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# THE APPLICATIONS OF CAUCHY-SCHWARTZ INEQUALITY FOR HILBERT MODULES TO ELEMENTARY OPERATORS AND I.P.T.I. TRANSFORMERS 

## Dragoljub J. Kečkić


#### Abstract

We apply the inequality $|\langle x, y\rangle| \leq\|x\|\langle y, y\rangle^{1 / 2}$ to give an easy and elementary proof of many operator inequalities for elementary operators and inner type product integral transformers obtained during last two decades, which also generalizes many of them.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $A$ be a Banach algebra, and let $a_{j}, b_{j} \in A$. Elementary operators, introduced by Lummer and Rosenblum in [12] are mappings from $A$ to $A$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} x b_{j} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finite sum may be replaced by infinite sum provided some convergence condition.
A similar mapping, called inner product type integral transformer (i.p.t.i. transformers in further), considered in [6], is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}_{t} X \mathcal{B}_{t} \mathrm{~d} \mu(t) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where $(\Omega, \mu)$ is a measure space, and $t \mapsto \mathcal{A}_{t}, \mathcal{B}_{t}$ are fields of operators in $B(H)$.
During last two decades, there were obtained a number of inequalities involving elementary operators on $B(H)$ as well as i.p.t.i. type transformers. The aim of this paper is to give an easy and elementary proof of those proved in $[\mathbf{?}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{9}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2 1}, \mathbf{1 0}]$ and $[\mathbf{1 1}]$ using the Cauchy Schwartz inequality for Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules - the inequality stated in the abstract, which also generalizes all of them.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper $A$ will always denote a semifinite von Neumann algebra, and $\tau$ will denote a semifinite trace on $A$. By $L^{p}(A ; \tau)$ we will denote the non-commutative $L^{p}$ space, $L^{p}(A ; \tau)=\left\{a \in A \mid\|a\|_{p}=\tau\left(|a|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}<+\infty\right\}$.

It is well known that $L^{1}(A ; \tau)^{*} \cong A, L^{p}(A ; \tau)^{*} \cong L^{q}(A ; \tau), 1 / p+1 / q=1$. Both dualities are realized by

$$
L^{p}(A ; \tau) \ni a \mapsto \tau(a b) \in \mathbf{C}, \quad b \in L^{q}(A ; \tau) \text { or } b \in A .
$$

For more details on von Neumann algebras the reader is referred to [13], and for details on $L^{p}(A, \tau)$ to [15].

Let $M$ be a right Hilbert $W^{*}$-module over $A$. (Since $M$ is right we assume that $A$-valued inner product is $A$-linear in second variable, and adjoint $A$-linear in the first.) We assume, also, that there is a faithful left action of $A$ on $M$, that is, an embedding (and hence an isometry) of $A$ into $B^{a}(M)$ the algebra of all adjointable bounded $A$-linear operators on $M$. Hence, for $x, y \in M$ and $a, b \in A$ we have

$$
\langle x, y\rangle a=\langle x, y a\rangle, \quad\langle x a, y\rangle=a^{*}\langle x, y\rangle, \quad\langle x, a y\rangle=\left\langle a^{*} x, y\right\rangle .
$$

For more details on Hilbert modules, the reader is referred to [14] or [16].
We quote the basic property of $A$-valued inner product, a variant od CauchySchwartz inequality.

Proposition 1. Let $M$ be a Hilbert $C^{*}$-module over $A$. For any $x, y \in M$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\langle x, y\rangle|^{2} \leq\|x\|^{2}\langle y, y\rangle, \quad|\langle x, y\rangle| \leq\|x\|\langle y, y\rangle^{1 / 2}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the ordering of $A$.
The proof can be found in [14, page 3] or [16, page 3]. Notice: $1^{\circ}$ the left inequality implies the right one, since $t \mapsto t^{1 / 2}$ is operator increasing function; $2^{\circ}$ Both inequalities hold for $A$-valued semi-inner product, i.e. even if $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ may be degenerate.

Finally, we need a counterpart of Tomita modular conjugation.
Definition 1. Let $M$ be a Hilbert $W^{*}$-module over a semifinite von Neumann algebra $A$, and let there is a left action of $A$ on $M$.

A (possibly unbounded) mapping $J$, defined on some $A$ submodule $M_{0} \subseteq M$ with values in $M$, we call modular conjugation if it satisfies: $(i) J(a x b)=b^{*} J(x) a^{*}$; (ii) $\tau(\langle J(y), J(x)\rangle)=\tau(\langle x, y\rangle)$ whenever $\langle x, x\rangle,\langle y, y\rangle,\langle J(x), J(x)\rangle,\langle J(y), J(y)\rangle \in$ $L^{1}(A, \tau)$.

In what follows, we shall use simpler notation $\bar{x}$ instead of $J(x)$. Thus, the determining equalities become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{a x b}=b^{*} \bar{x} a^{*}, \quad \tau(\langle\bar{y}, \bar{x}\rangle)=\tau(\langle x, y\rangle) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall call the module $M$ together with left action of $A$ and the modular conjugation $J$ conjugated $W^{*}$-module.

