Intrusion Detection Systems (IDS), which can fixate on these requirements and can help identify attacks prior to their launching.

### **3.2. Preliminaries: Osmotic Computing**

Osmotic computing is a network integration paradigm inspired by the chemical process of osmosis. In chemistry, the osmosis is a spontaneous mechanism of transferring or movement of the solvent through a semi-permeable membrane into solute concentrated solution. The solution is a mixture in which one substance is dissolved in another, the solute is the substance that is getting dissolved, and the solvent is the substance that is causing the dissolving. The standard mechanism of osmosis was molded with respective of available information by Villari et al. [31] to form this new paradigm of osmotic computing. This type of computing supports load balancing by enabling the movement of micro-services between a data center and the edge devices. It also reduces the latency of the overall application, however, in the initial drafts, the authors do not fully identify the mechanisms that can be used to support and facilitate such service migrations as stated in Sharma et al [32].




Fig 3. The standard osmosis procedure and the use of osmosis principle for load balancing on servers.

As per the standard mechanism of osmosis, the model contains a solution of the solute and the solvent and a semi-permeable membrane for filtering the solute. The participants of any model are interchanged with the respective terminologies to allow applicability of osmotic computing, like information and services, refer loads, process time, and energy of the system. The server can play the role of solvent for the interchangeable services through a semi-permeable membrane and is configured as a controller for the movement of services between the senders and the receivers. The basic principle of osmosis is used for load balancing of incoming requests. In case a server receives a lot of requests and is unable to handle in those instances, the service provider can act as a semi-permeable membrane and can shift the load to another server. To further enhance the understanding, the standard procedures without osmosis and load balancing with the osmosis principle are conceptualized in Fig. 3.

The primary objective of osmotic computing is to balance the load and resource utilization among servers without affecting the connectivity of services and performance. The real-time distribution of services as per the requirements helps to enable the new service migration concepts with the osmotic computing. Maksimović [33] defined the osmotic computing as "It enables the dynamic arrangement and migration of services and micro-services across cloud data centers and Edge resources according to differ-

ent infrastructure demands and software.” The author also conceptualizes the role of osmotic computing in the IoT and discusses the issues associated with the efficient execution of IoT services and applications across different computing infrastructures.

In the era of osmotic computing development, there have been limited but qualitative works that have highlighted the significance of using this new computing paradigm. Sharma et al. [32] focused on the efficient distribution and allocation of services via a concept of osmosis. The authors present a fitness-based Osmosis algorithm which is used to provide support for osmotic computing. The algorithm utilizes a fitness function to distribute and allocate the services into micro and macro-components. As per the authors, security concerns of the osmotic computing are still an open issue and future aspects should be considered with these issues. Furthermore, Sharma et al. [34] presented an efficient implementation of the osmotic computing, which is used for the pervasive trust management framework to perform computational offloading. The authors used three solutions which include the models- fitness-based movement, probabilistic movement, and threshold-based movement.

Nardelli et al. [35] presented the Osmotic Flow model, which supports multiple types and the mix of data transformation tasks on shared EDC+CDC infrastructures. The proposed model helps to assign the Edge and Cloud nodes among multiple and concurrent data transformation functions. As per the authors, the concept of machine learning with the distribution of data analysis tasks in various cloud environments imposes various solutions in a holistic manner. Morshed et al. [36] emphasized the deep learning concept in osmotic computing. The authors discussed the implementation issues and challenges of deep learning formations in the osmotic set-up.

Rausch et al. [37] emphasized the applicability of osmotic computing to the message-oriented middleware for enhancing reliability, ultra-low-latency, and privacy-aware message routing. The proposed solution enables the facilities for brokers to enable or diffuse the edge resources on the demand and support device to device communication in the IoT environment. Despite the facilities associated with osmotic computing, lots of challenges are also linked with the emerging technologies. Buzachis et al. [38] addressed the issues of connectivity in the environment which imposes network degradation and failures concerning osmotic nodes.

### **3.3. Preliminaries: Catalytic Computing**

Catalytic computing is obtained from the principle of catalysis. In chemistry, catalysis involves the addition of a substance or material to move forward a reaction, where the added substance or material gets used by

components of the chemical reaction. In the catalysis procedure, the substance which plays a significant role in the acceleration of chemical reactions is known as catalysts. The principal of catalysis is used with a network for providing efficient resource sharing without affecting the operations of other components and without concerning its own performance. As given in [39], the catalytic computing can be considered as a new paradigm to provide efficient resource sharing in wireless networks comprising users with high mobility. The authors used a Homogeneous Discrete Markov model for user mobility patterns to decide on the applicability of catalytic computing, selection of catalyst and procedures to fixate the activation energy. Furthermore, the authors present future aspect of newly coined paradigm for solving the problems like multi-network optimization problems, spectrum-sharing decision systems, scheduling problems, priority-based swarm communication, etc.




Fig 4. An exemplary illustration of the standard catalysis process and inspired catalytic computing for networks.

The standard process of catalysis and catalytic computing paradigm are illustrated in the Fig. 4. The catalyst in catalytic computing has the most important role to play and it operates between the entities allowing efficient management and utilization of network resources. The network cata-



lysts are the supporting entities which facilitate the network activity and allow zero-downtime services to the users by acting as a decision system. The catalytic computing obeys the properties of the catalysis process and supports continuous decisions through centralized or distributed operations.

### 3.4. Existing Surveys and their Applicability




Fig 5. The roadmap of existing surveys on the security descriptions of 5G networks.

Over the last few years, various surveys have been published on the security issues and the challenges of 5G networks. Chopra et al. [40] discussed the security issues of the physical layer in Massive-MIMO, Jamming, Vehicular Ad Hoc Network (VANET), and D2D along with challenges and solutions for 5G ultra-dense wireless networks. The authors find the attacking regions in the ultra-dense networks. The authors also presented the types of jammers and their countermeasures. Ferrag et al. [41] discussed the authentication and privacy-preserving schemes in 5G. The authors presented the classification of the threat models and respective countermeasures against the attacks. Fang et al. [42] discussed the recent development of security solutions in 5G. The authors included a discussion on the security features with the recent technologies focusing Heterogeneous Networks (HetNet), D2D, Massive-MIMO, SDN, and IoT. The authors also paid attention to the 5G wireless security services like identity management and flexible authentications. Furthermore, the security services are also analyzed on the basis of the proposed architecture.

Lin et al. [43] presented the taxonomy of the network security-related data collection technologies. The authors analyzed the data collection nodes, mechanism, and tools. The authors also proposed the objective and requirements for the security-related data collections in a heterogeneous network. Furthermore, the research issues and future research challenges are considered in their survey. Rupprecht et al. [44] emphasized the main root cause of attacks and their defense in the mobile networks. The authors presented the challenges and research directions for the 5G security. The authors highlighted the limitations and drawbacks of existing works and security requirements for new 5G technologies.

The attacks and vulnerabilities of attacks, like DoS, are considerable issues for the future mobile generations. Ahmad et al. [45] presented the review of the challenges, and privacy and security issues in the clouds, software-defined networking, and network function virtualization along with their perspective security solutions. The major contribution of the authors includes the analysis of the attack-vulnerabilities and mitigation for making a secure 5G network.

The physical layer security approaches are robust and help to protect against eavesdroppers and man in the middle attacks. In addition, these provide flexibility for the secret key generation in 5G networks. Wu et al. [21] presented the survey on the physical layer security technologies Massive-MIMO, mmWAVE communications, heterogeneous networks, NOMA, full duplex technology, and challenges associated with these technologies. Furthermore, Gau et al. [46] gave a study of the physical layer security in the 5G based social networks. The authors discussed the challenges of the physical layer security in the 5G based large networks. The authors presented the solutions like security enhancement in the system layer, link layer, and cross-layer optimization.

Some more kinds of studies have been presented in Ji et al. [47] who analyze the security requirements of 5G business applications, network architecture, the air interface, and user privacy, and in Panwar et al.[48] who discussed the limitations of 4G networks and the features of 5G networks. The authors discussed the challenges, technologies, and implementation issues of these networks. Le et al. [49] presented the technology enablers for 5G communication and security challenges of the emerging technologies. From these studies, it can be marked that the security is a significant constraint for the 5G architecture and to facilitate the reliable services on the mobile communication it is necessary to consider privacy and trust of the

user. The details of comparative evaluations and roadmap of existing surveys are presented in Table 1 and Fig.5.

Table 1. A comparative overview of the existing surveys on the security of 5G networks.

