



L'Ingeniería de Com

Rate-adaptive selective relaying using time diversity for relay-assisted FSO communications

Rubén Boluda-Ruiz*, Antonio García-Zambrana, Beatriz Castillo-Vázquez and Carmen Castillo-Vázquez

Dept. Communications Engineering University of Málaga (Spain) rbr@ic.uma.es*

3rd IEEE Global Conference on Signal and Information Processing December 16, 2015

- 2 System and Channel Model
- 3 Error rate performance analysis
- 4 Numerical results and concluding remarks

- 2 System and Channel Model
- 3 Error rate performance analysis
- 4 Numerical results and concluding remarks

Free-space optical (FSO) communication systems

- Applications: Metropolitan area network (MAN) extension, local area network (LAN)to-LAN connectivity, an alternative to radio-frequency (RF) systems ...
- □ Intensity Modulated and Direct Detection (IM/DD).
- Transmitter: semiconductor laser diode (LD).
- Receiver: Optical filters, lens, photodiode (PD).

Advantages

- Low cost.
- Unregulated bandwidth.
- High date rates (Gbps).
- High security.

X Disadvantages

- Atmospheric turbulence, which produces fluctuations in the irradiance of the received optical beam.
- Misalignment between transmitter and receiver.
- Light cannot pass through walls.

Cooperative communications ...

- ✓ An alternative way of realizing spatial diversity advantages.
- The source node sends the information to the relay node and, the relay node resends the received information to the destination node.
- ✓ Different operation modes: Amplify-and-forward (AF) and decode-and-forward (DF).
- ✓ Different configurations: serial and parallel, or combination of serial and parallel.
- □ ... are becoming essential for future wireless networks.
- ... can significantly improve the performance by creating diversity using transceivers available at the other nodes of the network.

- □ The motivation is to analyze the error-rate performance for a 3-way cooperative FSO communications system:
 - A cooperative protocol based on the optical path selection with a greater value of fading gain together with time-diversity order of 2 by using repetition coding (RC) is proposed.
 - Channel side information (CSI) is known at the transmitter as well as at the receiver.
 - The relay node is operating in DF mode.
 - Gamma-Gamma fading channels with pointing errors are considered.

Error-rate performance analysis

- Novel closed-form asymptotic expressions for the bit error-rate (BER).
- Diversity order gain analysis.
- A greater robustness to the relay location will be corroborated by using time-diversity in all links as well as a higher diversity order.

2 System and Channel Model

- 3 Error rate performance analysis
- 4 Numerical results and concluding remarks

Block diagram under study



- ✓ A cooperative FSO system based on three separate FSO links is adopted.
- ✓ (x_R, y_R) represents the relay location.
- Equal Gain Combining (EGC) reception.
- All coefficients (irradiances) are statistically independent.

Proposed cooperative strategy by using time-diversity in all links:

- □ When $I_{SD} > I_{SR}$: The FSO communication is only based on the direct transmission to the destination node, by using RC of 2.
- □ When $I_{SD} < I_{SR}$: The cooperation mode has been selected. The FSO communication is based on the the S-R-D path or cooperative transmission.
- The data received from the source node at the destination node as well as at the relay node are stored in a buffer for further detection. A block-fading later, the source node repeats the bit sequence transmitted, not being necessary to perform another selection when the source node is repeating the data.
- The relay node resends within the next block-fading the data sent in previous blockfading to the destination node.

The relay node detects each bit based on repetition coding and sends the bit with the new power to the destination node D regardless of these bits are detected correctly or incorrectly.

Channel Model I

The received electrical signal for each link is given by

$$Y_m = \eta X I_m + Z_m, \quad X \in \{0, d_E\}, \quad Z_m \sim N(0, N_0/2)$$

- \rightarrow *Y_m* is the received electrical signal.
- ⇒ η is the detector responsivity ($\eta = 1$).
- ⇒ X_m is the binary transmitted signal ($d_E = 2P_{opt}\sqrt{T_b\xi}$).
- \blacktriangleright *I_m* represents the irradiance between source and destination.