Definition 2. Let $M$ be a conjugated $W^{*}$-module over $A$. We say that $x \in M_{0}$ is normal, if $(i)\langle x, x\rangle x=x\langle x, x\rangle,(i i)\langle x, x\rangle=\langle\bar{x}, \bar{x}\rangle$.

Remark 1. It might be a nontrivial question, whether $J$ can be defined on an arbitrary Hilbert $W^{*}$-module in a way similar to the construction of Tomita's modular conjugation (see [18]). However for our purpose, the preceding definition is enough.

Examples of conjugated modules are following.
Example 1. Let $A$ be a semifinite von Neumann algebra, and let $M=A^{n}$. For $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in M, a \in A$, define right multiplication, left action of $A$, the $A$-valued inner product and modular conjugation by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x a=\left(x_{1} a, \ldots, x_{n} a\right), \quad a x=\left(a x_{1}, \ldots, a x_{n}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle x, y\rangle=x_{1}^{*} y_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}^{*} y_{n}, \quad \bar{x}=\left(x_{1}^{*}, \ldots, x_{n}^{*}\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

All required properties are easily verified. The element $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is normal whenever all $x_{j}$ are normal and mutually commute.

We have

$$
\langle x, a y\rangle=\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}^{*} a y_{j}
$$

which is the term of the form (1).
There are two important modules with infinite number of summands.
Example 2. Let $A$ be a semifinite von Neumann algebra. We consider the standard Hilbert module $l^{2}(A)$ over $A$ and its dual module $l^{2}(A)^{\prime}$ defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
l^{2}(A)=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, \ldots\right) \mid \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} a_{k}^{*} a_{k} \text { converges in norm of } A\right\} . \\
l^{2}(A)^{\prime}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, \ldots\right) \mid\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}^{*} a_{k}\right\| \leq M<+\infty\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

(It is clear that $x \in l^{2}(A)^{\prime}$ if and only if the series $\sum x_{k}^{*} x_{k}$ weakly converges.)
The basic operation on these modules are given by (5) and (6) with infinite number of entries.

The main difference between $l^{2}(A)\left(l^{2}(A)^{\prime}\right.$ respectively) and $A^{n}$ is the fact that $\bar{x}=\left(x_{1}^{*}, \ldots, x_{n}^{*}, \ldots\right)$ is defined only on the subset of $l^{2}(A)$ consisting of those $x \in M$ for which $\sum x_{k} x_{k}^{*}$ converges in the norm of $A$.

The element $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, \ldots\right) \in M_{0}$ is normal whenever all $x_{j}$ are normal and mutually commute.

Remark 2. The notation $l^{2}(A)^{\prime}$ comes from the fact that $l^{2}(A)^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to the module of all adjointable bounded $A$-linear functionals $\Lambda: M \rightarrow A$.

For more details on $l^{2}(A)$ or $l^{2}(A)^{\prime}$ see $[\mathbf{1 6}, \S 1.4$ and $\S 2.5]$.
Example 3. Let $A$ be a semifinite von Neumann algebra and let $(\Omega, \mu)$ be a measure space. Consider the space $L^{2}(\Omega, A)$ consisting of all weakly-* measurable functions such that $\int_{\Omega} x^{*} x \mathrm{~d} \mu<+\infty$ weak-* converges. The weak-* measurability is reduced to the measurability of functions $\varphi(x(t))$ for all normal states $\varphi$, since the latter generate the predual of $A$.

Basic operations are given by

$$
x(t) \cdot a=x(t) a, \quad a \cdot x(t)=a x(t), \quad\langle x, y\rangle=\int_{\Omega} x(t)^{*} y(t) \mathrm{d} \mu(t), \quad \bar{x}(t)=x(t)^{*} .
$$

All required properties are easily verified. The mapping $x \mapsto \bar{x}$ is again defined on a proper subset of $L^{2}(\Omega, A)$. The element $x$ is normal if $x(t)$ is normal for almost all $t$, and $x(t) x(s)=x(s) x(t)$ for almost all $(s, t)$.

Again, for $a \in A$ we have

$$
\langle x, a y\rangle=\int_{\Omega} x(t)^{*} a y(t) \mathrm{d} \mu(t)
$$

which is the term of the form (2).
Thus, norm estimates of elementary operators (1), or i.t.p.i. transformers (2) are estimates of the term $\langle x, a y\rangle$.

In section 4 we need two more examples.
Example 4. Let $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be conjugated $W^{*}$-modules over a semifinite von Neumann algebra $A$. Consider the interior tensor product of Hilbert modules $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ constructed as follows. The linear span of $x_{1} \otimes x_{2}, x_{1} \in M_{1}, x_{2} \in M_{2}$ subject to the relations

$$
a\left(x_{1} \otimes x_{2}\right)=a x_{1} \otimes x_{2}, \quad x_{1} a \otimes x_{2}=x_{1} \otimes a x_{2}, \quad\left(x_{1} \otimes x_{2}\right) a=x_{1} \otimes x_{2} a,
$$

and usual bi-linearity of $x_{1} \otimes x_{2}$, can be equipped by an $A$-valued semi-inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{1} \otimes x_{2}, y_{1} \otimes y_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle x_{2},\left\langle x_{1}, y_{1}\right\rangle y_{2}\right\rangle . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The completion of the quotient of this linear span by the kernel of (7) is denoted by $M_{1} \otimes M_{2}$ and called interior tensor product of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$. For more details on tensor products, see [14, Chapter 4].