Survey	Year	Application Area	Key Contribution
Chopra et al. [40]	2017	Ultra-dense network	Discuss security issues, challenges and respective solutions for 5G ultra dense wireless networks.
Ferrag et al.[41]	2017	Cellular networks	Presents the classification and comparisons of the authentication and privacy-preserving schemes, threat models and respective countermeasures against the attacks.
Fang et al. [42]	2018	5G Mobile Wireless Networks	The authors discuss the recent development of security solution in the era of 5G. The author includes discussion on the security features with the recent technologies like HetNet, D2D, Massive-MIMO, SDN and IoT.
Lin et al. [43]	2018	Network Security	The authors present the taxonomy of the network security-related data collection technologies. The authors analyze the data collection nodes, mechanism and tools.
Rupprecht et al. [44]	2018	Mobile Network Generations	The authors discuss the root cause for attacks and their defense. The authors discuss the challenges and research directions on the 5G security.
Ahmad et al.[45]	2018	5G Networks	The authors review the challenges and issues of privacy and security in the clouds, software defined networking, and network functions virtualization and presents respective solution for these issues.
Wu et al.[21]	2018	5G Wireless Networks	The author presents the survey on the physical layer security techniques, challenges associated with these technologies and future trends.
Ji et al.[47]	2018	5G Networks	The authors analyze the security requirements of 5G business applications, network architecture, the air interface, and user privacy.
Panwar et al.[48]	2016	5G mobile communication	The authors discuss the limitations of 4G and features of 5G. The authors discuss challenges, technologies, proposed architecture and implementation issues.
Le et al.[49]	2016	5G Networks	The authors discuss the technologies which enable 5G mobile communications. The authors emphasized on the challenging issues and future directions in 5G mobile access networks.

### 3.5. Taxonomy of Security Concerns for 5G Networks

This section discusses the five major paradigms identified for the security of 5G networks as shown in Fig. 6. The section provides an overview of existing approaches along with their comparative evaluations.




Fig 6. An illustration of classification of security concerns in 5G Networks.

### 3.5.1 Secure Resource Allocation in 5G:

In wireless communications, resource allocation plays a significant role. The resource allocation is defined as the management of resources and increases the capacity for a better communication. The various resources like power, load, spectrum, etc, are shared according to the user requirement for an optimized connectivity. The resources in the cellular networks can be assigned locally or globally. The traditional solutions emphasized the research allocations strategies by incorporating mathematical game theory problems but do not put a compatibility with security facilities. The security among resource sharing is essential from the perspective of optimization and better use of resources. The eavesdropper or an attacker can use the resources in a falsified way and increase the network degradation rate. Therefore, the secure resource allocation in the 5G networks is a crucial challenge and should be focused for improved connectivity.

Various studies have been presented in the era of secure resource allocation in 5G networks. Yu et al. [50] presented the optimal resource sharing scheme on the basis of the matrix game theory. The user adopts the concept of Enhanced Cloud-Radio Access Network (EC-RAN) for supporting data-heavy applications in the vehicular networks in a 5G communications environment. The authors also discussed the cloudlet resource management approach. Luong et al. [51] focused the economic and pricing approaches for resource management in the 5G networks. The authors use the resource management issues like user association, spectrum allocation, interference and power management to define appropriate models. Zhang et al. [52] emphasized the secure resource allocation for orthogonal frequency division multiple access. The mixed integer programming and non-convex models are used for solving the optimization problems. The au-

thors proposed a system model on the concept of secrecy two-way relay WSNs without cooperative Jamming. Abedi et al. [53] presented the limited rate feedback scheme by formulating the optimization problem.

The spectrum sharing enables various unlicensed bands, including the Industrial, Scientific, and Medical (ISM) band, and visible light communication for the dedicated application by improving the utilization efficiency of the available spectrum. Akhtar et al. [54] proposed an SDN-based spectrum sharing technique. Liu et al. [55] discussed the 3-D resource allocation techniques. For the sum rate maximization, the authors combined the antenna selection and the user scheduling algorithms. Yang et al. [56] presented the random based radio resource allocation approach for D2D communications. In addition, network slicing can be a considerable solution for enhancing the capacity and flexibility of the networks in secure resource allocation and management schemes. Tremendous adoption of the 5G network with different application facilitates massive traffic and data on the networks [57]. The details of comparison between the state-of-the-art solutions for secure resource allocation in 5G Networks in presented in Table 2.

Table 2. State-of-the-art solutions for secure resource allocation in 5G Networks. (R1: Reliability, R2: Low Latency, R3: Security, R4: Resource Allocation/ Scheduling, R5: Key Management, R6: Osmotic Principles, R7: Catalytic Principles)

Approach	Author (s)	Ideology	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7
Optimal resource sharing	Yu et al.[50] 2016	Matrix game theoretical approach	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No
Economic and pricing approaches for resource management	Luong et al. [51] 2017	Resource management issues like user association, spectrum allocation, interference and power management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Secure resource allocation	Zhang et al. [52] 2016	Mixed integer programming problem	–	–	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Limited rate feedback scheme	Abedi et al. [53] 2016	Formulate as an optimization problem	–	–	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
HAS: harmonized SDN-enabled Approach	Akhtar et al. [54] 2016	Centralized management based on distributed Inputs	–	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
5G Network Slice Broker	Samdanis et al. [58] 2016	logically centralized monitoring and control entity	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No
Radio resource allocation method for D2D communication	Yang et al. [56] 2016	Random-based approach	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No
3-D Resource Allocation	Liu et al. [55] 2017	Combine antenna selection and user scheduling	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No

Techniques									
Architecture for network-slicing-based 5G systems	Zhang et al. [57] 2017	Based on SDN and NFV technologies	Yes	Yes	_	Yes	No	No	No
Resource allocation for secure communication	Wang et al. [59] 2018	Three-dimensional stochastic model	Yes	_	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Joint resource allocation framework	Li et al. [60] 2017	heuristic VNE algorithms	No	Yes	_	Yes	No	No	No
Green resource allocation scheme	AlQerm and Shihada [61] 2018	Centralized and decentralized Sophisticated online learning scheme	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No
Secure communications in NOMA system	Zhang et al. [62] 2018	Formulate in the non-convex optimization Problem	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Hierarchical radio resource management scheme	Belikaidis et al. [63] 2018	spectrum access system	_	No	No	Yes	No	No	No
Network resource allocation system	Martin et al. [64] 2018	Machine Learning methods	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No
Social trust scheme	He et al. [65] 2018	deep learning approach	_	_	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
framework of resource allocation and performance optimization	Tan [66] 2017	Based on control theory	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Network slicing management & prioritization	Jiang et al. [67] 2016	heuristic-based admission control mechanism	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No
Energy efficient resource allocation	AlQerm and Shihada[68] 2017	Machine Learning Scheme	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No
SDN based resource Management	Duan [69] 2017	Programmable management platform	_	Yes	Yes	Yes	_	No	No
Resource allocation scheme	Zhu[70] 2017	geometric approach that analyzes the feasible region governed by the constraints	_	_	Yes	Yes	_	No	No
Resource-based mobility management	Sharma et al. [39] 2017	Catalytic computing	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
Service Management scheme	Sharma et al. [32] 2018	Osmotic computing	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No

### 3.5.2 Secure Mobility Management in 5G:

The 5G network imposes a high data rate transmission in the communication and delivery of services. The high data rates can be achieved through better traffic control and mobility management. Various technologies in-

corporated handover as a key concept for mobility management. For the better communication in the 5G scenario, various researches have been conducted for mobility management with the security consideration of the communications. In the era of mobility management in 5G, Sharma et al. [39] presented a resource based mobility management scheme. The proposed solution is based on the catalytic computing. The authors used a Homogeneous Discrete Markov model for analyzing mobility patterns of the user and congestion control algorithm. The handover mechanism is presented on the basis of activation energy.

Furthermore, for the handover schemes for the 5G networks, Sharma et al. [71] presented a secure key exchange and authentication protocol for fast handover in the 5G Xhaul networks. The proposed protocol provides security over the links for the moving terminals in the networks. The authors discussed the security of the Backhaul, fronthaul and Xhaul. You and Lee [72] gave a ticket based handover approach for the 5G networks. The proposed mechanisms rely on the concept of reducing messages involved in the authentication procedure with the authentication server. The user anonymity in the handover is considered by Fan et al.[73] in their ReHand scheme. Their scheme reduces the communication cost compared with the existing solutions.

Munir et al. [75] presented a secure fault tolerance mechanism for 5G networks based on the concept of the distributed hash table of access nodes and ticket-reuse approach. The authors presented an analytical model for analyzing the processing time of a location query with the minimum authentication latency. To support Distributed Mobility Management (DMM), Sharma et al. [76] developed a Blockchain-based DMM handover scheme. Their solution focused on the resolution of hierarchical security issues without affecting the network layout. Some other solutions can be studied from Refs [77-80]. In short, 5G should be adopted with a reliable and scalable architecture for the mobility management to support a high data rate with the minimum delays and latency. The details of comparison between the state-of-the-art solutions for secure mobility management in 5G Networks is presented in Table 3.