The irradiance (I_m) is considered to be a product of three factors $I_m = L_m \cdot I_m^{(a)} \cdot I_m^{(p)}$:

- \Box Deterministic propagation loss (*L_m*).
 - ► Exponential Beers-Lambert law as $L_m = e^{-\Phi d}$.
 - ▶ $Φ = (3.91/V(km)) (λ(nm)/550)^{-q}.$
- □ Atmospheric turbulence $(I_m^{(a)})$.
 - GG turbulence model of parameters α and β , which depend on the Rytov variance.
 - Turbulence conditions from moderate to strong.
- **D** Pointing errors $(I_m^{(p)})$.
 - ▶ Normalized beam width (ω_z/r) , normalized jitter (σ_s/r) and detector size (r).
 - Ratio between equivalent beam radius at the receiver and the pointing error displacement standard deviation (jitter) at the receiver, $\varphi = \omega_{z_{eq}}/2\sigma_s$.

The probability density function (PDF) of *I_m* is approximated by

$$f_{l_m}(i) \approx a_m i^{b_m - 1} = \begin{cases} \frac{\varphi^2(\alpha\beta)^\beta \Gamma(\alpha - \beta)}{(A_0 L_m)^\beta \Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta) (\varphi^2 - \beta)} i^{\beta - 1}, & \varphi^2 > \beta \\ \frac{\varphi^2(\alpha\beta)^{\varphi^2} \Gamma(\alpha - \varphi^2) \Gamma(\beta - \varphi^2)}{(A_0 L_m)^{\varphi^2} \Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} i^{\varphi^2 - 1}, & \varphi^2 < \beta \end{cases}$$

Due to the fact that the asymptotic behavior of the system performance is dominated by the behavior of the PDF near the origin.

□ Parameters α and β can be directly linked to physical parameters through the following expressions:

$$\begin{split} \alpha &= \left[\exp\left(0.49\sigma_R^2 / (1+1.11\sigma_R^{12/5})^{7/6} \right) - 1 \right]^{-1}, \\ \beta &= \left[\exp\left(0.51\sigma_R^2 / (1+0.69\sigma_R^{12/5})^{5/6} \right) - 1 \right]^{-1}, \end{split}$$

being $\sigma_R^2 = 1.23 C_n^2 \kappa^{7/6} d^{11/6}$ the Rytov variance.

2 System and Channel Model

3 Error rate performance analysis

4 Numerical results and concluding remarks

Asymptotic BER performance analysis of cooperative FSO systems using time-diversity

The average BER behaves asymptotically as

$$P_b \doteq (G_c \gamma \xi)^{-G_d},$$

where G_d and G_c denote diversity gain and coding gain, respectively [2].

The statistical channel model is given by

$$\begin{split} Y_0 &= X(I_{SD_1} + I_{SD_2}) + Z_{SD_1} + Z_{SD_2}, \qquad I_{SD_1} > I_{SR_1} \\ Y_1 &= X^*(I_{RD_1} + I_{RD_2}) + Z_{RD_1} + Z_{RD_2}, \qquad I_{SD_1} < I_{SR_1} \end{split}$$

• X^* represents the RV corresponding to the information detected at the relay node $X^* = X$ detected correctly $X^* = d_E - X$ detected incorrectly

The BER corresponding to the proposed cooperative protocol is given by

$$P_{b} = P_{b}^{SD} + P_{b}^{SRD} = P_{b}^{SD} + P_{b}^{SR_{0}}P_{b}^{RD_{1}} + P_{b}^{RD_{0}}P_{b}^{SR_{1}} = P_{b}^{SD} + P_{b}^{SR}(1 - P_{b}^{RD}) + P_{b}^{RD}(1 - P_{b}^{SR})$$

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

The average BER at the node D corresponding to the S-D link is given by

$$P_{b}^{SD} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} Q\left(\sqrt{\gamma\xi}(i_{1}+i_{2})\right) F_{I_{SR}}(i_{1})f_{I_{SD}}(i_{1})f_{I_{SD}}(i_{2})di_{1}di_{2}.$$

Since the variates I_{SD_1} and I_{SD_2} are independent, knowing that the resulting PDF of their sum I_{SD_T} can be determined by using the moment generating function of their corresponding PDFs. An approximate expression for the PDF, $f_{I_{SD_T}}(i)$, of the combined variates can easily be derived as

$$f_{I_{SDT}}(i) pprox rac{a_{SD}^2 \Gamma(b_{SD}) \Gamma(b_{SD}+b_{SR})}{(a_{SR})^{-1} b_{SR} \Gamma(2b_{SD}+b_{SR})} i^{2b_{SD}+b_{SR}-1}.$$