If $M_{1}=M_{2}=M, M \otimes M$ can be endowed with a modular conjugation by

$$
\overline{x_{1} \otimes x_{2}}=\overline{x_{2}} \otimes \overline{x_{1}} .
$$

All properties are easily verified. Also, $x$ normal implies $x \otimes x$ is normal and $\langle x \otimes x, x \otimes x\rangle=\langle x, x\rangle^{2}$.

Example 5. Let $M_{n}, n \in \mathbf{N}$ be conjugated modules. Their infinite direct sum $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{+\infty} M_{n}$ is the module consisting of those sequences $\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n} \in M_{n}$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left\langle x_{n}, x_{n}\right\rangle$ weakly converges, with the $A$-valued inner product

$$
\left\langle\left(x_{n}\right),\left(y_{n}\right)\right\rangle=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left\langle x_{n}, y_{n}\right\rangle .
$$

The modular conjugation can be given by $\overline{\left(x_{n}\right)}=\left(\overline{x_{n}}\right)$. In particular, we need the full Fock module

$$
F=\bigoplus_{n=0}^{+\infty} M^{\otimes n}
$$

where $M^{\otimes 0}=A, M^{\otimes 1}=M, M^{\otimes 2}=M \otimes M, M^{\otimes 3}=M \otimes M \otimes M$, etc.
For $x \in M,\|x\|<1$ the element $\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} x^{\otimes n} \in F$ (where $x^{\otimes 0}:=1$ ) is well defined. It is normal whenever $x$ is normal. Also, for normal $x$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} x^{\otimes n}, \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} x^{\otimes n}\right\rangle=\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\left\langle x^{\otimes n}, x^{\otimes n}\right\rangle=\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\langle x, x\rangle^{n}=(1-\langle x, x\rangle)^{-1} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall deal with unitarily invariant norms on the algebra $B(H)$ of all bounded Hilbert space operators. For more details, the reader is referred to [22, Chapter III]. We use the following facts. For any unitarily invariant norm $\|\cdot \cdot\|$, we have $\|A\|=\left\|A^{*}\right\|=\||A|\|=\|U A V\|=\|A\|$ for all unitaries $U$ and $V$, as well as $\|A\| \leq\|A\| \leq\|A\|_{1}$. The latter allows the following well known interpolation Lemma, which we state with a proof.

Lemma 2. Let $T$ and $S$ be linear mappings defined on the space $\mathcal{C}_{\infty}$ of all compact operators on Hilbert space $H$. If

$$
\|T x\| \leq\|S x\| \text { for all } x \in \mathcal{C}_{\infty}, \quad\|T x\|_{1} \leq\|S x\|_{1} \text { for all } x \in \mathcal{C}_{1}
$$

then

$$
\|T x\| \leq\|S x\|
$$

for all unitarily invariant norms.

Proof. The norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ are dual to each other, in the sense

$$
\|x\|=\sup _{\|y\|_{1}=1}|\operatorname{tr}(x y)|, \quad\|x\|_{1}=\sup _{\|y\|=1}|\operatorname{tr}(x y)| .
$$

Hence, $\left\|T^{*} x\right\| \leq\left\|S^{*} x\right\|,\left\|T^{*} x\right\|_{1} \leq\left\|S^{*} x\right\|_{1}$.
Consider the Ky Fan norm $\|\cdot\|_{(k)}$. Its dual norm is $\|\cdot\|_{(k)}^{\sharp}=\max \{\|$. $\left.\|,(1 / k)\| \cdot \|_{1}\right\}$. Thus, by duality, $\|T x\|_{(k)} \leq\|S x\|_{(k)}$ and the result follows by Ky Fan dominance property, [22, §3.4].

## 3. CAUCHY-SCHWARTZ INEQUALITIES

Cauchy-Schwartz inequality for $\|\cdot\|$ follows from (3), for $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ by duality and for other norms by interpolation.

Theorem 3. Let $A$ be a semifinite von Neumann algebra, let $M$ be a conjugated $W^{*}$-module over $A$ and let $a \in A$. Then:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|\langle x, a y\rangle\| \leq\|x\|\|y\|\|a\|, \quad\|\langle x, a y\rangle\|_{1} \leq\left\|\langle\bar{x}, \bar{x}\rangle^{1 / 2} a\langle\bar{y}, \bar{y}\rangle^{1 / 2}\right\|_{1}  \tag{9}\\
\|\langle x, a y\rangle\|_{2} \leq\|x\|\left\|a\langle\bar{y}, \bar{y}\rangle^{1 / 2}\right\|_{2}, \quad \text { and } \quad\|\langle x, a y\rangle\|_{2} \leq\|y\|\left\|\langle\bar{x}, \bar{x}\rangle^{1 / 2} a\right\|_{2} .
\end{gather*}
$$

In particular, if $A=B(H), \tau=\operatorname{tr}$ and $x, y$ are normal, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\langle x, a y\rangle\| \leq\left\|\langle x, x\rangle^{1 / 2} a\langle y, y\rangle^{1 / 2}\right\| \| \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all unitarily invariant norms $\|\cdot\|$.
Proof. By (3), we have $\|\langle x, a y\rangle\| \leq\|x\|\|a y\| \leq\|x\|\|y\|\|a\|$, which proves the first inequality in (9).