Table 3. State-of-the-art solutions for secure mobility management in 5G Networks. (R1: Handovers, R2: Key Management, R3 Mutual Authentication; R4: Osmotic s/Catalytic Principles)

Approach	Concept	Parameters Used	R1	R2	R3	R4
Key exchange and authentication protocol [71]	Securing Xhaul links for a moving terminal in the network	Failure factor, Packet loss, Signaling overheads	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
SPFP : Ticket-based secure handover [72]	Decrease the number of message related to authentication	Handover latency, packet loss/buffering, and handover failure	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
ReHand: secure region-based handover scheme [73]	Incorporate the techniques of group key, one-time identity, and one-way hash	Computational cost, communication cost	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
User-centric Ultra-dense networking [74]	Dynamic AP grouping	Solutions for mobility management and Mobility scenario	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Secure and fault-tolerant mechanism for DMM [75]	Used distributed hash table of access nodes and ticket-reuse approach	Average authentication latency, average processing time	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Blockchain-based DMM [76]	Resolving hierarchical security issues without affecting the network layout	Energy consumption	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Mobility and traffic management mechanism for delay tolerant cloud data [77]	Selecting appropriate cell for data transfer in downlink, and by configuring the UE cell priorities for sending the data in uplink	Throughput distribution, delay	No	No	No	No
Policy-based communications [78]	System controlled by policy to detect misbehavior	Session setup delays	No	No	No	No
Policy-based per-	The decisions are made on	Handover decision making	Yes	No	No	No



flow mobility management system [79]	the basis of policies.					
Secure producer mobility management [80]	Use concept of hash-chain that protects against prefix hijacking attacks occurring during mobility updates	Storage cost, edge router goodput, Verification delay	Yes	Yes	–	No
Resource-based mobility management [39]	Catalytic computing	Equilibrium evaluation, Activation energy	Yes	No	–	Yes

### 3.5.3 Secure Routing in 5G:

The 5G networks enhance the connectivity of various applications with the high speed, low latency, and high availability. The standard architecture considers various network management challenges. One of the major considerations in these challenges is the secure routing. With the increase in traffic, it is very difficult to manage services and data in a real-time along with their secure deployments. The standard network management mechanisms pay attention to the routing and monitoring of data flow. The data transmission and routing should be protected against various kinds of attacks like the man in the middle, downgrading attacks, location spoofing, and resource depletion attacks.

For the efficient and reliable data communication in the 5G era, secure routing is an emerging research area. Over the years, an extensive research has been conducted on the secure routing in the 5G networks. Guan et al. [81] presented a GRBC-based network security functions placement scheme with applicability to the software-defined security. The authors focused on the loop-free routing schemes and independent routing decisions. Sharma et al. [39] discussed the routing –based mobility management, SDN-based mobility management and Cluster-based mobility management mechanisms. The routing based mobility management is used in the older concept with the benefit of the optimal path for traffic flow. The proposed approach, based on the catalytic computing, supports the user movement control and routing-based handovers.

Jung et al. [82] presented a joint operation protocol for the group key management and routing. Routing control helps to maintain the change in state

of the links. Wang and Yan [83] discussed various secure routing schemes like the Secure Message Delivery (SMD) protocol, Secure Optimized Link State Routing protocol (SOLSR) that have a functionality to protect the messages relayed between the source and the destination. The authors also analyzed the secure routing, access control, and Physical Layer security requirements for D2D communications.

Choyi et al. [84] focused on the hybrid routing through network slicing. The authors also discussed the static and the dynamic mechanisms of routing. The static and the functional overview of the service negotiation framework and flexible routing with network slicing are also discussed. In their framework, routing is used by the static path defined by packets. Naqvi et al. [85] presented the study for the acceptance possibilities of the IPv6 within 5G wireless networks. The authors presented a study of inter-domain routing and the comparison study with the IPv4.

Schmittner et al. [86] focused the PSCs and presented a novel secure multi-hop D2D scheme. The proposed scheme is used for forwarding decision that is based on reliability metric for the routing. Zhao et al. [87] presented a cluster-based technique focusing security based transmission protocol for Ultra-Dense Networks (UDNs). The authors analyzed the security issues and resource management schemes for better network formations. Furthermore, a secure routing protocol is presented for the multi-hop networks. The protocol is based on the concept of Weil Paring [88]. The secure routing enables a better communication and service management via traffic flow and optimized use of resources. Therefore, the secure routing mechanism should be included in the 5G network for a reliable and secure communications. The details of comparison between the state-of-the-art solutions for secure routing in 5G Networks in presented in Table 4.

Table 4. State-of-the-art solutions for secure routing in 5G Networks.  
(R1: Encryption, R2: Mutual Authentication; R3: Key Management, R4: Osmotic s/Catalytic Principles)

Approach	Mechanism	Parameters Used	R1	R2	R3	R4
Catalysis-based mobility management [39]	Homogeneous discrete Markov model for user mobility patterns	Equilibrium evaluation, Activation energy	No	No	No	Yes
Group Routing Betweenness	Finding a group of given size with maximum GRBC	Complexity analysis, Network topology and Attack model	No	No	No	No

Centrality [81]						
Joint operation protocol [82]	Use group key agreement method	Computational load, Latency time	Yes	No	Yes	No
Secure Routing [83]	Secure Message Delivery (SMD) protocol	D2D security architecture and security requirements	Yes	Yes	Yes	No.
Network Slices and routing [84]	Hybrid routing	Packet Routing	No	No	No	No
IPv6 technology [85]	OPNET MIPV6 model	Throughput, network delay and packet delay	No	No	No	No
SEMUD [86]	Per-destination routing state used	Throughput, Goodput	No	No	No	No
Cluster-based UDNs [87]	Security based Transmission Protocol	Network Eavesdropping Defense and Jamming Attack Defense	Yes	No	No	No
Secure routing protocol [88]	Weil Paring based	End-to-end delay, Throughput	Yes	–	Yes	No

### 3.5.4 Secure Physical Layer formations in 5G:

The physical layer security gains a hike in the 5G network communications. The conventional cryptographic solutions for security impose the difficulties in the distribution and management of secret keys. But the physical layer has provided reliable and flexible security levels through various protocols. The concept of the physical layer is to “utilize the intrinsic randomness of the transmission channel to guarantee the security in physical layer” [21]. The existing approaches to security require strong constraints and high additional costs for the users of public networks. Therefore the new concept on physical layer security focuses on the secrecy capacity of the propagation channel, which is adopted by the 5G networks.

Gomez et al. [90] analyzed the physical layer security for uplink NOMA in 5G large area networks. The key mechanism of coverage probability and the effective secrecy throughput is used in their solution. The Signal-to-Interference plus Noise Ratio (SINR) is measured with the legitimate user and attackers. Furthermore, Forouzesah et al. [91] analyzed the physical

layer security for Power Domain (PD)-NOMA in HetNet. The novel concept of resource allocation scheme is presented to maximize the sum secrecy rate in PD-NOMA based HetNet. The interference in the signals is considered as an eavesdropper in their approach. An alternative search method algorithm is adopted for the optimization problem. Furthermore, Liu et al. [95] discussed the physical layer security for 5G-NOMA on the basis of stochastic geometry approaches and the location of the user. Asymptotic secrecy outage probability is used for the multiple-antenna and the simulation result is verified with the Monte Carlo mechanisms.

Massive-MIMO has the capability to handle 100+ antenna elements and a large number of independent transceiver chains. The increasing adaptability of Massive-MIMO opens the feasibility of adaption to physical layer security against the eavesdroppers. Kapetanovic et al. [92] presented the opportunities and the challenges of physical layer security for Massive-MIMO. The authors presented various possible attack methods and detection of the active attacks on the Massive-MIMO. Wang et al. [93] discussed the physical layer security in cellular HetNet. The authors proposed a threshold-based secrecy mobile association policy and calculated secrecy and connection probabilities of the random users. The closed-form expressions are used with the secrecy and connection probabilities.

Zhang et al. [96] presented the cooperative anti-eavesdropping techniques on the basis of graph theory. In their approach, on the basis of network topology, a secrecy weighted graph is calculated. The proposed techniques facilitate the low complex security on the large-scale networks. Chen et al. [97] analyzed the physical layer security for cooperative NOMA systems. Pan et al. [98] presented hierarchal physical layer security architecture for the 5G Networks based on the cross-layer lightweight authentication scheme and a physical layer security assisted encryption scheme. These schemes are based on the key streams and the channel information. An overview of the state-of-the-art solutions for the physical layer security-technologies in the 5G secure communications is presented in Table 5.

