

## Lower bounds of the Laplacian graph eigenvalues

by Aleksandar Torgašev<sup>a</sup> and Miroslav Petrović<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Mathematical Faculty, Studentski trg 16a, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia and Montenegro*

<sup>b</sup> *Faculty of Science, Radoja Domanovića 12, 34000 Kragujevac, Serbia and Montenegro*

---

Communicated by Prof. J.J. Duistermaat at the meeting of May 24, 2004

### ABSTRACT

In this paper we prove that all positive eigenvalues of the Laplacian of an arbitrary simple graph have some positive lower bounds. For a fixed integer  $k \geq 1$  we call a graph without isolated vertices  $k$ -minimal if its  $k$ th greatest Laplacian eigenvalue reaches this lower bound. We describe all 1-minimal and 2-minimal graphs and we prove that for every  $k \geq 3$  the path  $P_{k+1}$  on  $k + 1$  vertices is the unique  $k$ -minimal graph.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a simple graph on  $n$  vertices and the vertex set  $V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ . Next, let  $A(G) = [a_{ij}]$  be its  $(0, 1)$  adjacency matrix, and  $D(G) = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$  be the diagonal matrix with degrees  $d_1, \dots, d_n$  of its vertices  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ . Then  $L(G) = D(G) - A(G)$  is called the Laplacian matrix of the graph  $G$ . It is symmetric, singular and positive semidefinite. Its eigenvalues are all real and nonnegative and form the Laplacian spectrum  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$  of the graph  $G$ . We shall always assume that  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ . It is well known that  $\lambda_n = 0$  and the multiplicity of 0 is equal to the number of (connected) components of  $G$ . The Laplacian eigenvalue  $\lambda_{n-1}(G)$  of any graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices is called by M. Fiedler *the algebraic connectivity* of  $G$  and denoted by  $a(G)$ . It is known that  $a(G) > 0$  if and only if  $G$  is a connected graph.

For any integer  $n \geq 1$ ,  $P_n$  is the path on  $n$  vertices. As is well known, the Laplacian spectrum of the path  $P_n$  on  $n$  vertices reads  $\{2(1 + \cos \frac{\pi i}{n}) \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ ,

---

E-mails: [torgasev@matf.bg.ac.yu](mailto:torgasev@matf.bg.ac.yu) (A. Torgašev), [petrovic@knez.uis.kg.ac.yu](mailto:petrovic@knez.uis.kg.ac.yu) (M. Petrović).

wherefrom  $a(P_n) = \lambda_{n-1}(P_n) = 2(1 - \cos \frac{\pi}{n})$ . For any  $k \in N = \{1, 2, \dots\}$  denote:

$$A_k = a(P_{k+1}) = 2\left(1 - \cos \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right).$$

The Laplacian spectrum of graphs is widely investigated in the literature, and some related papers are quoted in the list of references. In the sequel we quote some known results which we shall use later. The most important result for us was proved by M. Fiedler in [5].

**Theorem 1** [5]. *For every connected graph  $G$  on  $n \geq 2$  vertices*

$$(1) \quad a(G) = \lambda_{n-1}(G) \geq A_{n-1}.$$

*Equality holds in (1) if and only if  $G$  is the path  $P_n$  on  $n$  vertices.*

**Theorem 2** [7]. *If a graph  $H$  on  $m$  vertices is a subgraph (not necessary induced) of a graph  $G$ , then for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$  we have*

$$\lambda_i(H) \leq \lambda_i(G).$$

Further we recall the notion of the direct sum of two graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . If  $G_1$  is a graph whose vertex set is  $V(G_1)$  and the edge set is  $E(G_1)$  and  $G_2$  is a graph whose vertex set is  $V(G_2)$  and the edge set is  $E(G_2)$ , and if  $V(G_1) \cap V(G_2) = \emptyset$ , then their direct sum  $G_1 \dot{+} G_2$  is the graph whose vertex set is  $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$  and the edge set is  $E(G_1) \cup E(G_2)$ . It is well known that the Laplacian spectrum of the graph  $G_1 \dot{+} G_2$  is the union of Laplacian spectra of its summands  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . The last property is also true for any finite direct sum of graphs  $G_1 \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} G_m$  ( $m \geq 2$ ).