For the proof of the second, note that by (4), for all $a \in L^{1}(A ; \tau)$ we have $\tau(b\langle x, a y\rangle)=\tau\left(\left\langle x b^{*}, a y\right\rangle\right)=\tau\left(\left\langle\bar{y} a^{*}, b \bar{x}\right\rangle\right)=\tau(a\langle\bar{y}, b \bar{x}\rangle)$. Hence for $\langle\bar{x}, \bar{x}\rangle,\langle\bar{y}, \bar{y}\rangle \leq 1$ $\|\langle x, a y\rangle\|_{1}=\sup _{\|b\|=1}|\tau(b\langle x, a y\rangle)|=\sup _{\|b\|=1}|\tau(a\langle\bar{y}, b \bar{x}\rangle)| \leq \sup _{\|b\|=1}\|a\|_{1}\|\langle\bar{y}, b \bar{x}\rangle\| \leq\|a\|_{1}$,

In the general case, let $\varepsilon>0$ be arbitrary, and let $x_{1}=(\langle\bar{x}, \bar{x}\rangle+\varepsilon)^{-1 / 2} x$ and $y_{1}=(\langle\bar{y}, \bar{y}\rangle+\varepsilon)^{-1 / 2} y$. Then $\overline{x_{1}}=\bar{x}(\langle\bar{x}, \bar{x}\rangle+\varepsilon)^{-1 / 2}$ and $\overline{y_{1}}=\bar{y}(\langle\bar{y}, \bar{y}\rangle+\varepsilon)^{-1 / 2}$ (by (4)). Thus

$$
\left\langle\overline{x_{1}}, \overline{x_{1}}\right\rangle=(\langle x, x\rangle+\varepsilon)^{-1 / 2}\langle x, x\rangle(\langle x, x\rangle+\varepsilon)^{-1 / 2} \leq 1,
$$

by continuous functional calculus. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\langle x, a y\rangle\|_{1} & =\left\|\left\langle(\langle\bar{x}, \bar{x}\rangle+\varepsilon)^{1 / 2} x_{1}, a(\langle\bar{y}, \bar{y}\rangle+\varepsilon)^{1 / 2} y_{1}\right\rangle\right\|_{1}= \\
& =\left\|\left\langle x_{1},(\langle\bar{x}, \bar{x}\rangle+\varepsilon)^{1 / 2} a(\langle\bar{y}, \bar{y}\rangle+\varepsilon)^{1 / 2} y_{1}\right\rangle\right\|_{1} \leq  \tag{12}\\
& =\left\|(\langle\bar{x}, \bar{x}\rangle+\varepsilon)^{1 / 2} a(\langle\bar{y}, \bar{y}\rangle+\varepsilon)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{1},
\end{align*}
$$

and let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. (Note $\left\|(\langle\bar{x}, \bar{x}\rangle+\varepsilon)^{1 / 2}-\langle\bar{x}, \bar{x}\rangle^{1 / 2}\right\| \leq \varepsilon^{1 / 2}$.)
To prove (10), by (3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\langle x, a y\rangle|^{2} \leq\|x\|^{2}\langle a y, a y\rangle=\|x\|^{2}\left\langle y, a^{*} a y\right\rangle . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Apply $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ to the previous inequality. By (9) we obtain

$$
\|\langle x, a y\rangle\|_{2}^{2} \leq\|x\|^{2}\left\|\left\langle y, a^{*} a y\right\rangle\right\|_{1} \leq\|x\|^{2}\left\|\langle\bar{y}, \bar{y}\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} a^{*} a\langle\bar{y}, \bar{y}\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{1}=\|x\|^{2}\left\|a\langle\bar{y}, \bar{y}\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{2}^{2} .
$$

This proves the first inequality in (10). The second follows by duality

$$
\|\langle x, a y\rangle\|_{2}=\left\|\left\langle y, a^{*} x\right\rangle\right\|_{2} \leq\|y\|\left\|a^{*}\langle\bar{x}, \bar{x}\rangle^{1 / 2}\right\|_{2}=\|y\|\left\|\langle\bar{x}, \bar{x}\rangle^{1 / 2} a\right\|_{2} .
$$

Finally, if $A=B(H), \tau=\operatorname{tr}$ and $x, y$ normal. Then (11) holds for $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ by (9). For the operator norm, it follows by normality. Namely then $x\langle x, x\rangle=\langle x, x\rangle x$ and we can repeat argument from (12). Now, the general result follows from Lemma 2

Corollary 4. If $A=B(H)$ and $M=l^{2}(A)^{\prime}$ (Example 2), then (11) is [?, Theorem 2.2] (the first formula from the abstract). If $M=L^{2}(\Omega, A), A=B(H),(11)$ is $/ \mathbf{6}$, Theorem 3.2] (the second formula from the abstract).