Table 5. State-of-the-art solutions for secure physical layer formations in 5G Networks. (R1: Technology Used, R2: Secrecy, R3: Channel Coding; R4: Jamming; R5: Osmotic/Catalytic framework)

Approach	Mechanism	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5
Physical layer se-	Coverage probability and the effective secrecy	5G, NOMA	Yes	No	No	No

curity for NOMA [90]	throughput					
Physical layer security for PD-NOMA [91]	Used Alternative Search Method algorithm	5G, NOMA	Yes	No	No	No
Physical layer security for Massive-MIMO [92]	On the basis of pilot contamination scheme	MaMIMO, 5G	Yes	No	Yes	No
Physical layer security in HCN [93]	On the basis of access threshold-based secrecy mobile association policy	5G	Yes	No	No	No
Physical layer security for 5G-NOMA [94]	On the basis of stochastic geometry approaches, the location of user obtained.	5G, NOMA	Yes	No	No	No
Physical layer security for NOMA [95]	Added eavesdropper exclusion area (protected area)	5G, NOMA	Yes	No	No	No
Cooperative anti-eavesdropping techniques [96]	On the basis of graph theory	P2P, D2D, 5G	Yes	No	No	No
Physical Layer Security for Cooperative NOMA Systems [97]	Secrecy outage probability (SOP) and strictly positive secrecy capacity (SPSC)	5G, NOMA	Yes	No	No	No
Hierarchical security architecture [98]	On the basis of cross-layer light weight authentication scheme	eMBB, mMTC and URLLC,5G	No	No	No	No
Robust beam forming [99]	On the basis of robust information and artificial noise (AN) beam forming	Massive-MIMO, 5G, BDMA	No	No	No	No
Hybrid MIMO phased-array time modulated directional modulation scheme [100]	Time-modulated DM scheme is added for the phased-MIMO to achieve PLS	MIMO, 5G	Yes	No	No	No

### 3.5.5 Secure Autonomous and Smart Services in 5G:

Various architectures for autonomous services have been discussed that support the flexibility, performance, cost, security, safety, manageability, etc [101]. Cheng et al. [102] discussed the architecture of 5G-based IIoT in the three application modes; namely, enhance Mobile Broadband (eMBB), massive Machine Type Communication (mMTC), Ultra-Reliable and Low Latency Communication (URLLC). The authors presented the applicability of the IIoT and Cyber-Physical Manufacturing Systems (CPMS) in the 5G wireless communication network and also discussed the key challenges in the adaptability of these networks.

Mavromatis et al. [103] presented architecture for connected and autonomous vehicles. This architecture relies on the mechanism of multi-layer application data streaming. The authors presented the next-generation ITS for intelligent traffic planning, smart emergency vehicle routing, and multimodal commuting. Han et al. [105] discussed the mobile sensing and cloud computing and presented a combined concept of mobile cloud sensing.

The low latency applications in 5G networks have emerged stronger and are adopted widely for the business perspective. Lema et al. [106] discussed the applications that rely on the low latency in the area of healthcare, automotive and transport systems, entertainment, and manufacturing from the market perspectives and respective models. Saghezchi et al. [107] emphasized the smart grid for smart cities and presented secure network architecture on the basis of detection of price integrity or load alteration attacks. Furthermore, Arfaoui et al. [108] presented the security architecture for 5G networks. From these studies, it is conclusive that the adaptable architecture for autonomous services in the 5G networks should provide flexible solutions and benefits for industries and leverage the new technology enablers for the overall development, especially security features, as a perspective of reducing the research and deployment cost. The details of comparison between the state-of-the-art solutions for secure services in 5G Networks in presented in Table 6.

Table 6. State-of-the-art solutions for secure services in 5G Networks.  
(R1: Technology Used, R2: Security, R3: IDS Formations; R4: Business Models; R5: Osmotic/Catalytic framework)

Approach/ Architecture	Mechanism	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5
5G wireless communication technology[102]	On the basis of technical scheme and features of 5G wireless communication technology	5G, eMBB, mMTC and URLLC	Yes	No	–	No

Architecture for connected and autonomous vehicles [103]	Multi-layer application data streaming	5G, Multiple Radio Access Technologies	No	No	Mobility-as-a-Service (MaaS)	No
5G Network Architecture [104]	Intelligent use of network data	5G, Massive-MIMO, NFV, and SDN.	Yes	No	IaaS and XaaS	No
Architecture of mobile cloud sensing [105]	Mobile sensing and cloud computing	5G, Opinion Finder, Google Profile of Mood States (GPOMS)	No	No	all-IP network (AIPN) model	No
Use case with ultra-low latency in 5G [106]	Market perspectives of each industry and respective models.	5G, Advanced imaging, data analysis, and machine learning	Yes	No	B2B model	No
Secure network architecture for smart grids [107]	On the basis of detection of price integrity or load alteration attacks	5G, AMI, M2M	Yes	Yes	-	No
Security architecture for 5G networks [108]	Inherited concepts from the security architectures of 3G and 4G networks	5G, ITU-T X.805, 3GPP	Yes	No	Trust Model	No
Fog Computing [109]	Based on the TSI- NFV MANO architecture	5G, Peer-to-Peer (P2P)	Yes	Yes	_	No
Architecture for monitoring services. [110]	Self-protection mechanism through monitoring	5G, SDN, NFV	Yes	Yes	Business support systems	No
Fog-based anomaly detection approach [111]	Unsupervised Clustering and Outlier detection algorithms	5G, LPWAN, Edge computing, SDN, NFV	Yes	No	_	No

### 3.6. CATMOSIS: A generalized model for 5G Security

Both the osmotic and the catalytic computing can be united together to form an efficient security module which can be used by all types of applications. One such example is shown in Fig. 7. The figure shows

four different catalytic managers for four different types of applications each of which are handled through the osmotic servers for cross-platform exchange of information. The intra mode security of each application can be obtained through traditional mechanisms, however, with the amalgamation of osmotic and catalytic computing, it becomes convenient to secure the cross or inter-platform services. This generalized model operates in two parts to form a CATMOSIS module for security as given below:




Fig.7. An illustration of the CATMOSIS general model for security enhancement of 5G networks.

- **Catalytic Manager:** The module is responsible for handling all the intra-mode services and generate dependencies for secure communications. This module is similar to the one proposed by the original authors. It uses activation energy based resources to decide on the policies and security requirements which are to be shared over the network platform.
- **Osmotic Manager:** This module is responsible for fetching the services to and from the catalytic manager while keeping intact the general flow of traffic. It helps to distinguish the security requests from the general traffic and allows categorization feature for providing enhanced security. However, the security is enhanced depending on the protocol or the methodology opted for authentication of devices as well as services. At the moment, flow authentication and categorization can be attained through this module and other possibilities of extending this are left to future works.



Table 7: An overview of other studies to follow for the security of the 5G networks.

<b>Focus</b>	<b>Author (s)</b>	<b>Challenges and Issues</b>	<b>Solutions</b>
Analysis of the physical downlink and uplink control channels and signals.	Lichtman et al. 2018 [112]	Jamming Vulnerability, Sniffing and Spoofing Vulnerability	Mitigation techniques
5G Security	Schneider and Horn 2015 [113]	Flexible Security and potential security requirements	Security mechanisms for 5G- like User Identity and Device Identity Confidentiality, Mutual Authentication and Key Agreements, Security between Terminal and Network and protection against attacks
5G Mobile Communication Networks	Luo et al. 2015 [114]	Traditional mechanisms does not find the potential vulnerabilities in the 5 G-SDN-MN	vulnerability assessment mechanism
5G Vehicular Networks	Ejyeh and Talouki 2017 [115]	Attacks-Replay, message fabrication and DoS attacks	Efficient security protocol
Network security in mobile 5G	Bouras et al. 2017[116]	SDN security challenges: Eavesdropping, Identity spoofing, Password-related attacks	General security guidelines, Firewalls, administrative passwords, Testing Techniques etc.
Security for 5G Communications	Mantas et al.[117]	Potential threats and attacks for the following 5G system components: the UE, the access networks, the mobile operator's core network and the external IP networks.	Potential mitigation schemes
5G security	Svensson et al.[118]	Information leakage between network slices	Architecture for authentication, authorization and accounting for 5G
5G wireless communication network	Gupta et al. 2017[119]	Bandwidth spoofing attack	Attack modeling and intrusion detection system
5G Security	Ahmad et al. 2017[120]	Security Threat, security issue in SDN and NFV and in communication channels.	Security Technology- DoS, DDoS detection, link verification, access controls, identity and location security etc.
Network Slicing in 5G	NGMN Alliance [121]	Issues: Controlling Inter-Network Slices Communications, Impersonation attacks, variation in the security protocols and resources exhaustion.	Mutual authentication, integrity verification, baseline security levels for each slices etc.