The closed-form asymptotic solution for the BER, P_b^{SD} , is obtained as

$$\mathcal{P}_{b}^{SD} \doteq rac{a_{SD}^{2}a_{SR}\Gamma(b_{SD})\Gamma\left((1+2b_{SD}+b_{SR})/2
ight)}{b_{SR}(2b_{SD}+b_{SR})\Gamma\left((2b_{SD}+1)/2
ight)}2^{rac{1}{2}(b_{SR}-2b_{SD})}(\gamma\xi)^{-rac{1}{2}(2b_{SD}+b_{SR})}$$

The BER corresponding to the S-R link is given by

$$P_{b}^{SR} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} Q\left(\sqrt{\gamma\xi}(i_{1}+i_{2})\right) F_{I_{SD}}(i_{1})f_{I_{SR}}(i_{1})f_{I_{SR}}(i_{2})di_{1}di_{2}.$$

The closed-form asymptotic solution for the BER, P_b^{SR} , is obtained as

$$\mathcal{P}_{b}^{SR} \doteq rac{a_{SR}^{2}a_{SD}\Gamma(b_{SR})\Gamma\left((1+2b_{SR}+b_{SD})/2
ight)}{b_{SD}(2b_{SR}+b_{SD})\Gamma\left((2b_{SR}+1)/2
ight)}2^{rac{1}{2}(b_{SD}-2b_{SR})}(\gamma\xi)^{-rac{1}{2}(2b_{SR}+b_{SD})}.$$

 \Box The success probability, $P_b^{SR_1}$, corresponding to the S-R link can be obtained as

$$P_{b}^{SR_{1}} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} (1 - P_{b}^{SR}(I_{SR_{1}}, I_{SR_{2}})) F_{I_{SD}}(i_{1}) f_{I_{SR}}(i_{1}) f_{I_{SR}}(i_{2}) di_{1} di_{2} \doteq \int_{0}^{\infty} F_{I_{SD}}(i) f_{I_{SR}}(i) di.$$

and the corresponding closed-form asymptotic solution can be seen in

$$P_{b}^{SR_{1}} \doteq \frac{\varphi_{SR}^{2}\varphi_{SD}^{2}G_{5,5}^{4,3}\left(\frac{\alpha_{SR}\beta_{SR}A_{SD}L_{SD}}{\alpha_{SD}\beta_{SD}A_{SR}L_{SR}}\right| \frac{1-\varphi_{SD}^{2}, 1-\alpha_{SD}, 1-\beta_{SD}, 1, \varphi_{SR}^{2}+1}{\varphi_{SR}^{2}, \alpha_{SR}, \beta_{SR}, 0, -\varphi_{SD}^{2}}\right)}{\Gamma(\alpha_{SR})\Gamma(\beta_{SD})\Gamma(\beta_{SR})\Gamma(\beta_{SD})}.$$

The closed-form asymptotic solution for the BER, P_b^{RD} , is obtained as

$$\mathcal{P}^{\textit{RD}}_{b} \doteq rac{a^2_{\textit{RD}} \Gamma(b_{\textit{RD}})(\gamma \xi)^{-rac{1}{2}(2b_{\textit{RD}})}}{2^{b_{\textit{RD}}+1} b_{\textit{RD}}}$$

The average BER expression corresponding to the PS-RC cooperative protocol can be simplified as follows

$$P_{b} = P_{b}^{SD} + P_{b}^{SRD} \doteq \begin{cases} P_{b}^{SD}, & b_{\min} = 2b_{SD} + b_{SR} \\ P_{b}^{SR}, & b_{\min} = 2b_{SR} + b_{SD} \\ P_{b}^{SR_{1}} \cdot P_{b}^{RD}, & b_{\min} = 2b_{RD} \end{cases}$$

where $b_{\min} = \min \left(2b_{SD} + b_{SR}, b_{SD} + 2b_{SR}, 2b_{RD} \right)$.