Remark 3. The inequality (13) for $M=B(H)^{n}$ is proved in [21] using complicated identities and it plays an important role in that paper.

Using three line theorem (which is a standard procedure), we can interpolate results of Theorem 3 to $L^{p}(A, \tau)$ spaces.

Theorem 5. Let $A$ be a semifinite von Neumann algebra, and let $M$ be a conjugated $W^{*}$-module over $A$. For all $p, q, r>1$ such that $1 / q+1 / r=2 / p$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\langle x, a y\rangle\|_{p} \leq\left\|\left\langle\langle x, x\rangle^{q-1} \bar{x}, \bar{x}\right\rangle^{1 / 2 q} a\left\langle\langle y, y\rangle^{r-1} \bar{y}, \bar{y}\right\rangle^{1 / 2 r}\right\|_{p} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $u, v \in M_{0}$ and let $b \in A$. For $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \lambda, \operatorname{Re} \mu \leq 1$ consider the function

$$
f(\lambda, \mu)=\left\langle(\langle\bar{u}, \bar{u}\rangle+\varepsilon)^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}} u(\langle u, u\rangle+\varepsilon)^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}, b(\langle\bar{v}, \bar{v}\rangle+\varepsilon)^{-\frac{\mu}{2}} v(\langle v, v\rangle+\varepsilon)^{\frac{\mu-1}{2}}\right\rangle .
$$

This is an analytic function (obviously).
On the boundaries of the strips, we estimate. For $\operatorname{Re} \lambda=\operatorname{Re} \mu=0$

$$
f(i t, i s)=\left\langle(\langle\bar{u}, \bar{u}\rangle+\varepsilon)^{-\frac{i t}{2}} u(\langle u, u\rangle+\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{i t}{2}}, b(\langle\bar{v}, \bar{v}\rangle+\varepsilon)^{-\frac{i s}{2}} v(\langle v, v\rangle+\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{i s}{2}}\right\rangle .
$$

Since $(\langle\bar{u}, \bar{u}\rangle+\varepsilon)^{-i t / 2},(\langle u, u\rangle+\varepsilon)^{i t / 2},(\langle\bar{v}, \bar{v}\rangle+\varepsilon)^{-i s / 2}$ and $(\langle v, v\rangle+\varepsilon)^{i s / 2}$ are unitary operators, and since the norm of $u(\langle u, u\rangle+\varepsilon)^{-1 / 2}, v(\langle v, v\rangle+\varepsilon)^{-1 / 2}$ does not exceed 1 , by (9) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(i t, i s)\| \leq\|b\| . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\operatorname{Re} \lambda=\operatorname{Re} \mu=1$
$f(1+i t, 1+i s)=\left\langle(\langle\bar{u}, \bar{u}\rangle+\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{i t}{2}} u(\langle u, u\rangle+\varepsilon)^{\frac{i t}{2}}, b(\langle\bar{v}, \bar{v}\rangle+\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{i s}{2}} v(\langle v, v\rangle+\varepsilon)^{\frac{i s}{2}}\right\rangle$.
By a similar argument, by (9) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(1+i t, 1+i s)\|_{1} \leq\|b\|_{1} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\operatorname{Re} \lambda=0, \operatorname{Re} \mu=1$, by (10) we have
$f(i t, 1+i s)=\left\langle(\langle\bar{u}, \bar{u}\rangle+\varepsilon)^{-\frac{i t}{2}} u(\langle u, u\rangle+\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{i t}{2}}, b(\langle\bar{v}, \bar{v}\rangle+\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{i s}{2}} v(\langle v, v\rangle+\varepsilon)^{\frac{i s}{2}}\right\rangle$,
and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(i t, 1+i s)\|_{2} \leq\|b\|_{2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(1+i t, i s)\|_{2} \leq\|b\|_{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us interpolate between (15) and (17). Let $r>1$. Then $1 / r=\theta \cdot 1+(1-\theta) \cdot 0$ for $\theta=1 / r$. Then $(\theta \cdot(1 / 2)+(1-\theta) \cdot 0)^{-1}=2 r$ and hence, by three line theorem (see [23] and [15]) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(0+i t, 1 / r)\|_{2 r} \leq\|b\|_{2 r} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, interpolating between (18) and (16) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(1+i t, 1 / r)\|_{\frac{2 r}{r+1}} \leq\|b\|_{\frac{2 r}{r+1}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $1 / r=\theta \cdot 1+(1-\theta) \cdot 0$ for same $\theta=1 / r$ and $(\theta \cdot 1+(1-\theta) \cdot(1 / 2))^{-1}=2 r /(r+1)$
Finally, interpolate between (19) and (20). Then $1 / q=\theta \cdot 1+(1-\theta) \cdot 0$ for $\theta=1 / q$ and therefore $\left(\theta \cdot \frac{r+1}{2 r}+(1-\theta) \frac{1}{2 r}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{2 q r} \cdot(r+1+q-1)=p$. Thus

$$
\|f(1 / q, 1 / r)\|_{p} \leq\|b\|_{p}
$$

i.e.