5G Technologies	Felita and Suryanegara 2013 [122]	Discuss the technological challenges like security, Limited frequency spectrum resources.	Suggests: TRIESTE framework, BDMA technique
5G Wireless Communication Networks	Yang et al. 2015 [123]	Focus on the security challenges in various technologies: HetNet, Massive-MIMO and mmWave.	Physical layer security
5G Networks	Sun and Du 2017[124]	Challenges for Network Security	Physical layer Security approaches- Artificial noise injection, Anti-eavesdropping signal Design, Secure beam forming /precoding etc.
Cloud computing in heterogeneous 5G	Gai et al. 2016 [125]	crucial security concerns in mobile cloud computing	Intrusion detection techniques
5G cellular networks	Atat et al. 2017[126]	cellular transmissions protection and links protection from eavesdropping	Suggest security controls (authentication), monitoring of real-time data streams, implementing advanced anomaly detection techniques, etc
5G Network Security	Mammela et al. 2016 [127]	security visibility and configurability issues, threats and vulnerabilities issues	implementation of security monitoring spanning multiple micro-segments
5G wireless communication networks	Gandotra and Jha 2017[128]	Secure power optimization issues	Standardization agencies and discuss on the ongoing projects

### 3.7. Open Issues and Future Directions

5G era is focusing on the enhancement of applications which otherwise are difficult to attain at current network functionalities. Some of the other works to follow for enhancing the understandings on the 5G and its security are discussed in Table 7. Features like virtual reality networks, connectivity to billions of IoT devices and information on the go are some of the major enablers in 5G setup [129]. However, with applications gaining new heights, security becomes primary as well as tedious objective to attain [130]. Thus, following these requirements, this article summarizes major security directions to be followed for further research as provided below:

1. **Secure and Flexible Architecture:** The standard architecture should be formed to support generalized applications from different domains. The flexibility in terms of adoption of various add-on features with the compatibility of the application perspectives is needed in the security architectures [131]. The security should be considered in different do-

---

mains to handle attacks and security threats. Various secure architectures have been suggested to support the domain-specific application with the facilities of reliability and efficiency [132]-[134]. But from the research perspective, the adopted architecture should be enabled to follow generalized implementation mechanism within the application domains and sustain the security within the architecture.

2. **Secure and continuous connectivity:** The massive increase in IoT devices in the 5G networks raises various connectivity issues. The connection should be continuous and stream less to facilitate real-time monitoring and service scheduling. The 5G network should facilitate security policies without affecting the services and connectivity of the network while maintaining the privacy of its users [135].

3. **Sustainability and reliability:** The application dependability is measured in terms of the reliability and the sustainability of the network. The resource depletion and unwanted service consumes a lot of resources over the network and impose an extra load on the network which results in sudden failures. The sustainability should be a considerable issue to resolve along with the efficiency and the reliability. The 5G based applications require a wide range of the devices to connect with high connection density and low power consumption, which require a focus on the reliability and the sustainability to enhance the network tolerance level [136] [137].

4. **Strong and efficient Mutual authentications:** The security mechanisms in 5G should incorporate strong mutual authentication to verify the originality of both the receiver and the sender. The authentication mechanisms can be performed in various phenomenons over the network such as handover, mobility management, and D2D communications [138][139]. Therefore, a strong and efficient mutual authentication mechanism is required which maintains the freshness of keys and session while securing the applications in the 5G setup.

5. **Secure spectrum sharing and network slicing:** Network slicing is a key concept of resource allocation and management. The interference in the network imposes signal distortion and noise. To reduce such kinds of issues, optimized and secure spectrum sharing should be adopted to enable large applications with different user priorities. Such a requirement can be attained through SDN-NFV technologies while leveraging the properties of network slicing [140].

### 3.8. Conclusions

This paper provided a detailed description of the security for the 5G networks. The evolution of osmotic and catalytic computing and the details of

their implementation and utilization are also presented in this article. The roadmap of different kinds of attacks and their possible solutions are highlighted in the initial parts of this article. Furthermore, the taxonomy on the basis of security requirements is presented, which also includes the comparison of the existing state-of-the-art solutions. In addition, existing surveys, open issues, and security challenges are discussed to provide a research direction. Moreover, this article also provides a security model, “CATMOSIS”, which idealizes the incorporation of security features on the basis of catalytic and osmotic computing in the 5G networks.

## References

- [1]. Hossain E, Rasti M, Tabassum H, Abdelnasser A. Evolution toward 5G multi-tier cellular wireless networks: An interference management perspective. *IEEE Wireless Communications*. 2014 Jun;21(3):118-27.
- [2]. Ge X, Cheng H, Guizani M, Han T. 5G wireless backhaul networks: challenges and research advances. *IEEE Network*. 2014 Nov;28(6):6-11.
- [3]. Sharma V, Lim JD, Kim JN, You I. SACA: Self-aware communication architecture for IoT using mobile fog servers. *Mobile Information Systems*. 2017;2017.
- [4]. Rost P, Bernardos CJ, De Domenico A, Di Girolamo M, Lalam M, Maeder A, Sabella D, Wübben D. Cloud technologies for flexible 5G radio access networks. *IEEE Communications Magazine*. 2014 May;52(5):68-76.
- [5]. Ding Z, Liu Y, Choi J, Sun Q, Elkashlan M, Poor HV. Application of non-orthogonal multiple access in LTE and 5G networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.08610*. 2015 Nov 27.
- [6]. Sharma V, Sabatini R, Ramasamy S. UAVs Assisted Delay Optimization in Heterogeneous Wireless Networks. *IEEE Communications Letters*. 2016 Dec 1;20(12):2526-9.
- [7]. Sharma V, Kumar R, Rana PS. Self-healing neural model for stabilization against failures over networked UAVs. *IEEE Communications Letters*. 2015 Nov;19(11):2013-6.
- [8]. Zhang Z, Chai X, Long K, Vasilakos AV, Hanzo L. Full duplex techniques for 5G networks: self-interference cancellation, protocol design, and relay selection. *IEEE Communications Magazine*. 2015 May;53(5):128-37.
- [9]. Sulyman AI, Nassar AT, Samimi MK, MacCartney GR, Rappaport TS, Alsanie A. Radio propagation path loss models for 5G cellular networks in the 28 GHz and 38 GHz millimeter-wave bands. *IEEE Communications Magazine*. 2014 Sep;52(9):78-86.
- [10]. Shin D, Sharma V, Kim J, Kwon S, You I. Secure and efficient protocol for route optimization in PMIPv6-based smart home IoT networks. *IEEE Access*. 2017;5:11100-17.
- [11]. Elshaer H, Boccardi F, Dohler M, Irmer R. Downlink and uplink decoupling: A disruptive architectural design for 5G networks. In *Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM)*, 2014 IEEE 2014 Dec 8 (pp. 1798-1803). IEEE.
- [12]. Sharma V, Choudhary G, You I, Lim JD, Kim JN. Self-enforcing Game Theory-based Resource Allocation for LoRaWAN Assisted Public Safety Communications. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.07204*. 2018 Apr 19.
- [13]. Perera TD, Jayakody DN, Chatzinotas S, Sharma V. Wireless Information and Power Transfer: Issues, Advances, and Challenges. In *Vehicle Technology Conference (VTC-Fall)*, 2017 IEEE 86th 2017 Sep 24 (pp. 1-7). IEEE.
- [14]. Tehrani MN, Uysal M, Yanikomeroglu H. Device-to-device communication in 5G cellular networks: challenges, solutions, and future directions. *IEEE Communications Magazine*. 2014 May;52(5):86-92.
- [15]. Duan X, Wang X. Authentication handover and privacy protection in 5G hetnets using software-defined networking. *IEEE Communications Magazine*. 2015 Apr;53(4):28-35.
- [16]. Sharma V, Lee K, Kwon S, Kim J, Park H, Yim K, Lee SY. A consensus framework for reliability and mitigation of zero-day attacks in iot. *Security and Communication Networks*. 2017;2017.