Diversity order gain, G_d

$$G_d = \min\left(2b_{SD} + b_{SR}, b_{SD} + 2b_{SR}, 2b_{RD}\right)/b_{SD}.$$

- 2 System and Channel Model
- 3 Error rate performance analysis
- 4 Numerical results and concluding remarks

Diversity order gain and average rate-reduction



The condition φ² > β is satisfied for each link.

System configuration									
Parameter	Symbol	Value							
S-D link distance	d _{SD}	3 km							
Wavelength	λ	1550 nm							
Normalized beam width	ω_z/r	5							
Normalized jitter	σ_{s}/r	1							

Weather parameters

Conditions	Visibility (km)	$C_n^2 \times 10^{-14} m^{-2/3}$		
Haze	4	2 (Moderate turb.)		
Clear	16	8 (Strong turb.)		

- The diversity order gain is always greater than 1.75, achieving a value of 4.
- A higher robustness is obtained when time-diversity is used in all links.
- The average rate-reduction decreases as source-relay link increases.

Error-rate performance



System configuration									
Param	neter	Symbol	Value						
S-D link o	distance	d _{SD}	3 km						
Wavele	ength	λ	1550 nm						
Rectangular p	oulse shape	ξ	1						
Normalized I	ceam width	ω_z/r	5						
Normaliz	ed jitter	σ_{s}/r	$\{1, 2, 3\}$						
Weather parameters									
Conditions	Visibility (km)	$C_n^2 \times 1$	$10^{-14} m^{-2/3}$						
Haze	4	2 (M	oderate turb.)						
Clear 16 8 (Strong tu									
	Paran S-D link c Wavele Rectangular p Normalized I Normaliz Weather param Conditions Haze Clear	Parameter Parameter S-D link distance Wavelength Rectangular pulse shape Normalized beam width Normalized jitter Weather parameters Conditions Visibility (km) Haze 4 Clear 16	Parameter Symbol Parameter Symbol S-D link distance d_{SD} Wavelength λ Rectangular pulse shape ξ Normalized beam width ω_z/r Normalized jitter σ_s/r Weather parameters Conditions Visibility (km) $C_n^2 \times$ Haze 4 2 (Minor Color Colo						

System configuration

- Direct path link is included as a benchmark and Monte Carlo simulation results are also included.
- These results corroborate an excellent agreement with previous figure.

✓ There is a perfect match between asymptotic results and simulation results.

Rubén Boluda-Ruiz

- Cooperative communications can improve the error-rate performance without much increase in hardware.
- Error-rate performance depends on the relay location as well as pointing error effects.
- A relevant increase of the diversity gain is achieved when time-diversity is used in all links.
- A greater robustness is achieved regardless of the relay location and the presence of pointing errors.
- ✓ There is a perfect match between asymptotic results and simulation results.





L'Ingeniería de Come

Rate-adaptive selective relaying using time diversity for relay-assisted FSO communications

Rubén Boluda-Ruiz*, Antonio García-Zambrana, Beatriz Castillo-Vázquez and Carmen Castillo-Vázquez

Dept. Communications Engineering University of Málaga (Spain) rbr@ic.uma.es*

3rd IEEE Global Conference on Signal and Information Processing December 16, 2015

Cooperative strategy using time-diversity

Symbol	t_1	t_2	t_3	t_4		t_n	t_{n+1}	t_{n+2}	t_{n+3}	t_{n+4}	 t_l	t_{l+1}
1	$S \rightarrow D$					$S \to D$						
2		$S \rightarrow D$					$S \rightarrow D$					
3			$S \to R$					$S \to R$	$R \to D$		 $R \rightarrow D$	
4				$S \to R$					$S \rightarrow R$	$R \rightarrow D$	 	$R \rightarrow D$
					-							
1												

When *I_{SD} > I_{SR}*: Direct transmission to the destination node, by using RC of 2.
 When *I_{SD} < I_{SR}*: Cooperative transmission.

- The data received from the source node at the destination node as well as at the relay node are stored in a buffer for further detection. A block-fading later, the source node repeats the bit sequence transmitted, not being necessary to perform another selection when the source node is repeating the data.
- Both destination and relay node are able to detect the received information from the source node in both block-fading, establishing in this manner repetition coding.
- The relay node resends within the next block-fading the data sent in previous blockfading to the destination node.