$$
\left\|\left\langle(\langle\bar{u}, \bar{u}\rangle+\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2 q}} u(\langle u, u\rangle+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1-q}{2 q}}, b(\langle\bar{v}, \bar{v}\rangle+\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2 r}} v(\langle v, v\rangle+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1-q}{2 q}}\right\rangle\right\|_{p} \leq\|b\|_{p} .
$$

After substitutions

$$
u=x\langle x, x\rangle^{(q-1) / 2}, \quad v=\langle y, y\rangle^{(r-1) / 2}, \quad b=(\langle\bar{u}, \bar{u}\rangle+\varepsilon)^{1 / 2 q} a(\langle\bar{v}, \bar{v}\rangle+\varepsilon)^{1 / 2 r},
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\|\left\langle x\langle x, x\rangle^{(q-1) / 2}\left(\langle x, x\rangle^{q}+\varepsilon\right)^{(1-q) / 2 q}, a y\langle y, y\rangle^{(q-1) / 2}\left(\langle y, y\rangle^{q}+\varepsilon\right)^{(1-q) / 2 q}\right\rangle\right\|_{p} \leq \\
\leq\left\|\left(\left\langle\langle x, x\rangle^{q-1} \bar{x}, \bar{x}\right\rangle+\varepsilon\right)^{1 / 2 q} a\left(\left\langle\langle y, y\rangle^{r-1} \bar{y}, \bar{y}\right\rangle+\varepsilon\right)^{1 / 2 r}\right\|_{p}
\end{array}
$$

which after $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ yields (14), using the argument similar to that in [16, Lemma 1.3.9].

Remark 4. In a special case $A=B(H), \tau=\operatorname{tr}, M=l^{2}(A)^{\prime}, r=q=p$ formula (14) becomes [8, Theorem 2.1] (the main result).

Also, for $A=B(H), \tau=\operatorname{tr}, M=L^{2}(\Omega, A)$ formula (14) becomes [6, Theorem 3.3] (the first displayed formula from the abstract), there proved with an additional assumption that $\Omega$ is $\sigma$-finite.

In the next two section we derive some inequalities that regularly arise from Cauchy-Schwartz inequality.
4. INEQUALITIES OF THE TYPE $|1-\langle x, y\rangle| \geq\left(1-\|x\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(1-\|y\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$

The basic inequality can be proved as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|(1-\langle x, y\rangle)^{-1}\right| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}|\langle x, y\rangle|^{n} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\|x\|^{n}\|y\|^{n} \leq \\
&\left(\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\|x\|^{2 n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\|y\|^{2 n}\right)^{1 / 2}=\left(1-\|x\|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(1-\|y\|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Following this method we prove:
Theorem 6. Let $M$ be a conjugated $W^{*}$-module over $A=B(H)$, let $x, y \in M_{0}$ be normal, and let $\langle x, x\rangle,\langle y, y\rangle \leq 1$. Then

$$
\left\|(1-\langle x, x\rangle)^{1 / 2} a(1-\langle y, y\rangle)^{1 / 2}\right\| \leq\|a-\langle x, a y\rangle\|
$$

in any unitarily invariant norm.
Proof. We use examples 4 and 5.
Denote $T a=\langle x, a y\rangle$. We have $T^{2} a=\langle x,\langle x, a y\rangle y\rangle=\langle x \otimes x, a(y \otimes y)\rangle$ and by induction $T^{k} a=\left\langle x^{\otimes k}, a y^{\otimes k}\right\rangle$. Suppose $\|x\|,\|y\| \leq \delta<1$. Then $\left\|x^{\otimes k}\right\|,\left\|y^{\otimes k}\right\| \leq \delta^{k}$ and hence $\left\|T^{k}\right\| \leq \delta^{2 k}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I-T)^{-1}=\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} T^{k} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $b=(I-T)^{-1} a$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\|b\| & =\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} T^{k} a\right\|=\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\left\langle x^{\otimes k}, a y^{\otimes k}\right\rangle\right\|=\left\|\left\langle\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} x^{\otimes k}, a \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} y^{\otimes k}\right\rangle\right\| \leq  \tag{22}\\
& \leq\left\|\left\langle\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} x^{\otimes k}, \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} x^{\otimes k}\right\rangle^{1 / 2} a\left\langle\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} y^{\otimes k}, \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} y^{\otimes k}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}\right\| .
\end{align*}
$$

by (11) and normality of $x$ and $y$. Invoking (8), inequality (22) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(I-T)^{-1} a\right\| \leq\left\|(1-\langle x, x\rangle)^{-1 / 2} a(1-\langle y, y\rangle)^{-1 / 2}\right\| . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, note that the mappings $I-T$ and $a \mapsto(1-\langle x, x\rangle)^{-1 / 2} a(1-\langle y, y\rangle)^{-1 / 2}$ commute (by normality of $x$ and $y$ ) and put $(1-\langle x, x\rangle)^{-1 / 2}(a-T a)(1-\langle y, y\rangle)^{-1 / 2}$ in place of $a$, to obtain the conclusion.

If $\|x\|,\|y\|=1$ then put $\delta x$ instead of $x$ and let $\delta \rightarrow 1-$.
Remark 5. If $M=L^{2}(\Omega, A)$ this is [6, Theorem 4.1] (the last formula from the abstract). If $M=B(H) \times B(H), x=(I, A), y=(I, B)$ then it is [?, Theorem 2.3] (the last formula from the abstract).