If  $E_m$  ( $m \geq 0$ ) is the void graph on  $m$  vertices, then for any graph  $G$  and any  $m \geq 0$ , the positive Laplacian eigenvalues of  $G$  and  $G \dot{+} E_m$  are the same. Hence, we shall always assume that all considered graphs have no isolated vertices.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $G$  be an arbitrary graph and  $\lambda_k(G) > 0$  for some  $k \in N$ .*

(a) *If  $G$  is a connected graph, then*

$$(2) \quad \lambda_k(G) \geq A_k,$$

*with equality in (2) at least for the path  $P_{k+1}$ .*

(b) *If  $G$  is a disconnected graph and  $k \geq 2$  then  $\lambda_k(G) > A_k$ .*

**Proof.** (a) First assume that  $G$  is a connected graph with  $n$  vertices and  $\lambda_k(G) > 0$ . Then obviously  $n > k$ . Now it is easy to see that there is at least one connected graph  $H_{k+1}$  with  $k+1$  vertices which is an induced subgraph of  $G$ . By Theorem 2 we then have that

$$(3) \quad \lambda_k(G) \geq \lambda_k(H_{k+1}).$$

Since  $H_{k+1}$  is a connected graph, Theorem 1 gives

$$(4) \quad \lambda_k(H_{k+1}) \geq A_k.$$

Inequality (2) follows immediately from (3) and (4).

The path  $P_{k+1}$  obviously satisfies equality in (2) for every  $k \in N$ .

(b) Next assume that  $G$  is a disconnected graph on  $n > k$  vertices, and  $\lambda_k(G) > 0$ . Denote its components by  $G_1, \dots, G_m$  ( $m \geq 2$ ). Since the Laplacian spectrum of  $G$  is the union of the Laplacian spectra of the graphs  $G_1, \dots, G_m$ , we conclude that there is some  $i \leq m$  and  $j \leq k$  such that  $\lambda_k(G) = \lambda_j(G_i)$ . We shall distinguish two cases.

1<sup>0</sup>.  $\lambda_k(G) \geq 2$ . Then  $\lambda_k(G) \geq A_1 = 2 > A_k$  because  $k \geq 2$ .

2<sup>0</sup>.  $\lambda_k(G) < 2$ . In this case  $j < k$  because  $\lambda_1(G_1), \dots, \lambda_1(G_m) \geq A_1 = 2$ , so by the statement (a)  $\lambda_k(G) = \lambda_j(G_i) \geq A_j > A_k$ .  $\square$

By inequality (2) we can say that for every integer  $k$  the interval  $(0, A_k)$  is "forbidden" for the Laplace eigenvalue  $\lambda_k(G)$  of any graph  $G$  of order  $n = |G| > k$ .

Applying Proposition 1 to the complementary graph  $\bar{G}$  of a graph  $G$ , we can easily prove the following upper bound for the eigenvalue  $\lambda_k(G)$ .

**Corollary 1.** *If a graph  $G$  of order  $n > k$  satisfies  $\lambda_k(G) < n$ , then*

$$(5) \quad \lambda_k(G) \leq B_{n,k} = n - A_{n-k}.$$

*Equality holds in (5) if, for instance,  $G$  is isomorphic to the graph obtained by removing the path  $P_{n-k+1}$  from the complete graph  $K_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ).*

Note that condition  $\lambda_k(G) < n$  in this corollary means that complementary graph  $\bar{G}$  has at most  $k$  connected components.

By this corollary we see that interval  $(B_{n,k}, n)$  is also forbidden for the Laplace eigenvalue  $\lambda_k(G)$  ( $k < n = |G| \geq 2$ ).