- 
- [17]. Sharma V, You I, Kul G. Socializing Drones for Inter-Service Operability in Ultra-Dense Wireless Networks using Blockchain. In Proceedings of the 2017 International Workshop on Managing Insider Security Threats 2017 Oct 30 (pp. 81-84). ACM.
- [18]. Chen M, Qian Y, Mao S, Tang W, Yang X. Software-defined mobile networks security. *Mobile Networks and Applications*. 2016 Oct 1;21(5):729-43.
- [19]. Sun S, Kadoch M, Gong L, Rong B. Integrating network function virtualization with SDR and SDN for 4G/5G networks. *IEEE Network*. 2015 May;29(3):54-9.
- [20]. Xiao K, Gong L, Kadoch M. Opportunistic Multicast NOMA with security concerns in a 5G massive MIMO system. *IEEE Communications Magazine*. 2018 Mar;56(3):91-5.
- [21]. Wu Y, Khisti A, Xiao C, Caire G, Wong KK, Gao X. A Survey of Physical Layer Security Techniques for 5G Wireless Networks and Challenges Ahead. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*. 2018 Apr 11.
- [22]. Sharma V, You I, Kumar R. Isma: Intelligent sensing model for anomalies detection in cross platform osns with a case study on iot. *IEEE Access*. 2017;5:3284-301.
- [23]. Condoluci M, Lema MA, Mahmoodi T, Dohler M. 5g iot industry verticals and network requirements. In *Powering the Internet of Things With 5G Networks 2018* (pp. 148-175). IGI Global.
- [24]. Sharma V, You I, Jayakody DN, Atiquzzaman M. Cooperative trust relaying and privacy preservation via edge-crowdsourcing in social Internet of Things. *Future Generation Computer Systems*. 2017 Dec 28.
- [25]. Alenezi M, Almustafa K, Hussein M. On Virtualization and Security-Awareness Performance Analysis in 5G Cellular Networks. *Journal of Engineering Science & Technology Review*. 2018 Jan 1;11(1).
- [26]. Schinianakis D. Alternative security options in the 5G and IoT era. *IEEE Circuits and Systems Magazine*. 2017 Jan 1;17(4):6-28.
- [27]. Lee YH, Wang AS, Liao YD, Lin TW, Chi YJ, Wong CC, Shinohara N, Yuan Q, Chen Q. Wireless power IoT system using polarization switch antenna as polling protocol for 5G mobile network. In *Wireless Power Transfer Conference (WPTC), 2017 IEEE* 2017 May 10 (pp. 1-3). IEEE.
- [28]. Costanzo A, Masotti D. Energizing 5G: Near-and far-field wireless energy and data transfer as an enabling technology for the 5G IoT. *IEEE Microwave Magazine*. 2017 May;18(3):125-36.
- [29]. Rajaram A, Jayakody DN, Srinivasan K, Chen B, Sharma V. Opportunistic-harvesting: RF wireless power transfer scheme for multiple access relays system. *IEEE Access*. 2017;5:16084-99.
- [30]. Guan J, Sharma V, You I, Atiquzzaman M. Extension of MIH to Support FPMIPv6 for Optimized Heterogeneous Handover. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.09835*. 2017 May 27.
- [31]. Villari M, Fazio M, Dustdar S, Rana O, Ranjan R. Osmotic computing: A new paradigm for edge/cloud integration. *IEEE Cloud Computing*. 2016 Nov;3(6):76-83.
- [32]. Sharma V, Srinivasan K, Jayakody DN, Rana O, Kumar R. Managing service-heterogeneity using osmotic computing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.04213*. 2017 Apr 13.
- [33]. Maksimović M. The role of Osmotic computing in Internet of Things. *Infotech-jahorina*. 2018 March.
- [34]. Sharma V, You I, Kumar R, Kim P. Computational offloading for efficient trust management in pervasive online social networks using osmotic computing. *IEEE Access*. 2017;5:5084-103.
- [35]. Nardelli M, Nastic S, Dustdar S, Villari M, Ranjan R. Osmotic flow: Osmotic computing+ iot workflow. *IEEE Cloud Computing*. 2017 Mar;4(2):68-75.
- [36]. Morshed A, Jayaraman PP, Sellis T, Georgakopoulos D, Villari M, Ranjan R. Deep Osmosis: Holistic Distributed Deep Learning in Osmotic Computing. *IEEE Cloud Computing*. 2018 Nov;4(6):22-32.
- [37]. Rausch T, Dustdar S, Ranjan R. Osmotic Message-Oriented Middleware for the Internet of Things. *IEEE Cloud Computing*. 2018 Mar;5(2):17-25.
- [38]. Buzachis A, Galletta A, Carnevale L, Celesti A, Fazio M, Villari M. Towards Osmotic Computing: Analyzing Overlay Network Solutions to Optimize the Deployment of Container-Based Microservices in Fog, Edge and IoT Environments. In *Fog and Edge Computing (ICFEC), 2018 IEEE 2nd International Conference on* 2018 May 1 (pp. 1-10). IEEE.
- [39]. Sharma V, You I, Kumar R. Resource-based mobility management for video users in 5G using catalytic computing. *Computer Communications*. 2018 Mar 1;118:120-39.

- 
- [40]. Chopra G, Jha RK, Jain S. A survey on ultra-dense network and emerging technologies: Security challenges and possible solutions. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*. 2017 Oct 1;95:54-78.
- [41]. Ferrag MA, Maglaras L, Argyriou A, Kosmanos D, Janicke H. Security for 4G and 5G cellular networks: A survey of existing authentication and privacy-preserving schemes. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*. 2017 Nov 1.
- [42]. Fang D, Qian Y, Hu RQ. Security for 5G Mobile Wireless Networks. *IEEE Access*. 2018;6:4850-74.
- [43]. Lin H, Yan Z, Chen Y, Zhang L. A Survey on Network Security-Related Data Collection Technologies. *IEEE Access*. 2018;6:18345-65.
- [44]. Rupprecht D, Dabrowski A, Holz T, Weippl E, Pöpper C. On Security Research towards Future Mobile Network Generations. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*. 2018 Apr 2.
- [45]. Ahmad I, Kumar T, Liyanage M, Okwuibe J, Ylianttila M, Gurtov A. Overview of 5G Security Challenges and Solutions. *IEEE Communications Standards Magazine*. 2018 Mar;2(1):36-43.
- [46]. Gao Y, Hu S, Tang W, Li Y, Sun Y, Huang D, Cheng S, Li X. Physical Layer Security in 5G Based Large Scale Social Networks: Opportunities and Challenges. *IEEE Access*. 2018 May 3.
- [47]. Ji X, Huang K, Jin L, Tang H, Liu C, Zhong Z, You W, Xu X, Zhao H, Wu J, Yi M. Overview of 5G security technology. *Science China Information Sciences*. 2018 Aug 1;61(8):081301.
- [48]. Panwar N, Sharma S, Singh AK. A survey on 5G: The next generation of mobile communication. *Physical Communication*. 2016 Mar 31; 18:64-84.
- [49]. Le NT, Hossain MA, Islam A, Kim DY, Choi YJ, Jang YM. Survey of promising technologies for 5G networks. *Mobile information systems*. 2016.
- [50]. Yu R, Ding J, Huang X, Zhou MT, Gjessing S, Zhang Y. Optimal resource sharing in 5G-enabled vehicular networks: A matrix game approach. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*. 2016 Oct;65(10):7844-56.
- [51]. Luong NC, Wang P, Niyato D, Liang YC, Hou F, Han Z. Applications of economic and pricing models for resource management in 5G wireless networks: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.04771*. 2017 Oct 13.
- [52]. Zhang H, Xing H, Cheng J, Nallanathan A, Leung VC. Secure resource allocation for OFDMA two-way relay wireless sensor networks without and with cooperative jamming. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*. 2016 Oct;12(5):1714-25.
- [53]. Abedi MR, Mokari N, Javan MR, Yanikomeroglu H. Limited rate feedback scheme for resource allocation in secure relay-assisted OFDMA networks. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*. 2016 Apr;15(4):2604-18.
- [54]. Akhtar AM, Wang X, Hanzo L. Synergistic spectrum sharing in 5G HetNets: A harmonized SDN-enabled approach. *IEEE Communications Magazine*. 2016 Jan;54(1):40-7.
- [55]. Liu X, Liu Y, Wang X, Lin H. Highly efficient 3-D resource allocation techniques in 5G for NOMA-enabled massive MIMO and relaying systems. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*. 2017 Dec;35(12):2785-97.
- [56]. Yang H, Seet BC, Hasan SF, Chong PH, Chung MY. Radio Resource Allocation for D2D-Enabled Massive Machine Communication in the 5G Era. In *Dependable, Autonomic and Secure Computing, 14th Intl Conf on Pervasive Intelligence and Computing, 2nd Intl Conf on Big Data Intelligence and Computing and Cyber Science and Technology Congress (DASC/PiCom/DataCom/CyberSciTech)*, 2016 IEEE 14th Intl C 2016 Aug 8 (pp. 55-60). IEEE.
- [57]. Zhang H, Liu N, Chu X, Long K, Aghvami AH, Leung VC. Network slicing based 5G and future mobile networks: mobility, resource management, and challenges. *IEEE Communications Magazine*. 2017;55(8):138-45.
- [58]. Samdanis K, Costa-Perez X, Sciancalepore V. From network sharing to multi-tenancy: The 5G network slice broker. *IEEE Communications Magazine*. 2016 Jul;54(7):32-9.
- [59]. Wang B, Huang K, Xu X, Jin L, Zhong Z, Wang Y. Resource Allocation for Secure Communication in  $K$ -Tier Heterogeneous Cellular Networks: A Spatial-Temporal Perspective. *IEEE Access*. 2018;6:772-82.