Remark 6. Instead of $t \mapsto 1-t$ we may consider any other function $f$ such that $1 / f$ is well defined on some $[0, c)$ and has Taylor expansion with positive coefficients, say $c_{n}$. Then distribute $\sqrt{c_{n}}$ on both arguments in inner product in (22) and after few steps we get

$$
\left\|\left(f\left(x^{*} x\right)\right)^{1 / 2} a\left(f\left(y^{*} y\right)\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|\|\leq\| f(T) \| .
$$

For instance, for $t \mapsto(1-t)^{\alpha}, \alpha>0$ we have $(1-t)^{-\alpha}=\sum c_{n} t^{n}$, where $c_{n}=\Gamma(n+\alpha) /(\Gamma(\alpha) n!)>0$ and we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(1-\langle x, x\rangle)^{\alpha / 2} a(1-\langle y, y\rangle)^{\alpha / 2}\right\| \leq\left\|(I-T)^{\alpha} a\right\| \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

in any unitarily invariant norm. For $M=A=B(H)$, (24) reduces to

$$
\left\|\left(1-x^{*} x\right)^{\alpha / 2} a\left(1-y^{*} y\right)^{\alpha / 2}\right\| \left\lvert\, \leq\left\|\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}(-1)^{n}\binom{a}{n} x^{* n} a y^{n}\right\|\right. \|
$$

which is the main result of $[\mathbf{1 1}]$. Varying $f$, we may obtain many similar inequalities.
Finally, if normality condition on $x$ and $y$ is dropped, we can use (14) to obtain some inequalities in $L^{p}(A ; \tau)$ spaces.

Theorem 7. Let $M$ be a conjugated $W^{*}$-module over a semifinite von Neumann algebra $A$, let $x, y \in M_{0},\|x\|,\|y\|<1$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{z}=\left\langle\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} z^{\otimes n}, \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} z^{\otimes n}\right\rangle^{-1 / 2}, \quad \text { for } z \in\{x, y, \bar{x}, \bar{y}\} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\left\|\Delta_{x}^{1-1 / q} a \Delta_{y}^{1-1 / r}\right\|_{p} \leq\left\|\Delta_{\bar{x}}^{-1 / q}(a-\langle x, a y\rangle) \Delta_{\bar{y}}^{-1 / r}\right\|_{p}
$$

for all $p, q, r>1$ such that $1 / q+1 / r=2 / p$.

Proof. Let $b=(I-T) a$. We have $a=(I-T)^{-1} b$ and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Delta_{x}^{1-1 / q} a \Delta_{y}^{1-1 / r}\right\|_{p} & =\left\|\Delta_{x}^{1-1 / q} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\left\langle x^{\otimes n}, b y^{\otimes n}\right\rangle \Delta_{y}^{1-1 / r}\right\|_{p}= \\
& =\left\|\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\left\langle x^{\otimes n} \Delta_{x}^{1-1 / q}, b y^{\otimes n} \Delta_{y}^{1-1 / r}\right\rangle\right\|_{p} \leq\|u b v\|_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

by (14), where

$$
u=\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\left\langle\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\left\langle x^{\otimes n} \Delta_{x}^{1-1 / q}, x^{\otimes n} \Delta_{x}^{1-1 / q}\right\rangle^{q-1} \Delta_{x}^{1-1 / q} \bar{x}^{\otimes n}, \Delta_{x}^{1-1 / q} \bar{x}^{\otimes n}\right\rangle^{1 / 2 q} .
$$

After a straightforward calculation, we obtain $u=\Delta_{\bar{x}}^{-1 / q}$ and similarly $v=\Delta_{\bar{y}}^{-1 / r}$ and the conclusion follows.

Remark 7. When $A=B(H), \tau=\mathrm{tr}$, this is the main result of [10], from which we adapted the proof for our purpose. However, the application of Fock module technique significantly simplified the proof.

Also, in [10], the assumptions are relaxed to $r\left(T_{x, x}\right), r\left(T_{y, y}\right) \leq 1$, where $r$ stands for the spectral radius and $T_{x, y}(a)=\langle x, a y\rangle$. This easily implies $r\left(T_{x, y}\right) \leq 1$. First, it is easy to see that $\left\|T_{z, z}\right\|=\|z\|^{2}$. Indeed, by (9) we have $\left\|T_{z, z}\right\| \leq\|z\|^{2}$. On the other hand, choosing $a=1$ we obtain $\left\|T_{z, z}\right\| \geq\left\|T_{z, z}(1)\right\|=\|\langle z, z\rangle\|=\|z\|^{2}$. Again, by (9), we have $\left\|T_{x, y}\right\| \leq\|x\|\|y\|=\sqrt{\left\|T_{x, x}\right\|\left\|T_{y, y}\right\|}$. Apply this to $x^{\otimes n}$ and $y^{\otimes n}$ instead of $x$ and $y$ and we get $\left\|T_{x, y}^{n}\right\| \leq \sqrt{\left\|T_{x, x}^{n}\right\|\left\|T_{y, y}^{n}\right\|}$ from which we easily conclude $r\left(T_{x, y}\right)^{2} \leq r\left(T_{x, x}\right) r\left(T_{y, y}\right)$ by virtue of spectral radius formula. (In a similar way, we can conclude $r\left(T_{x, x}\right)=\|x\|$ for normal $x$.)