In particular, taking  $k = 1$  and  $k = n - 1$  in (5) we get

$$\lambda_1(G) \leq n - 2 + 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{n} \quad (n \geq 2),$$

if  $\lambda_1(G) < n$ , and

$$\lambda_{n-1}(G) \leq n - 2 \quad (n \geq 2),$$

if  $\lambda_{n-1}(G) < n$ , that is if  $G$  is not the complete graph  $K_n$  on  $n$  vertices ( $n \geq 2$ ).

Next we are interested in finding all graphs  $G$  which have the property  $\lambda_k(G) = A_k$  for a fixed  $k \in N$ . We shall call a (connected or disconnected) graph  $G$  without isolated vertices *k-minimal* if  $\lambda_k(G) = A_k$ . So,  $P_{k+1}$  is at least one *k-minimal* graph. Since  $A_k > 0$  it follows that each *k-minimal* graph  $G$  has the order  $|G| \geq k + 1$ .

By Proposition 1 (b) every *k-minimal* graph is connected for every  $k \geq 2$ , but it is not necessary true for  $k = 1$ . We shall also see that *k-minimal* graphs are not unique for  $k = 1$  and  $k = 2$ .

**Proposition 2.** All 1-minimal graphs are of the form  $K_2 \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} K_2 = mK_2$  for some  $m \geq 1$ .

**Proof.** It is easy to see that any graph of the form  $G = mK_2$  ( $m \geq 1$ ) satisfies  $\lambda_1(G) = 2$ , so it is 1-minimal.

Next we prove that  $mK_2$  ( $m \geq 1$ ) are the only 1-minimal graphs. If  $G$  is a connected 1-minimal graph, then  $|G| = 2$ , because otherwise  $\lambda_1(G) > 2$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $G = K_2$ . If  $G$  is a disconnected 1-minimal graph and  $G_1, \dots, G_m$  are its components, then  $G = G_1 \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} G_m$  and

$$\lambda_1(G) = \max\{\lambda_1(G_1), \dots, \lambda_1(G_m)\} = 2.$$

We conclude that  $\lambda_1(G_i) = 2$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ) and therefore  $G_1 = \dots = G_m = K_2$ . Thus,  $G = mK_2$  for some  $m \geq 2$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.** A graph  $G$  is 2-minimal if and only if  $G$  is a star  $K_{1,m}$  ( $m \geq 2$ ).

**Proof.** As is well known, the star  $K_{1,m}$  on  $m + 1$  vertices has the Laplacian spectrum  $\{m + 1 > \underbrace{1 = \dots = 1}_{m-1} > 0\}$ , so  $\lambda_2(K_{1,m}) = 1$  for  $m \geq 2$ .

Conversely, let  $G$  be a 2-minimal graph. Then it is connected by Proposition 1(b), and  $|G| \geq 3$ . Let  $d = d(G)$  be the diameter of  $G$ .

If  $d = 1$ , then  $G = K_n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) and  $\lambda_2(G) = \lambda_2(K_n) \geq \lambda_2(K_3) > A_2$ , which is a contradiction.

If  $d \geq 3$ , then  $G$  contains the path  $P_4$  as an induced subgraph and  $\lambda_2(G) \geq \lambda_2(P_4) = 2 > A_2$ , which is again a contradiction.

Hence, we conclude that  $d = 2$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

Next proposition gives an important property of  $k$ -minimal graphs ( $k \geq 3$ ).

**Proposition 4.** If  $G$  is a  $k$ -minimal graph ( $k \geq 3$ ), then  $n = |G| = k + 1$ .

**Proof.** On the contrary, suppose that  $G$  is a  $k$ -minimal graph ( $k \geq 3$ ) and  $n = |G| \geq k + 2$ . Then  $G$  is connected by Proposition 1(b), and there is a connected graph  $H_{k+2}$  with  $k + 2$  vertices which is an induced subgraph of  $G$ . Let  $T_{k+2}$  be a spanning tree of the graph  $H_{k+2}$ .

If  $T_{k+2}$  is the star  $K_{1,k+1}$ , then

$$\lambda_k(G) \geq \lambda_k(H_{k+2}) \geq \lambda_k(K_{1,k+1}) = 1 > 2 - \sqrt{2} = A_3 \geq A_k,$$

a contradiction.