- 
- [60]. Li J, Zhang N, Ye Q, Shi W, Zhuang W, Shen X. Joint resource allocation and online virtual network embedding for 5G networks. InGLOBECOM 2017-2017 IEEE Global Communications Conference 2017 Dec 4 (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- [61]. Alqerm I, Shihada B. Sophisticated online learning scheme for green resource allocation in 5g heterogeneous cloud radio access networks. *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*. 2018 Jan 23.
- [62]. Zhang H, Yang N, Long K, Pan M, Karagiannidis GK, Leung VC. Secure communications in NOMA system: Subcarrier assignment and power allocation. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*. 2018 Apr 11.
- [63]. Belikaidis IP, Georgakopoulos A, Kosmatos E, Frascolla V, Demestichas P. Management of 3.5-GHz Spectrum in 5G Dense Networks: A Hierarchical Radio Resource Management Scheme. *IEEE Vehicular Technology Magazine*. 2018 Jun;13(2):57-64.
- [64]. Martin A, Egaña J, Flórez J, Montalbán J, Olaizola IG, Quartulli M, Viola R, Zorrilla M. Network Resource Allocation System for QoE-Aware Delivery of Media Services in 5G Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Broadcasting*. 2018 Jun;64(2):561-74.
- [65]. He Y, Yu FR, Zhao N, Yin H. Secure Social Networks in 5G Systems with Mobile Edge Computing, Caching, and Device-to-Device Communications. *IEEE Wireless Communications*. 2018 Jun;25(3).
- [66]. Tan L. Resource Allocation and Performance Optimization in Communication Networks and the Internet. CRC Press; 2017 Aug 15.
- [67]. Jiang M, Condoluci M, Mahmoodi T. Network slicing management & prioritization in 5G mobile systems. InEuropean wireless 2016 May 18 (pp. 1-6).
- [68]. AlQerm I, Shihada B. Enhanced machine learning scheme for energy efficient resource allocation in 5G heterogeneous cloud radio access networks. InIEEE Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications (PIMRC) 2017 Oct 8 (pp. 1-7).
- [69]. Duan X. Software-defined Networking enabled Resource Management and Security Provisioning in 5G Heterogeneous Networks.
- [70]. Zhu Y. Efficient resource allocation for 5G hybrid wireless networks (Doctoral dissertation, UCL (University College London)).
- [71]. Sharma V, You I, Leu FY, Atiquzzaman M. Secure and efficient protocol for fast handover in 5G mobile Xhaul networks. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*. 2018 Jan 15;102:38-57.
- [72]. You I, Lee JH. SPFP: Ticket-based secure handover for fast proxy mobile IPv6 in 5G networks. *Computer Networks*. 2017 Dec 24;129:363-72.
- [73]. Fan CI, Huang JJ, Zhong MZ, Hsu RH, Chen WT, Lee J. ReHand: Secure Region-based Fast Handover with User Anonymity for Small Cell Networks in 5G. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.03406*. 2018 Jun 9.
- [74]. Chen S, Qin F, Hu B, Li X, Chen Z. User-centric ultra-dense networks for 5G: challenges, methodologies, and directions. *IEEE Wireless Communications*. 2016 Apr;23(2):78-85.
- [75]. Munir K, Zahoor E, Rahim R, Lagrange X, Lee JH. Secure and Fault-Tolerant Distributed Location Management for Intelligent 5G Wireless Networks. *IEEE Access*. 2018;6:18117-27.
- [76]. Sharma V, You I, Palmieri F, Jayakody DN, Li J. Secure and Energy-Efficient Handover in Fog Networks Using Blockchain-Based DMM. *IEEE Communications Magazine*. 2018 May;56(5):22-31.
- [77]. Prasad A, Lundén P, Moisio M, Uusitalo MA, Li Z. Efficient mobility and traffic management for delay tolerant cloud data in 5G networks. InPIMRC 2015 Aug 30 (pp. 1740-1745).
- [78]. Kantola R, Llorente Santos J, Beijar N. Policy-based communications for 5G mobile with customer edge switching. *Security and Communication Networks*. 2016 Nov 10;9(16):3070-82.
- [79]. Kantor M, Engel T, Ormazabal G. A policy-based per-flow mobility management system design. InProceedings of the Principles, Systems and Applications on IP Telecommunications 2015 Oct 6 (pp. 35-42). ACM.

- 
- [80]. Compagno A, Zeng X, Muscariello L, Carofiglio G, Auge J. Secure producer mobility in information-centric network. In *Proceedings of the 4th ACM Conference on Information-Centric Networking 2017 Sep 26* (pp. 163-169). ACM.
- [81]. Guan J, Wei Z, You I. GRBC-based Network Security Functions placement scheme in SDS for 5G security. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*. 2018 Jul 15;114:48-56.
- [82]. Jung Y, Festijo E, Peradilla M. Joint operation of routing control and group key management for 5G ad hoc D2D networks. In *Privacy and Security in Mobile Systems (PRISMS), 2014 International Conference on 2014 May 11* (pp. 1-8). IEEE.
- [83]. Wang M, Yan Z. Security in D2D communications: A review. In *Trustcom/BigDataSE/ISPA, 2015 IEEE 2015 Aug 20* (Vol. 1, pp. 1199-1204). IEEE.
- [84]. Choyi VK, Abdel-Hamid A, Shah Y, Ferdi S, Brusilovsky A. Network slice selection, assignment and routing within 5G Networks. In *Standards for Communications and Networking (CSCN), 2016 IEEE Conference on 2016 Oct 31* (pp. 1-7). IEEE.
- [85]. Naqvi IF, Siddiqui AK, Farooq A. IPv6 adoption rate and performance in the 5G wireless internets. In *Region 10 Conference (TENCON), 2016 IEEE 2016 Nov 22* (pp. 3850-3858). IEEE.
- [86]. Schmittner M, Asadi A, Hollick M. SEMUD: Secure multi-hop device-to-device communication for 5G public safety networks. In *IFIP Networking Conference (IFIP Networking) and Workshops, 2017 2017 Jun 12* (pp. 1-9). IEEE.
- [87]. Zhao Y, Li G, Qu W. A Novel Cluster-Based Ultra-Dense Network Technique for 5G and its Security Issues. In *Dependable, Autonomic and Secure Computing, 15th Intl Conf on Pervasive Intelligence & Computing, 3rd Intl Conf on Big Data Intelligence and Computing and Cyber Science and Technology Congress (DASC/PiCom/DataCom/CyberSciTech), 2017 IEEE 15th Intl 2017 Nov 6* (pp. 362-367). IEEE.
- [88]. Othmen S, Zarai F, Belghith A, Kamoun L. Secure Routing Protocol based on Weil Paring for Multi-hop Cellular Network (SRP-MCN). *IJCSNS*. 2016 Jun 1;16(6):117.
- [89]. Gomez G, Martin-Vega FJ, Lopez-Martinez FJ, Liu Y, ElKashlan M. Uplink NOMA in Large-Scale Systems: Coverage and Physical Layer Security. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.04693*. 2017 Sep 14.
- [90]. Forouzesh M, Azmi P, Mokari N, Wong KK. Robust Physical Layer Security for Power Domain Non-orthogonal Multiple Access-Based HetNets and HUDNs, SIC Avoidance at Eavesdroppers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.02013*. 2018 Jun 6.
- [91]. Kapetanovic D, Zheng G, Rusek F. Physical layer security for massive MIMO: An overview on passive eavesdropping and active attacks. *IEEE Communications Magazine*. 2015 Jun;53(6):21-7.
- [92]. Wang HM, Zheng TX, Yuan J, Towsley D, Lee MH. Physical layer security in heterogeneous cellular networks. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*. 2016 Mar;64(3):1204-19.
- [93]. Qin Z, Liu Y, Ding Z, Gao Y, ElKashlan M. Physical layer security for 5G non-orthogonal multiple access in large-scale networks. In *Communications (ICC), 2016 IEEE International Conference on 2016 May 22* (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- [94]. Liu Y, Qin Z, ElKashlan M, Gao Y, Hanzo L. Enhancing the Physical Layer Security of Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access in Large-Scale Networks. *IEEE Trans. Wireless Communications*. 2017 Mar 1;16(3):1656-72.
- [95]. Zhang C, Ge J, Xia Z, Du H. Graph Theory Based Cooperative Transmission for Physical-Layer Security in 5G Large-Scale Wireless Relay Networks. *IEEE ACCESS*. 2017 Jan 1;5:21640-9.
- [96]. Chen J, Yang L, Alouini MS. Physical layer security for cooperative NOMA systems. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*. 2018 May;67(5):4645-9.
- [97]. Pan F, Jiang Y, Wen H, Liao R, Xu A. Physical Layer Security Assisted 5G Network Security. In *Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC-Fall), 2017 IEEE 86th 2017 Sep 24* (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
- [98]. Zhu F, Gao F, Lin H, Jin S, Zhao J, Qian G. Robust Beamforming for Physical Layer Security in BDMA Massive MIMO. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*. 2018 Apr 9.