Thus, if both $r\left(T_{x, x}\right), r\left(T_{y, y}\right)<1$, the series in (25) converge. If some of $r\left(T_{x, x}\right), r\left(T_{y, y}\right)=1$ then define $\Delta_{x}=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \Delta_{\delta x}=\inf _{0<\delta<1} \Delta_{\delta x}$, etc, and the result follows, provided that series that defines $\Delta_{\bar{x}}$ and $\Delta_{\bar{y}}$ are weakly convergent.

## 5. GRÜSS TYPE INEQUALITIES

For classical Grüss inequality, see $[\mathbf{1 9}, \S 2.13]$. We give a generalization to Hilbert modules following very simple approach from [20] in the case of Hilbert spaces.

Theorem 8. Let $M$ be a conjugated $W^{*}$-module over $B(H)$, and let $e \in M$ be such that $\langle e, e\rangle=1$. Then the mapping $\Phi: M \times M \rightarrow B(H), \Phi(x, y)=\langle x, y\rangle-$ $\langle x, e\rangle\langle e, y\rangle$. is a semi-inner product.

If, moreover, $e$ is central (i.e. ae $=e a$ for all a) and $x, y \in M_{0}$ are normal with respect to $\Phi$ and some conjugation then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\langle x, a y\rangle-\langle x, e\rangle\langle e, a y\rangle\| \leq\left\|\left(\langle x, x\rangle-|\langle x, e\rangle|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} a\left(\langle y, y\rangle-|\langle y, e\rangle|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\| \| \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

in any unitarily invariant norm.
Finally, if $x, y$ belongs to balls with diameters $[m e, M e]$ and $[p e, P e](m, M$, $p, P \in \mathbf{R})$, respectively, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\langle x, a y\rangle-\langle x, e\rangle\langle e, a y\rangle\| \leq \frac{1}{4}\|a\||M-m \| P-p| . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Here, $x$ belongs to the ball with diameter $[y, z]$ iff $\left\|x-\frac{y+z}{2}\right\| \leq\left\|\frac{z-y}{2}\right\|$.)
Proof. The mapping $\Phi$ is obviously linear in $y$ and conjugate linear in $x$. Moreover, by inequality (3)

$$
\langle x, e\rangle\langle e, x\rangle=|\langle e, x\rangle|^{2} \leq\|e\|^{2}\langle x, x\rangle=\langle x, x\rangle,
$$

i.e. $\Phi(x, x) \geq 0$. Hence $\Phi$ is an $A$-valued (semi)inner product.

If $e$ is central it is easy to derive $\Phi(x, a y)=\Phi\left(a^{*} x, y\right)$. Hence, if $x, y$ are normal, then, by (11) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\Phi(x, a y)\| \leq\left\|\Phi(x, x)^{1 / 2} a \Phi(y, y)^{1 / 2}\right\| \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

in any unitarily invariant norm. Writing down the expression for $\Phi$ we obtain (26).
Finally, for the last conclusion, note that $\Phi(x, x)=\Phi(x-e c, x-e c)$ for any $c \in \mathbf{C}$ (direct verification), and hence $\Phi(x, x) \leq\langle x-e c, x-e c\rangle$, which implies $\left\|\Phi(x, x)^{1 / 2}\right\| \leq\|x-e c\|$. Choosing $c=(M+m) / 2$, we obtain $\left\|\Phi(x, x)^{1 / 2}\right\| \leq$ $(M-m) / 2$. Similarly, $\left\|\Phi(y, y)^{1 / 2}\right\| \leq(P-p) / 2$. Thus (28) implies (27).

Remark 8. Choose $M=L^{2}(\Omega, \mu), \mu(\Omega)=1$ and choose $e$ to be the function identically equal to 1 . Then

$$
\Phi(x, a y)=\int_{\Omega} x(t)^{*} a y(t) \mathrm{d} \mu(t)-\int_{\Omega} x(t)^{*} \mathrm{~d} \mu(t) \int_{\Omega} a y(t) \mathrm{d} \mu(t),
$$

and from (26) and (27) we obtain main results of [4].
Remark 9. Applying other inequalities from section 3, we can derive other results from [4]. Also, applying inequality $|\langle x, a y\rangle|^{2} \leq\|x\|^{2}\langle a y, a y\rangle$ to the mapping $\Phi$ instead of $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ we obtain the key result of $[9]$, there proved by complicated identities.

## 6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Both, elementary operators and i.p.t.i. transformers on $B(H)$ are special case of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle x, T y\rangle \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x, y$ are vectors from some Hilbert $W^{*}$-module $M$ over $B(H)$ and $T: M \rightarrow M$ is given by left action of $B(H)$.

Although there are many results independent of the representation (29), a lot of inequalities related to elementary operators and i.p.t.i. transformers can be reduced to elementary properties of the $B(H)$-valued inner product.
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