If  $T_{k+2} \neq K_{1,k+1}$  then there is a bridge  $e$  in  $T_{k+2}$  such that  $T_{k+2} - e = T_p \dot{+} T_q$  where  $T_p$  and  $T_q$  are subtrees on  $p$  and  $q$  vertices respectively, and  $p, q \geq 2$ ,  $p + q = k + 2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_k(G) &\geq \lambda_k(T_{k+2}) \geq \lambda_k(T_p \dot{+} T_q) = \min\{\lambda_{p-1}(T_p), \lambda_{q-1}(T_q)\} \\ &\geq \min\{A_{p-1}, A_{q-1}\} \geq A_{k-1} > A_k, \end{aligned}$$

because  $p - 1, q - 1 \leq k - 1$ . But this again gives the contradiction  $\lambda_k(G) > A_k$ . Therefore  $n = |G| \leq k + 1$ . But since  $n \geq k + 1$ , we finally get  $n = |G| = k + 1$ .  $\square$

By Proposition 4 we see that  $k$ -minimal graphs for  $k \geq 3$  always lie in the class of graphs with  $k + 1$  vertices, so  $\lambda_k(G)$  of such graphs coincides with their algebraic connectivities.

Theorem 2 and Proposition 4 finally give:

**Proposition 5.** *For every integer  $k \geq 3$ , the path  $P_{k+1}$  is the unique  $k$ -minimal graph.*

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are very indebted to the referee of this paper for his very valuable remarks, which improved the paper. They are also indebted to the referee for mentioning the relevant paper [6] by Joel Friedman which was not quoted in the first version of this paper. But the results from [6] can be hardly applied to the problem which we considered in this paper. Namely, J. Friedman considered the Laplacian spectrum in the increasing order, thus if  $G$  is a graph of order  $n$ , he ordered this spectrum by  $\mu_1(G) = 0 \leq \mu_2(G) \leq \dots \leq \mu_n(G)$ . Next for fixed  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  he considered the minimal values of  $\mu_i(G)$  in the class of all connected graphs with  $n$  vertices. So, for instance, its  $\mu_3(G) = \lambda_{n-2}(G)$  in our notations. But we considered the minimal value of  $\lambda_k(G)$  for fixed  $k \in N$  (not depending on  $n$ ), moreover for any  $n > k$  and for arbitrary connected or disconnected graphs. In any case, there are some similarities in methods which are used in both papers.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Cvetković D.M., Doob M., Sachs H. – Spectra of Graphs, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [2] Doob M. – A geometric interpretation of the least eigenvalue of a line graph, in: Proc. Second Conference on Combin. Math. and Appl., Chapel Hill, NC, 1970, pp. 126–135.
- [3] Doob M. – An interrelation between line graphs, eigenvalues and matroids, J. Combin. Theory B **15** (1973) 40–50.
- [4] Fiedler M. – Algebraic connectivity of graphs, Czechoslovak Math. J., **23** (1973) 298–305.
- [5] Fiedler M. – Laplacian of graphs and algebraic connectivity, in: Combinatorics and Graph Theory, in: Banach Center Publ., vol. 25, PWN, Warsaw, 1989, pp. 57–70.
- [6] Friedman J. – Minimum higher eigenvalues of Laplacian on graphs, Duke Math. J. **83** (1996) 1–18.
- [7] Merris R. – Laplacian matrices of graphs, a survey, Linear Algebra Appl. **197–198** (1994) 143–176.
- [8] Merris R. – Laplacian graph eigenvalues, Linear Algebra Appl. **278** (1998) 221–236.
- [9] Mohar B. – The Laplacian spectrum of graphs, in: Alard Y., Chartrand G., Ollerman O.R., Schwenk A.J. (Eds.), Graph Theory, Combinatorics and Appl., Wiley, New York, 1991, pp. 871–898.
- [10] Petrović M., Gutman I. – The path is the tree with smallest greatest Laplacian eigenvalue, Kragujevac J. Math. **24** (2002) 67–70.

(Received February 2004)