- 
- [99]. Wang WQ, Zheng Z. Hybrid MIMO and Phased-Array Directional Modulation for Physical Layer Security in mmWave Wireless Communications. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*. 2018 Apr 9.
- [100]. Morgado A, Huq KM, Mumtaz S, Rodriguez J. A survey of 5G technologies: regulatory, standardization and industrial perspectives. *Digital Communications and Networks*. 2018 Apr 1;4(2):87-97
- [101]. Cheng J, Chen W, Tao F, Lin CL. Industrial IoT in 5G environment towards smart manufacturing. *Journal of Industrial Information Integration*. 2018 Jun 1;10:10-9.
- [102]. Mavromatis I, Tassi A, Rigazzi G, Piechocki RJ, Nix A. Multi-Radio 5G Architecture for Connected and Autonomous Vehicles: Application and Design Insights. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.09510*. 2018 Jan 29.
- [103]. Agyapong PK, Iwamura M, Staehle D, Kiess W, Benjebbour A. Design considerations for a 5G network architecture. *IEEE Communications Magazine*. 2014 Nov;52(11):65-75.
- [104]. Han Q, Liang S, Zhang H. Mobile cloud sensing, big data, and 5G networks make an intelligent and smart world. *IEEE Network*. 2015 Mar;29(2):40-5.
- [105]. Lema MA, Laya A, Mahmoodi T, Cuevas M, Sachs J, Markendahl J, Dohler M. Business case and technology analysis for 5g low latency applications. *IEEE Access*. 2017;5:5917-35.
- [106]. Saghezchi FB, Mantas G, Ribeiro J, Al-Rawi M, Mumtaz S, Rodriguez J. Towards a secure network architecture for smart grids in 5G era. In *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing Conference (IWCMC), 2017 13th International* 2017 Jun 26 (pp. 121-126). IEEE.
- [107]. Arfaoui G, Bisson P, Blom R, Borgaonkar R, Englund H, Félix E, Klaedtke F, Nakarmi PK, Näslund M, O'Hanlon P, Papay J. A Security Architecture for 5G Networks. *IEEE Access*. 2018;6:22466-79.
- [108]. Santos J, Wauters T, Volckaert B, DeTurck F. Fog computing: Enabling the management and orchestration of smart city applications in 5g networks. *Entropy*. 2017 Dec 23;20(1):4.
- [109]. Celdrán AH, Pérez MG, Clemente FJ, Pérez GM. Towards the autonomous provision of self-protection capabilities in 5G networks. *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*. 2018:1-4.
- [110]. Santos J, Leroux P, Wauters T, Volckaert B, De Turck F. Anomaly detection for Smart City applications over 5G low power wide area networks. In *NOMS 2018-2018 IEEE/IFIP Network Operations and Management Symposium* 2018 Apr 23. IEEE.
- [111]. Lichtman M, Rao RM, Marojevic V, Reed JH, Jover RP. 5G NR Jamming, Spoofing, and Sniffing: Threat Assessment and Mitigation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.03845*. 2018 Mar 10.
- [112]. Schneider P, Horn G. Towards 5G security. In *Trustcom/BigDataSE/ISPA, 2015 IEEE* 2015 Aug 20 (Vol. 1, pp. 1165-1170). IEEE.
- [113]. Luo S, Wu J, Li J, Guo L, Pei B. Toward vulnerability assessment for 5G mobile communication networks. In *Smart City/SocialCom/SustainCom (SmartCity), 2015 IEEE International Conference on* 2015 Dec 19 (pp. 72-76). IEEE.
- [114]. Mohseni-Ejyeh A, Ashouri-Talouki M. SeVR+: Secure and privacy-aware cloud-assisted video reporting service for 5G vehicular networks. In *Electrical Engineering (ICEE), 2017 Iranian Conference on* 2017 May 2 (pp. 2159-2164). IEEE.
- [115]. Bouras C, Kollia A, Papazois A. Teaching network security in mobile 5G using ONOS SDN controller. In *Ubiquitous and Future Networks (ICUFN), 2017 Ninth International Conference on* 2017 Jul 4 (pp. 465-470). IEEE.
- [116]. Mantas G, Komninos N, Rodriuez J, Logota E, Marques H. Security for 5G communications.
- [117]. Svensson M, Paladi N, Giustolisi R. 5G: Towards secure ubiquitous connectivity beyond 2020.
- [118]. Gupta A, Jha RK, Jain S. Attack modeling and intrusion detection system for 5G wireless communication network. *International Journal of Communication Systems*. 2017 Jul 10;30(10):e3237.
- [119]. Ahmad I, Kumar T, Liyanage M, Okwuibe J, Ylianttila M, Gurtov A. 5G security: Analysis of threats and solutions. In *Standards for Communications and Networking (CSCN), 2017 IEEE Conference on* 2017 Sep 18 (pp. 193-199). IEEE.
- [120]. Alliance NG. 5G security recommendations package 2: Network slicing.

- 
- [121]. Felita C, Suryanegara M. 5G key technologies: Identifying innovation opportunity. In *QIR (Quality in Research)*, 2013 International Conference on 2013 Jun 25 (pp. 235-238). IEEE.
- [122]. Yang N, Wang L, Geraci G, Elkashlan M, Yuan J, Di Renzo M. Safeguarding 5G wireless communication networks using physical layer security. *IEEE Communications Magazine*. 2015 Apr;53(4):20-7.
- [123]. Sun L, Du Q. Physical layer security with its applications in 5G networks: A review. *China Communications*. 2017 Dec;14(12):1-4.
- [124]. Gai K, Qiu M, Tao L, Zhu Y. Intrusion detection techniques for mobile cloud computing in heterogeneous 5G. *Security and Communication Networks*. 2016 Nov 10;9(16):3049-58.
- [125]. Atat R, Liu L, Chen H, Wu J, Li H, Yi Y. Enabling cyber-physical communication in 5g cellular networks: challenges, spatial spectrum sensing, and cyber-security. *IET Cyber-Physical Systems: Theory & Applications*. 2017 Apr 1;2(1):49-54.
- [126]. Mämmelä O, Hiltunen J, Suomalainen J, Ahola K, Mannersalo P, Vehkaperä J. Towards Micro-Segmentation in 5G Network Security. In *European Conference on Networks and Communications (EuCNC 2016) Workshop on Network Management, Quality of Service and Security for 5G Networks 2016 Jun*.
- [127]. Gandotra P, Jha RK. A survey on green communication and security challenges in 5G wireless communication networks. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*. 2017 Oct 15;96:39-61.
- [128]. Dorri A, Kanhere SS, Jurdak R, Gauravaram P. Blockchain for IoT security and privacy: The case study of a smart home. In *Pervasive Computing and Communications Workshops (PerCom Workshops)*, 2017 IEEE International Conference on 2017 Mar 13 (pp. 618-623). IEEE.
- [129]. Gupta T, Choudhary G, Sharma V. A Survey on the Security of Pervasive Online Social Networks (POSNs). *arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.07526*. 2018 Jun 20.
- [130]. Oravec JA. Emerging “cyber hygiene” practices for the Internet of Things (IoT): Professional issues in consulting clients and educating users on IoT privacy and security. In *Professional Communication Conference (ProComm)*, 2017 IEEE International 2017 Jul 23 (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
- [131]. Dorri A, Kanhere SS, Jurdak R, Gauravaram P. LSB: A Lightweight Scalable BlockChain for IoT Security and Privacy. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1712.02969*. 2017 Dec 8.
- [132]. Sharma V, Kumar R, Cheng WH, Atiquzzaman M, Srinivasan K, Zomaya A. NHAD: Neuro-Fuzzy Based Horizontal Anomaly Detection In Online Social Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*. 2018 Mar 22.
- [133]. Sharma V, Kum J, Kwon S, You I, Leu F.-Y. An overview of 802.21a-2012 and its incorporation into iot-fog networks using osmotic framework. In *IoTaaS 2017 – 3rd EAI International Conference on IoT as a Service*, volume 3, pages 1–6. EAI, September 2017.
- [134]. You I, Kwon S, Choudhary G, Sharma V, Seo JT. An Enhanced LoRaWAN Security Protocol for Privacy Preservation in IoT with a Case Study on a Smart Factory-Enabled Parking System. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*. 2018 Jun 8;18(6).
- [135]. Khan MA, Salah K. IoT security: Review, blockchain solutions, and open challenges. *Future Generation Computer Systems*. 2018 May 1;82:395-411.
- [136]. Baranidharan B. Internet of Things (IoT) Technologies, Architecture, Protocols, Security, and Applications: A Survey. In *Handbook of Research on Cloud and Fog Computing Infrastructures for Data Science 2018* (pp. 149-174). IGI Global.
- [137]. Sharma V, Kim J, Kwon S, You I, Lee K, Yim K. A framework for mitigating zero-day attacks in IoT. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.05549*. 2018 Apr 16.
- [138]. Sharma V, Kum J, Kwon S, You I, Leu F.-Y. Fuzzy-based protocol for secure remote diagnosis of iot devices in 5g networks. In *IoTaaS 2017 – 3rd EAI International Conference on IoT as a Service*, volume 3, pages 1–6. EAI, September 2017.
- [139]. Ni J, Lin X, Shen XS. Efficient and Secure Service-Oriented Authentication Supporting Network Slicing for 5G-Enabled IoT. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*. 2018 Mar;36(3):644-57.